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Dysfunction of the aquaporin-4 (AQP4)-dependent glymphatic waste clearance pathway has recently been implicated in the pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative diseases. However, it is difficult to unravel the causative relationship between glymphatic dysfunction, AQP4 depolarization, protein aggregation, and inflammation in neurodegeneration using animal models alone. There is currently a clear, unmet need for in vitro models of the brain’s waterscape, and the first steps towards a bona fide “glymphatics-on-a-chip” are taken in the present study. It is demonstrated that chronic exposure to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), amyloid-β(1-42) oligomers, and an AQP4 inhibitor impairs the drainage of fluid and amyloid-β(1-40) tracer in a gliovascular unit (GVU)-on-a-chip model containing human astrocytes and brain microvascular endothelial cells. The LPS-induced drainage impairment is partially retained following cell lysis, indicating that neuroinflammation induces parallel changes in cell-dependent and matrisome-dependent fluid transport pathways in GVU-on-a-chip. Additionally, AQP4 depolarization is observed following LPS treatment, suggesting that LPS-induced drainage impairments on-chip may be driven in part by changes in AQP4-dependent fluid dynamics.

1. Introduction

The gliovascular unit (GVU) is a network of astrocytes, endothelial cells, mural cells, and supportive connective tissues that forms a semipermeable barrier between the blood, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and interstitial fluid (ISF) compartments. Reinforcing the CSF-ISF barrier is a layer of astrocytic endfeet—thin perivascular processes that ensheathe blood vessels throughout the central nervous system (CNS). Lacking traditional lymphatic vessels, brain parenchyma is thought to rely on the exchange of CSF and ISF across astrocytic endfeet to remove harmful proteins and metabolic wastes from the cerebral cortex. According to the glial-lymphatic (glymphatic) hypothesis, CSF accumulating in perivascular spaces (PVSs) around cortical arteries infiltrates brain tissue by passing through aquaporin-4 (AQP4) channels expressed on astrocytic endfeet (Figure 1). After entering the brain, CSF mixes with ISF and convects to PVSs surrounding cortical veins, generating a hydrostatic pressure gradient that helps clear wastes like amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides. In healthy individuals, perivascular astrocytes only express AQP4 along their endfeet. This polarized pattern of AQP4 expression is thought to optimize the efficiency of CSF-ISF exchange.

Recent studies have implicated dysfunction of AQP4-dependent fluid dynamics within the GVU in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), traumatic brain injury (TBI), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), and other neurodegenerative illnesses. It is thought that depolarization of AQP4 from the endfeet of perivascular astrocytes reduces the efficiency with which wastes are cleared from the CNS in patients with these diseases, facilitating the formation of insoluble aggregates—such as Aβ plaques. This hypothesis is supported by findings that AQP4 depolarizes from perivascular endfeet in murine models of AD, TBI, ALS, and old age. Additionally, a positive correlation was recently observed between the degree of AQP4 depolarization, Aβ plaque density, and the severity of clinical symptoms at the time of death in AD patients. Mestre et al. (2018) later demonstrated that inducing AQP4 depolarization through genetic deletion of the α-synuclein adaptor protein reduced CSF influx into the murine cortex by 30–70%. However, the molecular mechanisms by which AQP4 depolarizes in the context of neurodegenerative disease remain unclear.

Given that AD, TBI, ALS, and aging involve similar disturbances of the neuroimmune landscape, inflammation of perivascular astrocytes may represent a “final common pathway” by which AQP4 depolarization and glymphatic...
dysfunction occur.\cite{8g,12} It is well-known that the CNS of patients with TBI,\cite{9c} ALS,\cite{6} and advanced age\cite{13} contains a greater proportion of immunoreactive astrocytes than those of healthy controls. This state of “reactive astrogliosis” is characterized by excessive proliferation, cytoskeletal remodeling, and AQP4 upregulation.\cite{6,9c,14} Iliff et al. (2014) demonstrated that AQP4 depolarization and reactive astrogliosis reliably coincide in brain slices ipsilateral, but not contralateral to cerebral injury sites in mice with TBI.\cite{8a} Peripheral immune challenges are also known to induce impairments in glymphatic clearance.\cite{15} Similarly, AD is accompanied by significant, brain-wide inflammation and reactive astrogliosis.\cite{2,8e,16} In fact, Aβ oligomers bind toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)—a cell-surface pattern recognition receptor—and trigger pro-inflammatory signaling cascades.\cite{17} However, it is not yet known whether Aβ oligomer-induced reactive astrogliosis directly causes AQP4 depolarization, and protein aggregation in AD and other neurodegenerative illnesses thus remains controversial and unclear.\cite{18}

However, it is difficult to unravel the causative relationships between these molecular biological and biophysical factors in the stepwise progression of neurodegenerative illness using conventional murine models alone. In vivo glymphatics experiments are subject to considerable variation depending upon the type of anesthetic used, the time of day, the mouse’s age, the location and speed of CSF tracer injection, and the identity of the tracer being studied—creating real concerns about research reproducibility. Given the state-dependent nature of in vivo glymphatics, it has been challenging to reliably interrogate the biophysical mechanisms by which AQP4 depolarization induces glymphatic dysfunction. It is also difficult and resource-intensive to generate the transgenic mouse lines required to isolate the discrete contributions of specific cell types and subcellular pathways to glymphatic drainage. Additionally, it is not yet possible to disentangle and compare the role of cell-dependent and extracellular matrix (ECM)-dependent (which we refer to as “matrisome”-dependent) fluid transport pathways in the glymphatic system using animal models. Finally, the degree of AQP4 polarization is known to vary dramatically between humans and mice.\cite{20} We, therefore, see a clear, unmet need for a humanized in vitro model of glymphatic physiology. Such a model could serve as an isolated system in which the biophysical and molecular biological factors that govern glymphatic waste clearance in healthy and diseased states can be sequentially manipulated and studied.

Figure 1. The GVU. The GVU forms a semipermeable barrier between the cerebrospinal, interstitial, and vascular fluid compartments. CSF is produced by the choroid plexus epithelium and accumulates in the subarachnoid space on the surface of the brain. According to the glymphatic hypothesis, CSF penetrates brain tissue by flowing along low-resistance peri-arterial spaces and through AQP4 channels expressed on astrocytic endfeet. It then mixes with ISF in the brain parenchyma and drains waste products—such as Amyloid-β peptides—to the peri-venous space. From there, wastes are removed from the CNS through meningeal lymphatic vessels and subarachnoid granulations. Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ISF, interstitial fluid.
To develop a bona fide “glymphatics-on-a-chip” that can be applied in basic and translational research contexts, current microfluidic models of the blood–brain barrier need to be improved in two ways. They must 1) recapitulate the complex, state-dependent patterns of fluid exchange within and between the human CSF, ISF, and blood compartments and 2) contain perivascular astrocytes that can dynamically modulate their AQP4 polarization status to mimic healthy and diseased states.

In this study, we take the first steps towards addressing these gaps in the literature by developing a “GVU-on-a-chip” containing human astrocytes seeded around a bioengineered blood vessel in which fluid drainage efficiency and AQP4 polarization status can be monitored and manipulated by chronic inflammation. Using a non-physiological drainage paradigm, we show that exposure to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), amyloid-β (1-42) oligomers, and the AQP4 inhibitor TGN-020 [21] impairs the drainage of fluid and amyloid-β (1-40) tracer in GVU-on-a-chip. We also provide evidence that LPS-induced drainage impairments can be attributed to parallel changes in cell-dependent and matrisome-dependent fluid transport pathways. Finally, we demonstrate that LPS treatment is associated with AQP4 depolarization—suggesting a potential cell-dependent mechanism for the observed drainage impairment.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Device Design and Optimization

GVU-on-a-chip consists of two parallel microchannels of diameter 250 μm fully embedded in a 3D hydrogel matrix (Figure 2A). In the left-sided channel, Human brain microvascular endothelial cells (HBMECs) are seeded to reconstitute the endogenous GVU (Figure 2B). Each microchannel feeds into a large fluid reservoir of diameter of 6 mm and approximate height 6–7 mm on either end. The entire structure is housed in a leucite polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) enclosure of length of 2.7 cm and width of 2.0 cm (Figure 2C). Within the central hydrogel, brain-derived human astrocytes are seeded through hydrogel-casting ports. Our device is similar to that used by prior researchers to study lymphatic vessels, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, and breast cancer in vitro [22].

To optimize the cell culture conditions in GVU-on-a-chip, we evaluated the morphology and protein expression profile of astrocytes seeded at several densities in hydrogels of varying composition. In vivo, astrocytes exhibit vast morphological heterogeneity depending upon their location in the CNS and the physiological state of the individual [23]. However, a key attribute of healthy, functional astrocytes is a stellate morphology in which elaborate, tree-like processes extend radially from the cell body [24]. We found that astrocytes seeded at cell densities between 2 × 10^6 and 5 × 10^6 cells mL^{-1} in a 4:1:1 mixture of collagen I: Matrigel: hyaluronic acid (HA) begin to adopt a stellate morphology one day after seeding (Figure S1, Supporting Information). The final concentrations of collagen I, Matrigel, and HA in this mixture were 2.35, 0.6, and 0.6 mg mL^{-1}, respectively. This confirms prior observations that the inclusion of HA and Matrigel in collagen-based 3D hydrogels promotes astrocyte branching and elongation [24b].

Figure 2D shows a representative maximum intensity z-projection of astrocytes seeded in our optimized hydrogel at a density of 2 × 10^6 cells mL^{-1} and stained for GFAP and DAPI.
Only a small proportion of the astrocytes cultured under these conditions (each indicated by a DAPI+ nucleus) stained positive for GFAP. Using representative z-projections collected from six chips, we manually quantified the total proportion of GFAP+ astrocytes in GVU-on-a-chip. As shown in Figure 2E, less than 10% of astrocytes seeded in our hydrogel expressed GFAP. In fact, only 2–9% of astrocytes in most chips expressed GFAP at detectable levels. As discussed earlier, GFAP is a marker of reactive astroglial proliferation and is only expressed by 5–20% of astrocytes in the healthy brain. Therefore, the data presented in Figure 2D,E suggests that astrocytes seeded in our optimized hydrogel do not adopt reactive or inflammatory phenotypes. We also demonstrate that parenchymal astrocytes cultured in GVU-on-a-chip interact with one another to form a robust network linked by connexin-43 (Cx-43) gap junctions (Figure 2F). Cx-43 channels are known to link the processes of adjacent astrocytes in vivo, creating a functional syncytium through which ions, nutrients, and signalling molecules can diffuse. In this way, Cx-43 metabolically couples astrocytic networks to support cell growth,27 migration,28 and neuralglial communication.29

The ECM found in the human brain is comprised primarily of laminin,30 fibronectin,30a type IV collagen30b,31 hyaluronan,32 heparan sulfate proteoglycans,33 chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans,30b and tenasin R scaffolds.30b,34 The hydrogel used to prepare GVU-on-a-chip contains all but two of the major ECM molecules found in vivo. While the two missing ECM components (chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan and tenasin R) do play an important role in astrocyte biology, there is no evidence that they play a role in the glial system. Additionally, to our knowledge, no currently established microfluidic models of the blood–brain barrier incorporate chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan and tenasin R in 3D culture with astrocytes.35 That being said, Sykova et al.36 did observe that the cortical interstitium of tenasin R-deficient mice is reduced in size.37 Thus, the influence of specific ECM molecules on the brain’s watershed must be more thoroughly investigated, and we predict that on-chip models of the brain’s watershed will furnish ideal platforms on which to perform such studies in the future. Beyond ECM components, the Matrigel we used in our model contains several soluble factors known to critically regulate astrocyte function in vivo—including transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), and epidermal growth factor (EGF).24b,38

2.2. Characterization of Astrocytic Endfeet in GVU-on-a-Chip

We then examined the interactions between astrocytes and HBMECs in GVU-on-a-chip (Figure 3). Perivascular astrocytes wrap themselves around cortical microvessels in vivo, meaning that fluid transport in the brain is inherently dependent upon the gene expression profile and fluid-conducting properties of astrocytic endfeet. Human astrocytes seeded in GVU-on-a-chip at a density of 5 × 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹ spontaneously migrate to the bioengineered blood vessel and extend distinct endfeet processes within two days of HBMEC seeding (Figure 3A–C). We also sought to investigate the polarization status of AQP4 in our on-chip model. In vivo, astrocytes only express AQP4 along endfeet that line CSF compartments (i.e., the subarachnoid space and PVSs around blood vessels) in the brain and spinal cord.39 We found that AQP4 is also selectively expressed around the CD31+ vessel in the xy-plane of GVU-on-a-chip. When comparing Figure 3E with the corresponding brightfield image of the same chip shown in Figure 3D, the AQP4 peak corresponds with an astrocytic endfoot—mimicking the in vivo architecture. The histogram in Figure 3F plots these data quantitatively: AQP4 signal peaks in the region immediately adjacent to the blood vessel and quickly returns to negligible levels. Selective localization of AQP4 to endfeet around the CD31+ vessel is also observed in the xz-plane (Figure 3G). Conversely, astrocytes seeded in GVU-on-a-chip without HBMECs do not exhibit AQP-4 polarization around the chip microchannel (Figure 3H). Rather, astrocytes cultured under these conditions express AQP4 along the entire surface of their membrane. Taken together, these data confirm prior findings that BMEC-endfoot interactions are required to establish polarized AQP4 expression in vitro.40

2.3. Functional Drainage Properties of Healthy and Inflamed GVU-on-a-Chip Replicates

2.3.1. Neuroinflammation Impairs the Clearance of Aβ(1-40) in GVU-on-a-Chip

Given the proposed role of neuroinflammation in glymphatic dysfunction,8,12 we assessed the drainage properties of GVU-on-a-chip in healthy and inflammatory contexts. We could not recapitulate glymphatic drainage as it occurs in vivo because the three fluid compartments of the GVU—the blood-filled vascular lumen, CSF-filled PVS, and ISF-filled brain parenchyma—are not segregated in our model. Rather, we performed non-physiological drainage experiments schematically outlined in Figure S2A, Supporting Information, to rapidly screen the fluid volume and Aβ(1-40) tracer mass drained by GVU-on-a-chip replicates. We chose to model neuroinflammation by chronically exposing our chips to LPS and Aβ(1-42) oligomers—both of which have been shown to induce TLR4-dependent reactive astrogliosis17a according to the treatment schedule shown in Figure S2B, Supporting Information. We found that 30 and 60 min after initiating interstitial flow, healthy control chips drained a significantly higher fluid volume (Figure 4A) and tracer mass (Figure 4B) than LPS-treated (p < 0.0001 for volume and mass at both time points) and oligomer-treated (p < 0.0001 for volume and mass at both time points) chips. These findings mirror prior in vivo studies demonstrating that peripheral LPS challenge impairs CSF-ISF exchange15b and Aβ clearance from the brain.15a Although we do not directly recapitulate glymphatic biology in our model, these data convey that chronic neuroinflammation generally disrupts the GVU barrier in vitro, leading to widespread shifts in fluid and solute transport between the blood, CSF, and ISF fluid compartments.

2.3.2. Healthy Control Chips Drain Fluid and Tracer More Efficiently than Acellular Controls

In the drainage experiments shown in Figure 4A,B, healthy chips were also observed to drain nearly three to four times...
as much fluid and tracer as acellular controls (p < 0.0001 for volume and mass) after 30 and 60 min. These findings suggest one of three possibilities: 1) the astrocytes in GVU-on-a-chip remodel the hydrogel to expand low-resistance extracellular fluid transport pathways, 2) there is a low-resistance, cell-dependent (potentially AQP4-dependent) pathway in healthy control chips that allows fluid to bypass the hydrogel, or 3) a combination of both. Much evidence has been gathered suggesting that glymphatic clearance in the mouse and human brain depends upon cell-dependent fluid transport pathways involving AQP4,\textsuperscript{8g,12} supporting explanation (1). It has also been well-established that astrocytes play a critical role in remodeling the matrisome in vivo.\textsuperscript{41} However, limitations of current animal models have prevented researchers from investigating the role of matrisome-dependent fluid transport pathways in glymphatic function—and dysfunction—across the lifespan. This underscores the utility of in vitro models like GVU-on-a-chip, in which the function of intracellular and extracellular drainage pathways can be isolated and compared across a range of healthy and diseased states. Therefore, we next sought to identify the relative contributions of 1) matrisome-dependent and 2) cell-dependent fluid transport pathways to the inflammation-induced drainage impairment observed in GVU-on-a-chip (Figure 4A,B).

**Figure 3.** GVU-on-a-chip Contains AQP4-Expressing Astrocytic Endfeet. A–D) Live brightfield micrographs of perivascular astrocytes interacting with the bioengineered cortical microvessel in GVU-on-a-chip. Images (A,C,D) are taken 48 h following HBMEC seeding. Image (B) is taken 24 h after HBMEC seeding. Scale bars are 100 µm (A), 50 µm (B), 50 µm (C), and 50 µm (D). E) A confocal (xy-plane) micrograph of a portion of the vessel-endfoot interface in (D) stained for AQP4 (red) and CD31 (green). Scale bar is 20 µm. F) A histogram of the mean fluorescence intensity, measured in Arbitrary Fluorescence Units (A.U.), across the yellow line in (E). G) An xz-plane cross-section of GVU-on-a-chip stained for AQP4 (red) and CD31 (green) digitally rendered from a confocal micrograph. Scale bar is 50 µm. Schematic was created with BioRender.com. H) Images of astrocytes seeded in GVU-on-a-chip without HBMECs and stained for AQP4 (red), GFAP (yellow), and DAPI (blue). Without HBMECs, perivascular astrocytes do not exhibit polarized AQP-4 expression. Scale bars are 50 µm. Abbreviations: AQP4, aquaporin-4; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein.
2.3.3. Characterizing the Contributions of Matrisome-Dependent Fluid Transport Pathways to Inflammation-Induced Drainage Impairments in GVU-on-a-Chip

The optimization data shown in Figure S3, Supporting Information, indicate that astrocytes seeded in GVU-on-a-chip readily interact with and remodel the cell-laden hydrogel. In fact, within five days of astrocyte seeding, the hydrogel is remodeled so much that it begins to detach from the PDMS enclosure. Therefore, it is possible that healthy and immunoreactive astrocytes exhibit different ECM-remodeling behaviors. If this were the case, then healthy and inflamed chips would contain hydrogels with different fluid-conducting properties, which could in part explain the drainage impairment observed in Figure 4A.B. To test this hypothesis, we performed drainage assays in healthy and inflamed chips before and after the cells in each chip were killed by osmotic lysis (which we refer to as “dead chips”) (Figure S2C, Supporting Information). While fluid in living chips can pass through both intact cells and ECM, fluid transport in dead chips is restricted to the extracellular space. Therefore, if inflamed chips continue to display a drainage impairment following cell death, we can infer that chronic inflammatory conditions perturb the fluid-conducting properties of the biomimetic hydrogel in GVU-on-a-chip. For these experiments, we used chronic LPS exposure as a general model of CNS inflammation.

We indeed observed that LPS-treated chips retained their drainage impairment following cell death (p < 0.001 for volume and mass), indicating that inflammation-related matrisome changes are at least partially responsible for the observations in Figure 4A.B. Our data suggest a fundamental difference in how astrocytes in the healthy and LPS-treated conditions interact with and remodel their ECM scaffolding. These findings build on a recent report that astrocytes activated by LPS-treated...
endothelial cells—to which astrocytes in our model are exposed—exhibit a robust shift in their ECM remodeling profile. Johnson et al. (2022) also recently found that, of 44 protein modules (families of related proteins) studied, enrichment in the matrisome protein module (collection of ECM-associated proteins) was one of four modules most significantly correlated with AD neuropathology in both preclinical and clinical AD. Furthermore, this matrisome enrichment was conserved across brain regions and data sets. Taken together with these findings, our data suggest that glymphatic dysfunction observed in neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative diseases may be mediated in part by shifts in astrocyte-dependent matrisome remodeling that favor ECM accumulation. In future studies, changes in the composition, porosity, and hydration status of the cell-laden hydrogel in healthy and inflamed GVU-on-a-chip replicates should be compared.

2.3.2. Characterizing the Contributions of Cell-Dependent Fluid Transport Pathways to Inflammation-Induced Drainage Impairments in GVU-on-a-Chip

We also observed that, compared with living healthy control chips, dead healthy control chips exhibited reduced drainage of fluid and Aβ(1-40) tracer ($p = 0.0126$ for volume; $p = 0.0053$ for mass; Figure 4C). These data support the hypothesis that a cell-dependent fluid transport pathway parallel to the matrisome-dependent pathway mediates drainage in GVU-on-a-chip. By contrast, drainage in LPS-treated chips did not differ between live and dead chips, suggesting either dysfunction or absence of a cell-dependent pathway. We also demonstrate that treatment with the AQP4 inhibitor TGN-020 reduces the drainage of fluid ($p = 0.0270$) and tracer ($p = 0.0270$) in GVU-on-a-chip. Synthesizing these data, we hypothesized that dysfunction of AQP4-dependent fluid dynamics may contribute to the observed inflammation-induced drainage impairment. Given that AQP4 depolarization is a known cause of glymphatic dysfunction in several neurodegenerative and neuroinflammatory diseases, we next compared the polarization status of AQP4 in healthy and LPS-treated chips replicates.

2.3.4. Characterizing the Contributions of Cell-Dependent Fluid Transport Pathways to Inflammation-Induced Drainage Impairments in GVU-on-a-Chip

The difference in polarization status between control and LPS-treated chips can be appreciated qualitatively in the 3D projections shown in Figure 5E. In control chips, AQP4 expression is limited to 1) perivascular endfoot and 2) the region of the cell body directly surrounding the nucleus (likely indicating protein expression in the endoplasmic reticulum). By comparison, AQP4 can be observed along the entire membrane of perivascular astrocytes in LPS-treated chips. In fact, the AQP4 signal allows us to visualize the extensive processes that link an astrocyte’s cell body with its endfeet. This is evident in the close-up 3D rendering of an endfoot experiencing AQP4 depolarization in Figure 5G, offering a stark contrast to the polarized endfoot shown in Figure 3E. To our knowledge, this is the first evidence that chronic pro-inflammatory stimuli can be used to manipulate AQP4 polarization status in vitro. Interestingly, Manouchelian et al. (2021) recently observed that AQP4 polarization status was unaffected 3 h after peripheral LPS injection in mice. Taken together with our in vitro findings, this suggests that chronic exposure to inflammatory stimuli—as in AD, TBI, and ALS—rather than acute exposure is necessary to depolarize AQP4. In the future, we plan to use mouse models in synergy with GVU-on-a-chip to better understand the temporal relationship between LPS-induced neuroinflammation and AQP4 depolarization in vivo and in vitro.
Taken together with the drainage data shown in Figure 4, we hypothesize that LPS-induced AQP4 depolarization (Figure 5) contributed to the drainage impairment observed in inflamed GVU-on-a-chip replicates (Figure 4). As described earlier, both AQP4 depolarization[8c] and AQP4 knockout[2] have been shown to cause reduced fluid infiltration into the murine cortex. Additionally, evidence of AQP4 depolarization and reduced glymphatic drainage have been jointly observed in AD,[11,47] TBI,[8a,9] ALS,[6,10] and old age.[8f] Interestingly though, Manouchehrian et al. (2021) recently demonstrated that peripheral LPS injections can cause glymphatic drainage impairments without inducing AQP4 depolarization. This suggests that inflammation-related glymphatic dysfunction is likely caused by multiple changes in the biology and fluid-conducting properties of perivascular astrocytes, supporting mural cells, and vascular endothelial cells. In addition to AQP4 depolarization, chronic neuroinflammation could induce swelling in astrocytic endfeet,[8d] alter the tight junctions between adjacent HBMECs,[49] and alter the expression of transport proteins (such as lipo-protein receptor-related protein 1, an Aβ transport protein) in HBMECs.[15a] We also observed striking changes in the morphology of HBMECs in control and LPS-treated chips—while HBMECs in control chips retain oblong, oval-like shapes, those exposed to LPS appear thin and elongate.

In summary, we observed that inflammation-induced drainage impairments are mediated by dysfunction of both matrisome-dependent and cell-dependent pathways. Furthermore, we identified AQP4 depolarization as a candidate cell-dependent pathway—establishing analogies between in vivo glymphatics and GVU-on-a-chip. These findings contribute to a growing body of literature suggesting that neuroinflammation may be a final common pathway by which GVU dysfunction occurs in neurodegenerative disease.[8g]

2.5. Future Directions

In this paper, we demonstrate that inflammatory cues impair the drainage of fluid and tracer in GVU-on-a-chip through matrisome and cell-dependent pathways. In future
investigations, GVU-on-a-chip can be used to study the changes in these two pathways caused by inflammation in further detail. Specifically, the composition and porosity of the biomimetic hydrogel in healthy control and LPS-treated chips should be compared. GVU-on-a-chip can also be used to study and compare the molecular mechanisms by which healthy and immunoreactive astrocytes remodel their ECM microenvironment.

We also provide evidence in this study that AQP4 polarization status can be dynamically manipulated in vitro by LPS. In the short-term, it would be important to assess whether chronic treatment with Aβ(1-42) oligomers—which we observed to impair drainage in GVU-on-a-chip—also induces AQP4 depolarization. In the long term, the next step towards developing a physiologically relevant glymphatics-on-a-chip model would be to recapitulate the complex patterns of fluid exchange that occur within and between the blood, CSF, and ISF compartments. Additionally, we believe it would be critical to further develop our current GVU-on-a-chip model by adding Aβ tracer-expressing neurons to the hydrogel-bulk and seeding pericytes—supportive mural cells—around our engineered blood vessel.

Unbound by the constraints of in vivo work, we believe a bona fide microfluidic model of the glymphatic system would help elucidate the molecular mechanisms responsible for AQP4 delocalization from astrocytic endfeet in neurodegenerative disease. Additionally, a “glymphatics-on-a-chip” could be used for high-throughput screening of drug candidates seeking to repolarize AQP4 and restore glymphatic drainage in patients with neurodegenerative disease. A glymphatics-on-a-chip model would also provide an isolated system in which the precise biophysical and molecular biological factors responsible for glymphatic waste clearance can be elucidated and applied clinically. Since Iliff et al.’s seminal 2012 paper, ongoing basic science research has generated several important controversies in the field.2 The directionality of waste clearance,50 the relative contributions of convection and diffusion,51 and the role of arterial pulsations and PVS geometry,2 in the field. The directionality of waste clearance, the relative contributions of convection and diffusion, and the role of arterial pulsations and PVS geometry have been the subject of contentious debate. It also remains unclear how AQP4 depolarization impairs the drainage of metabolic wastes from the brain—does it reduce the infiltration of CSF into the parenchyma, alter the fluid dynamics involved in the CSF-ISF exchange, or some combination of both? Microfluidic technologies afford researchers unprecedented insights into the biophysics that govern fluid exchange across biological barriers. We envision on-chip models of the brain’s waterscape being used in synergy with traditional murine models to answer outstanding questions in the field, and this paper represents the first step towards that objective.

3. Conclusion

In the present study, we establish and validate a novel microfluidic model of the GVU comprised of human astrocytes and a bioengineered blood vessel. Through structural and functional analyses, we confirm that astrocytes in GVU-on-a-chip extend AQP4-expressing astrocytic endfeet and that fluid transport in our model is AQP4-dependent. We also demonstrate that chronic TLR4 agonism by LPS and disease modeling with Aβ(1-42) oligomers impairs the drainage of fluid and tracer through matrisome-dependent and cell-dependent pathways. Additionally, we found that LPS treatment depolarizes AQP4 in GVU-on-a-chip, suggesting a potential cell-dependent mechanism by which chronic inflammation impairs drainage in our model. These findings contribute to a growing body of literature demonstrating the central role of inflammation in dysfunction of the GVU. This model represents the first step towards a bona fide “glymphatics-on-a-chip” platform on which researchers can 1) study the contributions of glymphatic dysfunction to neurodegenerative disease, 2) develop and screen personalized therapeutics, and 3) investigate the biophysics underlying glymphatic drainage.

4. Experimental Section

Cell Culture: All cell lines were propagated in Nunclon Delta surface-treated T175 flasks (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) and stored in a standard tissue culture incubator set to 37 °C, 95% humidity, and 5% CO2. Human astrocytes purchased from ScienCell Research Laboratories (USA) were cultured in Astrocyte Medium (ScienCell) containing 96% basal medium, 2% fetal bovine serum, 1% astrocyte growth supplement, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution. HBMECs were purchased from Cells Systems (USA) and cultured in complete classic medium with 10% serum (Cell Systems). All HMBCs were maintained in T175 flasks that were pre-treated with attachment factor (Cell Systems), as instructed by the supplier. Human Astrocytes and HBMECs were used for experiments at passage numbers 4–10 and 4–7, respectively.

Device Fabrication: The silicon master molds used for device fabrication were designed using the photolithography technique outlined in Kwak et al. To fabricate GVU-on-a-chip, a 10:1 weight ratio mixture of PDMS and cross-linker curing agent (SYLGARD 184 Silicone Elastomer Kit, Dow Chemical Company, MI, USA) were degassed, cast into the silicon master mold, and baked for 12 h at 80 °C. The solidified PDMS was then removed from the master mold and cut to create a device of length 2.7 cm, width 2 cm, and height 0.5 cm. Standard biopsy punches (Fisher Scientific) of diameter 2 and 6 mm were used to punch two hydrogel-casting ports and four media reservoirs, respectively, into each chip. The patterned face of the chips was then exposed to a plasma reacted ion etch (RIE) surface treatment (PE-25 Plasma Cleaner, Plasma Etch Inc., NV, USA), bonded to a 0.6 mm thickness 40 mm (width) x 40 mm (length) x 0.13–0.17 mm (height) cover glass and cured at 80 °C for at least 10 min. To make the channels and the hydrogel bulk reservoir hydrophilic, chips were then treated with 0.01% (w/v) poly-L-lysine (Sigma) diluted in deionized water (DW) for 1 h, washed thrice with PBS, and treated again for 30 min with 1% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) diluted in DW. The chips were then immersed in a DW bath overnight.

Casting of Astrocyte-Laden Hydrogel: Casting needles of thickness 0.23 mm (0.25 × 50 mm, Hwato) were soaked in 70% ethanol overnight and blocked for 1 h with 1% bovine standard albumin (BSA) (VWR) diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Pressurized air was used to thoroughly dry the collagen reservoir of each chip in preparation for hydrogel casting. BSA-coated needles were then threaded through each microchannel, and the chips were sterilized under an ultraviolet light for 30 min. The liquid hydrogel base was prepared by mixing Medium 199 10× (10% of total volume) (Gibco, USA) with 1N NaOH (2.5% volume of collagen type I to be added) (Electron Microscopy Science, USA). Collagen type I (Corning, USA), reduced growth factor, growth-factor reduced, LDEV-free Matrigel Matrix (Corning, USA), and Glycosyl Hyaluronic Acid (Advanced BioMatrix, USA) were then added to the mixture in the appropriate ratio (varied between experiments). Additionally, extralink-Lite PEDGA cross-linker was added in a 1:4 ratio with the volume of Glycosil. All materials were kept on ice under a sterilized tissue culture hood during hydrogel preparation.

Human astrocytes (P4-10) were washed twice with PBS, detached using TrypLE Express (Gibco, USA), neutralized with complete culture...
media, and counted. The cell suspension was then centrifuged and resuspended in a 100 μL aliquot of the hydrogel mixture at the appropriate cell density (varied between experiments). After resuspending the cell pellet in the hydrogel aliquot, the homogenate was returned to the hydrogel stock solution and thoroughly mixed. At this stage, any treatments being introduced into the chip (e.g. vehicle (0.2% DMSO in complete astrocyte media), LPS, or Aβ(1-42) oligomers) were added to the hydrogel mixture. The stock was then loaded into each chip through the hydrogel-casting ports using gel-loading pipette tips. Following hydrogel casting, all chips were placed in a standard tissue culture incubator for 1 h to promote hydrogel polymerization. Fresh astrocyte media was then added to the media reservoirs as well as the tops of the hydrogel-casting ports, and the chips were placed in an incubator overnight.

Production of Bioengineered Cortical Microvessels: 24 h after astrocyte seeding, the casting needles were removed and each microchannel was sealed using high vacuum grease (Dow Corning, USA). The chips were then placed in Petri dishes lined with DW-soaked Kimwipes and stored in a tissue culture incubator for 6 h. Gel-loading tips were then used to remove the excess collagen blocking the hydrogel-casting port. HBMECs (P47) were then washed twice with PBS, detached with TrypLE Express (Gibco, USA) and resuspended at a density of 1 000 000–2 000 000 cells mL⁻¹. Cell suspension was added to each media reservoir and the chips were inverted and placed in the tissue culture incubator for 10 min. After confirming that cells were adhering to the top of the vessel, the chips were flipped right-side-up and allowed to remain in the incubator for ten additional minutes. Each media reservoir was then washed twice with PBS to remove excess HBMECs that had not adhered to the microchannels, and the chips were placed in the tissue culture incubator overnight. The formation of a continuous endothelium was confirmed using confocal microscopy after 24 h. Each day that followed, the media reservoirs were replaced with a 1:1 mixture of fresh astrocyte and complete classic media. 0.2% DMSO was added to the culture media of all chips in all treatment groups each day.

Preparation of LPS-Treated Chips: Chips being treated with LPS (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) were fabricated using slightly modified hydrogel-casting protocols. To mimic chronic neuroinflammation, astrocytes were pre-treated with LPS before being seeded in the chip. LPS was delivered into the cell culture media seven and three days before astrocyte seeding. LPS was also mixed into the liquid hydrogel before casting on the day of astrocyte seeding and added to the cell culture media one and two days after astrocyte seeding. All LPS treatments were delivered at a dosage of 1 000 ng mL⁻¹. Duluted Aβ(1-40) was added to both media reservoirs attached to the left-sided vessel (150 μL per reservoir). The tracer was then allowed to convect through the vessel and across the astrocyte-laden hydrogel. Media was then collected from the reservoirs attached to the right-sided channel after 30 or 60 min, and the total volume that drained in each chip was measured using a micropipette. Additionally, the fluorescence intensity of the drainage samples collected from each chip was quantified using a spectrophorometer, and the absolute concentration of Aβ(1-40) tracer that drained was calculated using a standard curve. These volume and concentration data were then used to calculate the total mass of Aβ(1-40) that drained over the course of the experiment. All drainage densification assays were performed on GVU-on-a-chip manufacturing procedure described above. Two days after HBMEC seeding, the drainage properties of live chips were studied using the drainage quantification assay described above. The cells in live chips were then exposed to distilled water (DW) for 12 h to produce “dead” chips via osmotic lysis. Another drainage assay was then performed at this time, and drainage data collected from live and dead chips were compared using paired analyses (see Experimental Section, Statistical Analysis). Data collected from any chips exhibiting delamination at the hydrogel-PDMS interface following DW treatment were excluded from the study. Following collection of drainage data, live and dead chip replicates were stained with 0.04% trypan blue (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) to confirm cell viability status. HBMECs and astrocytes in dead chips that have undergone osmotic lysis should accumulate the trypan blue stain, while alive cells in live chips should not.

TGN-020 Inhibition Experiment: AQP4 inhibition studies were performed using paired chip groups to minimize batch effects. The AQP4 inhibitor 2-(nicotinamide)-3,4-thiadiazole (TGN-020) was diluted in DMSO at an initial concentration of 50 mg mL⁻¹. This solution was then diluted in media to a working concentration of 200 μg mL⁻¹ and stored at 4 °C. A group of healthy chips prepared using the chip manufacturing procedure described above (including continuous exposure to 0.4% DMSO vehicle) were then subjected to two 30-min drainage quantification assays. This same batch of chips was then treated with the 200 μg mL⁻¹ stock solution of TGN-020 for 5 h, after which another two 30-min drainage quantification assays were performed. Each individual chip was treated as a biological replicate. Each of the two 30-min drainage experiments performed in the pre/post-TGN-020 treatment groups were treated as technical replicates and averaged. The two technical replicates were performed to control for the stochastic and age (meaning length of time from astrocyte seeding)-dependent variability in each individual chip’s drainage between successive trials. Drainage data collected from pre-TGN-020 and post-TGN-020 chips were compared using paired analyses (see Experimental Section, Statistical Analysis).

Immunohistochemistry and Confocal Microscopy: After being studied in functional drainage experiments, all chips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) diluted in endothelial
basal medium-2. The chips were placed on a rocker at room temperature for 40 min during fixation, washed once with PBS, then immersed in a PBS bath at 4 °C overnight. A PBST (0.3% Triton X in PBS) solution was then added to each media reservoir for 45–60 min to permeabilize cells in the hydrogel. Permeabilized chips were blocked with 3% BSA diluted in PBS and stored at 4 °C overnight. They were then incubated with primary antibodies targeting GFAP (Abcam, 1:100, ab53354), AQP4 (ThermoFisher Scientific, 1:150, PA5-53324), and CD31 (Dako, 1:100, GA610) diluted in a blocking buffer (3% BSA in PBS) at 4 °C overnight. All devices were then washed in a 4 °C PBS bath overnight. Secondary antibodies were diluted (ThermoFisher Scientific, 1:500) in 3% BSA and added to the media reservoirs. All devices were incubated with secondary antibodies overnight at 4 °C shielded from light. Finally, fully stained chips were washed for 24 h in a light-protected PBS bath at 4 °C to remove any off-target background signal. All images were then acquired using a Leica TCS SP8 confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems Inc., USA).

**AQP4 Polarization Analysis**: To obtain a robust index of AQP4 polarization in the xy-plane, Z-stacks were acquired from each control and LPS-treated chip. Three images in the xy-plane were then chosen at random from each Z-stack for further analysis. The images were imported into ImageJ,[53] and 25 1D regions of interest were selected along the x-axis (see Figure 5 for dimensions). The fluorescence intensity data at every location was averaged between the 25 lines, normalized to the peak AQP4 intensity signal found in the dataset, and compiled into a histogram. The division xy-plane API was then calculated as the ratio between the average peak endfeet fluorescence and the average parenchymal fluorescence using Equation (1). This division xy-plane polarization index was very similar to that calculated by Munk et al. (2019).[34] A secondary, subtraction-based xy-plane API was also developed in which parenchymal AQP4 signal was subtracted from endfoot AQP4 signal, as shown in Equation (2).

\[
xy - Plane
division\ AQP4\ Polarization\ Index = \frac{\text{Mean Peak Fluorescence of Endfeet}}{\text{Mean Fluorescence of Parenchyma}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
xy - Plane\ Subtraction\ AQP4\ Polarization\ Index = \frac{\text{Mean Fluorescence of Endfeet}}{\text{Mean Fluorescence of Parenchyma}} \tag{2}
\]

For both indices, the “endfeet” were considered to represent the horizontal distance between the two local minima on either side of the fluorescence peaks that flank each blood vessel. When the width of the peak exceeded 20 μm, the endfoot was usually defined as the horizontal distance between the local minima on the parenchymal side and the point 20 μm closer to the local minima on the vessel side. However, for each analysis, fluorescence intensity data were compared with their respective images to appropriately define the endfeet. Additionally, to account for variability in fluorescence intensity emitted from individual chips, the intensity of images acquired from all control and LPS-treated chips were adjusted to be similar using the LAS X Life Science Microscope Software (Leica Microsystems Inc., USA).

**Post-Hoc Cell Counting**: To calculate the astrocyte cell density in each chip, 3D projections were acquired and imported into ImageJ (NIH).[33] A ratio was then obtained between the total number of cells in the astrocyte parenchyma (counted by eye) and the total parenchymal area in each projection.

**Statistical Analysis**: The two-sample comparisons shown in Figure 4C,D and Figure 5D,E were performed using unpaired, two-tailed homoscedastic t-tests. Results were considered significant at an 
\[\alpha\] value of 0.05. The four-sample comparison shown in Figure 4A,B were performed at each indicated times point (30 and 60 min) using a one-way ANOVA test with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. The paired analyses shown in Figure 4C were compared using unpaired t-tests. Error bars used in the study were SEM (Standard Error of the Mean). All statistical analyses were run using GraphPad Prism version 9.0.
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