Contemporary Approaches to Intervention: Lindamood Phoneme Sequencing® (LiPS) Program for Reading, Spelling, & Speech
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**Background:** The Lindamood Phoneme Sequencing, or LiPS, Program is a multi-sensory, process-based intervention program that aims to improve fluency by developing phonemic awareness. Students use articulatory (i.e. kinesthetic) feedback to learn how their mouths produce speech sounds. Becoming acquainted with the *feeling* of sound production empowers students to self-monitor during speaking, spelling and writing tasks. Ultimately, students of the program become independent competent readers. LiPS is based on the notion that phonemic awareness is a prerequisite for literacy and general learning. *Phonemic Awareness* is the ability to recognize and manipulate phonemes. People who have not mastered the phonemic inventory and phonology of their native language may struggle with word decoding, spelling, and pronunciation.

**Population:**
- Children and adults who struggle with fluency or who present phonemic difficulties
  - Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)
  - Autism spectrum disorders (ASD)
  - Central auditory processing disorders (CAPD)
  - Other learning disabilities
  - Deafness
- Can be used as supplementary material for typically developing children

**Description:** LiPS is implemented in schools, clinics, or private tutoring settings. Daily instruction is *intensive* and *individualized*. Using mouth pictures, colored magnets, and magnets with letters and syllables, *sounds are first taught in isolation*. Two sound sequence approaches are used in LiPS. In a *horizontal approach*, older students learn all of the consonants and all of the vowels together before tracking. Younger students follow a *vertical approach* by learning particular groups of consonants and vowels and then tracking immediately. In tracking, students work on blending sounds and manipulating combinations of sounds using colored magnets; pseudowords are used in order to build reliance on phonology, rather than sight words. With practice, students can work with more sounds and more complex combinations and then move onto the syllable, sight word, and text reading levels. Writing letters on the colored magnets and using the letter magnets can aid the student’s transition from phonemic awareness to phonics.

Correcting students’ errors is important in building self-monitoring, self-correcting, and *reading independence*. Students are guided in comparing their response to the original stimulus, in order to recognize and correct the error. The LiPS program incorporates *adaptations*, such as using a mirror to provide visual information if a student is struggling with relying on articulatory feedback. The magnets also double as an AAC device and is part of the kinesthetic approach of the program. LiPS *technology* is currently limited. Online materials and computer programs have been developed, and a future goal is to make the materials more compatible with SMART boards.

**Cost:** Teacher training is $115 per teacher per day and $350 per teacher for materials; school-wide implementation ranges from $35,000 to $50,000 per year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Strengths</strong></th>
<th><strong>Weaknesses</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Evidence-based use of letter tiles and pseudowords.</td>
<td>● Mixed effects on reading comprehension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Specific training for teachers.</td>
<td>● Time- 80 hours of intensive therapy is suggested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Individualized and small-group instruction.</td>
<td>● Research demonstrating efficacy of LiPS itself is inconsistent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Child/user-friendly materials.</td>
<td>● Limited incorporation of technology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Empirical Evidence:** A National Reading Panel (2000) meta-analysis of 52 studies suggests phonological awareness (PA) instruction positively affects both word and pseudoword reading by teaching the decoding of novel and familiar words. However, LiPS efficacy is inconsistent: McIntyre, Protz, & McQuarrie (2008), and Torgesen et al. (2003).
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