Compensation of physiological motion enables high-yield whole-cell recording in vivo
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ABSTRACT
Background: Whole-cell patch-clamp recording in vivo is the gold-standard method for measuring subthreshold electrophysiology from single cells during behavioral tasks, sensory stimulations, and optogenetic manipulation. However, these recordings require a tight, gigaohm resistance, seal between a glass pipette electrode’s aperture and a cell’s membrane. These seals are difficult to form, especially in vivo, in part because of a strong dependence on the distance between the pipette aperture and cell membrane.

New Method: We elucidate and utilize this dependency to develop an autonomous method for placement and synchronization of pipette’s tip aperture to the membrane of a nearby, moving neuron, which enables high-yield seal formation and subsequent recordings deep in the brain of the living mouse.

Results: This synchronization procedure nearly doubles the reported gigaseal yield in the thalamus (>3 mm below the pial surface) from 26 % (n = 17/64) to 48 % (n = 32/66). Whole-cell recording yield improved from 10 % (n = 9/88) to 24 % (n = 18/76) when motion compensation was used during the gigaseal formation. As an example of its application, we utilized this system to investigate the role of the sensory environment and ventral posterior medial region (VPM) projection synchrony on intracellular dynamics in the barrel cortex.

Comparison with Existing Method(s): Current methods of in vivo whole-cell patch clamping do not synchronize the position of the pipette to motion of the cell.

Conclusions: This method results in substantially greater subcortical whole-cell recording yield than previously reported and thus makes pan-brain whole-cell electrophysiology practical in the living mouse brain.

1. Introduction

Physiological motion due to cardiac and respiratory pulses presents a significant barrier to reliable measurement of in vivo neural phenomena in whole-cell patch clamp trials. The gigaohm seal between the interior of the pipette tip and the cell’s membrane is highly stable, and once formed, can maintain high electrical isolation for many tens of minutes to hours. However, micromotion can present a challenge for the initial formation of this stable configuration (Margrie et al., 2002). The reported amplitudes of this physiological micromotion in the brains of rodents are varied. Lee et al. report approximately 1 μm peak-to-peak for cardiac induced motion, and 10 μm for respiratory induced motion in anesthetized mice (Lee et al., 2017a). Gilletti and Muthuswamy report 5–10 μm average for breathing motion and 2–3 μm average for heartbeat in anesthetized Sprague Dawley rats (Gilletti and Muthuswamy, 2006). Fee reports an approximately 2 and 4 μm peak to peak average for cardiac and respiratory motion, respectively, in awake rats (Fee, 2000).

A variety of strategies have arisen to measure this motion in real-time, including laser interferometry and laser triangulation (Fee, 2000; Lee et al., 2017b), as well as high-speed motion detection and active stabilization of the objective lens (Lee et al., 2008a). However, these techniques are limited to superficial layers due to the highly scattering optical properties of brain tissue. Others have developed motion transducers for placement on the brain surface (Gilletti and Muthuswamy, 2006), and transducer probes inserted into the brain (Kursu et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2008b; Vahásöyinki et al., 2009). These methods are not applicable for patch clamping where only the micromotion of the cell immediately in front of the tip of the pipette is relevant. Still others have suggested invasive surgical procedures to reduce...
respiration and cardiac motion artifacts in vivo, such as tracheotomy, draining the spinal fluid, or opening the chest cavity (Britt and Rossi, 1982a, 1982b; Matsumoto et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016). An ideal solution would preserve the physiological relevance of the preparation and be applicable to whole-cell patch recording throughout the brain, from the cortical surface to subcortical nuclei. Active stabilization of sharp intracellular electrodes has been demonstrated in anesthetized zebra finches using a feedforward motion control system (Fee, 2000). This system utilizes subcutaneous EKG electrodes and intraclavicular air sac respiratory pressure sensors to measure the sources of physiological motion and compute axial movement trajectories of the pipette to stabilize sharp electrode tips within target cells.

Similarly, we have designed a system to measure the local micromotion of cells with respect to a whole-cell pipette tip to improve the success rate of gigaseal formation during automated patch clamp trials. This system utilizes the series resistance of the pipette tip as the measurement of pipette-membrane distance. No external measurements of brain motion need be utilized, and no invasive surgical procedures are required to measure the heartbeat or breathing.

We first characterize the sensitivity of the gigaseal formation to the pipette-membrane distance by attempting a gigaseal with the patch pipette tip placed at various distances with respect to the surface of plated HEK293 cells in vitro. We found that there is a very small window near the surface of the cell where gigaseal has a high probability of success (> 80 %), approximately 2 μm.

We then recorded and compensated for micromotion in the brain. The motion due to the heartbeat and breathing moves cells in the brain with respect to the pipette tip. When a cell’s membrane is near the tip of the pipette, it blocks the flow of current to the ground electrode in the saline bath above the animal’s head. Therefore, the oscillatory modulation of cell’s position is detectable as correlated oscillatory modulation (fluctuations) of the electrode current measured in voltage clamp mode on the patch amplifier.

We designed a system to use this changing impedance as a measure of the distance to the cell’s membrane and predict the motion caused by the animal’s heartbeat and breathing by computing the finite impulse response from each cardiac and respiratory event, conceptually related to the work of Fee in anesthetized zebra finches with sharp intracellular electrodes (Fee, 2000). The resulting system is capable of reducing the relative motion of the patch pipette with respect to a nearby cell, which, when suction is applied, improves gigaseal and whole-cell yield in the cortex, and approximately doubles the probability of achieving a gigaseal and whole-cell state in the thalamus.

2. Methods

2.1. Acute in vivo preparation

All experiments were performed in accordance with the Georgia Tech Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines. For in vivo preparation, all mice (n = 17) were prepared for acute experimentation (Stoy et al., 2017). Briefly, male C57BL/6 mice (p35–p49) were anesthetized with isoflurane and headfixed to a titanium headplate with C&B-Metabond dental cement (Parkell, Edgewood, NY). Craniotomies (1 mm diameter) and durotomies were performed above the Ventral Posteriormedial nucleus (VPM) of the thalamus (1.75 mm Rostral, 1.75 mm Lateral, 2.7–3.3 mm below the pial surface). For cortical experiments, craniotomies (0.5 mm in diameter) were performed above the Barrel Cortex (1.8 mm rostral, 2.6 mm lateral, 400 μm below the pial surface). In both preparations, stereotaxic coordinates from the Paxinos and Franklin mouse brain atlas (Paxinos and Franklin, 2012) were used. Patch-clamp experiments were performed in aCSF consisting of (in mM): 135 NaCl, 5 HEPES, 1 MgCl2, 5 KCl, 1.8 CaCl2 (pH: 7.3, osmolality: approximately 285 mOsm). The internal pipette solution consisted of (in mM): 135 K Gluconate, 10 HEPES, 4 KCl, 1 EGTA, 0.3 GTP-Na, 4 ATP-Mg, 10 Na2-Phosphocreatine (pH 7.25, osmolality: approximately 290 mOsm). All pipettes were pulled from standard wall, filamented, borosilicate glass (GC150F-10, Warner Instruments) to a resistance between 6 and 10 MΩ.

2.2. HEK293 T cell preparation

Human embryonic kidney (HEK293 T) cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO2 in Eagle Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) supplemented with 5% FBS, 40 μM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin and 0.1 mM streptomycin. Cells were passaged regularly and split when they reached 70 % confluency. Cells used for in vitro electrophysiology experiments were grown on glass coverslips (12 × 12 mm, No.2, VWR). Patch-clamp experiments were performed in aCSF consisting of (in mM): 161 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 6 d-Glucose, 3 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 1.5 CaCl2 (pH: 7.4). The internal pipette solution consisted of (in mM): 120 KCl, 2 MgCl2, 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES (pH: 7.2–7.3, osmolality: 290–300 mOsm).

2.3. Hardware and setup

The hardware setup is shown in Fig. 1 and consists of several subsystems, including the physiology, impulse response calculation, and patch clamp subsystems. The patch clamp subsystem itself consists of manipulator, electrophysiology, and pressure control subsystems.

2.4. Cardiac and respiratory physiological recordings

The heartbeat was recorded using an EKG preamplifier (C-ISO-256) and a Two Channel Combination Bridge EKG amplifier (ETH-256, ivorx). Leads were connected to the animal’s front paws and right hind paw via Skintact FS-TB1 – 5-Gel ECG Electrode (VWR) as shown in Fig. 1. The cardiac signal was amplified 10x and a 0.03 Hz – 10 kHz band pass filter was applied. Respiration was recorded non-invasively by placing a 20 mm guitar pickup piezo disc element underneath the mouse’s chest. The respiratory signal was scaled by 50x and a 0.3 Hz – 10 kHz band pass filter was applied. Cardiac and respiratory signals were thresholded using a Schmitt trigger configuration with a time lockout to prevent triggering on noise in the input signals, implemented on the myRIO FPGA. The threshold level was set manually at the beginning of the experiment by adjusting a slider in the GUI. The thresholded physiological signals and the primary output (patch headstage in voltage clamp mode) from the Multiclamp 700B were recorded for impulse response estimation on an NI USB-6221 BNC DAQ (National Instruments) and also recorded on a Molecular Devices Digidata 1550 digitizer and visualized with PClamp 10 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) for post processing.

2.5. Patch clamp system

2.5.1. Manipulator subsystem

Gross manipulation of the pipette is performed under manual control of the Sutter MP 285 3-axis patch manipulators (Sutter Instruments). Axial movements of the pipette are performed by the one-axis motorized translation stage (PT1-28, Thorlabs) mounted to the z-stage of the Sutter Manipulator at a 45° angle from the vertical. A single axis linear piezo flexure stage (P-611.1, Physik Instrumente) with 100 μm travel range mounted parallel to the Thorlabs stage for axial motion synchronization of the pipette tip with respect to the cell membrane. The pipette holder was attached to the piezo stage using a custom machined aluminum bracket.

2.5.2. In vivo electrophysiology and pressure control subsystems

Whole-cell patch clamping was performed as described previously (Kodandaramaiah et al., 2016). Briefly, an Autopatch 1500 (Neuro-Matic Devices) was used to provide computer-controlled pressure and measure resistance for both in vitro and in vivo experiments. Both in vitro...
and in vivo experiments were performed with Multiclamp 700B amplifiers (Molecular Devices) and signals were digitized at 20 kHz (cDAQ-9174, National Instruments), and recorded in PClamp 10. All whole-cell recordings were performed in current clamp mode.

As in our previous work (Stoy et al., 2017) where we demonstrated an algorithm for navigation around blood vessels during regional pipette localization (RPL), resistance measurements were performed throughout RPL, rather than only before and after localization. Briefly, during localization to the region of interest (cortex or thalamus for this study), the pipette resistance was recorded in the aCSF on the surface of the tissue by applying a 20 mV amplitude, 128 Hz square wave at 50% duty cycle and stored as a moving average of four periods. If an obstruction was encountered during RPL (noted by an increase in resistance above a threshold), a sequence of motion and pressure control steps were issued to navigate electrodes efficiently around putative blood vessels, return to the original pipette axis, and continue neuron hunting.

2.6. Software

2.6.1. Synchronization procedure

Neuron hunting and neuron detection proceeds as discussed in our previous work (Stoy et al., 2017). When a neuron is encountered during the neuron hunting step, forward motion of the pipette (here, 1 μm/s) is halted, the differential resistance square wave is stopped, and the synchronization procedure begins.

The relationship between a dynamic system’s inputs (here, the distance between the pipette and the cell), and its inputs (here, the cardiac and respiratory events) is defined as the system’s impulse response. The dynamic system comprises the mechanical transmission of movement from the heartbeats and breaths to the movement of the cell and is assumed to be linear and time invariant (LTI). As such, the system is completely characterized by the impulse response, and a prediction of the output can be made by convolving the system’s input signals with its impulse response. This prediction is used to drive the position of the pipette in concert with the motion of the cell.

A proxy measurement for the pipette-cell distance is made by applying a ~65 mV holding voltage to the pipette in voltage clamp mode, enabling high temporal resolution recording of the pipette current, which is inversely proportional to the DC pipette resistance. The current from the amplifier and the thresholded cardiac and respiratory signals are recorded simultaneously in a ring buffer for 8 s. The signals were decimated to 200 Hz to improve the speed of computation. The impulse responses were calculated using the MATLAB function impulseest (Supplementary Fig. 2). This function can take one or several input arrays and one output array as arguments and performs a non-parametric impulse response estimation of a given order using a recursive least squares estimation. The resulting cardiac and respiratory impulse responses were delivered to the myRIO embedded real-time system via NI shared variable synchronization over USB. The impulse responses were then interpolated to 1 kHz and convolved with the rising edges of the thresholded heartbeat and respiration signals on the real-time system using custom LabVIEW code on the myRIO real-time system, resulting in an estimation of the motion of the cell with respect to the pipette. This motion estimation was used as a command signal to drive the position of the piezo stage. The command signal was scaled manually by monitoring the fluctuations on the current trace until a suitable reduction in amplitude was observed, indicating sufficient synchronization of the
position of the pipette and cell to perform a gigaseal attempt. The gigaseal attempt is queued by user input and is performed following the measurement of the next breath. When the next rising edge from the thresholded respiratory signal is detected, a gigasealing and break-in procedure are attempted after a 0.5 s delay as described below. During the gigasealing procedure, if the pipette resistance (Rp) crosses a 300 MΩ threshold, the amplitude of the piezo stage command signal is reduced linearly over 3 s to 0 V. If the gigasealing procedure is aborted, the piezo command signal is immediately reduced to 0 V.

2.6.2. Gigasealing and break-in procedure

When the gigasealing procedure begins, the system restarts the differential measurement of pipette resistance by applying a 20 mV square voltage pulse, and -40 mbar of suction is applied to the pipette. After 15 s of suction, the pressure is switched to atmospheric and the system will wait for the establishment of a gigaseal, defined as a measurement of total resistance over 1000 MΩ. Following formation of the gigaseal, the differential resistance square wave is stopped, and the fast and slow pipette and patch capacitances are compensated.

The break-in procedure is performed by applying a series of up to 15 pulses of suction at -345 mbar for increasing durations. Because the suction pressure is capacitatively filtered by the tubing leading from the regulator to the pipette holder, increasing the suction time has the effect of also increasing the maximum pressure that is applied to the patch of membrane in the pipette. The break-in duration is increased by 25 ms prior to each attempt. Following each attempt, the program waits 1.25 s and averages the last 10 resistance measurements. If the resistance is above 800 MΩ, the program will immediately perform another break-in attempt as described above. If the resistance is between 300 MΩ and 800 MΩ, the program will wait until the seal has “recovered” to 800 MΩ and then perform another break-in attempt. If the resistance is below 300 MΩ, the program assumes that a break-in has occurred and returns control to the user for recording. At this point, the user may choose to perform maintenance on the patch (apply slight negative suction to counteract the pressure head from the fluid in the pipette, or attempt to further break into the cell manually, etc.) or the user may proceed onto electrophysiological recording of the whole-cell. After 60 s, or manual abort of the break-in process, the pipette is retracted to the surface of the brain to be cleaned as we have described before (I Kolb et al., 2016) or exchanged if desired.

2.7. Measurement of in vitro relationship between gigaseal yield and pipette-membrane distance

The sensitivity of gigaseal formation to the distance between the membrane and the pipette tip was determined in vitro using HEK293 T cells. The cells were visualized under 40X magnification using DIC optics. For each trial, a pipette filled with intracellular solution under 30 mbar of positive pressure was lowered near the surface of a cell and centered in X and Y above it. The pipette was then stepped down in Z in 200 nm steps and the resistance was measured after each step. When the pipette resistance had increased 0.1 MΩ above the initial pipette resistance (the threshold to begin gigasealing as defined in our previous work (I Kolb et al., 2016)), the Z position of the manipulator was defined as the “cell surface”. The downward pipette stepping was halted when the resistance had increased to 0.2 MΩ above the initial pipette resistance. The pipette was then retracted 30 μm (to eliminate backlash) and then moved to a random Z position between 3 μm above the cell surface and 7 μm below the cell surface. The positive pressure was then immediately released and a gigaseal and break-in procedure is performed as described previously (I Kolb et al., 2016). The success or failure of the gigaseal and subsequent whole-cell state was recorded.

2.8. Application of high yield autopatching techniques to an investigation of the thalamocortical pathway

In a subset of cortical experiments (n = 2 mice), we applied the motion compensation technique described in this work, as well as robotic navigation during RPL (Stoy et al., 2017), and pipette cleaning (I Kolb et al., 2016; Ilya Kolb et al., 2019), towards an investigation of the mouse thalamocortical pathway. We utilized this system to investigate the role of the sensory environment and ventral posterior medial region (VPM) projection synchrony on intracellular dynamics in the barrel cortex. A transgenic mouse colony was developed that expresses the opsin ChR2 in the VPM (See Supplementary Section S1.2). These neurons send excitatory projections to the barrel cortex (layer 4), which are stimulated using a fiber-coupled blue LED (470 nm, Thorlabs). This optical stimulation was combined with whisker stimulation from a galvanometer. The barrel cortex of each mouse was mapped using intrinsic signal optical imaging (ISOI) to identify regions representing the C1 and C2 whisker (Borden et al., 2017, Supplementary Fig. 3).

3. Results

3.1. Effect of pipette-membrane distance on gigasealing yield in vitro

To explore the relationship between small changes in the distance between the pipette and cell membrane and the probability of gigaseal formation, we performed an experiment in HEK293 T cells. On each trial, the distance between the pipette and the membrane was randomly selected to be between 3 μm above and 7 μm below the surface of the cell. At each location, positive pressure on the patch pipette was released and the formation of a gigaseal was attempted on the cell (n = 59 attempts, 40 gigaseals, Fig. 2a). The resulting yield (successful gigaseals / number of attempts) with respect to pipette-membrane distance was smoothed with a 2 μm moving average window at 0.1 μm intervals. At the surface of the cell, 0 μm on Fig. 2b, indicated by a vertical line, the gigaseal yield is 75 % (n = 9 gigaseals / 12 attempts).

The results in this experiment results conform to our previous measurements of the probability of achieving a whole-cell configuration in HEK293 cells (p = 1, n = 54/70, Fisher’s Exact Test, (Ilya Kolb et al., 2019)), although break-in was not attempted on the cells in this experiment. Within a 3 μm window (2 μm above the cell surface to 1 μm below the cell surface), the probability of gigaseal formation increases from 0 to 100 % relatively linearly. When the pipette was pushed further into the cell, the gigaseal rate plateaus at 100 % between 1 μm–2.5 μm below the surface. As the pipette is advanced further, up to 7 μm below the cell surface, the gigaseal rate decays back to 0%. Each probability plotted in Fig. 2b was calculated as the result of at least 4 attempts.

3.2. Synchronization of pipette-membrane distance

An example of the motion compensation process can be seen in Fig. 3. Here, a 10 MΩ pipette is used to form a gigaseal with a thalamic cell after compensation for the cardiac and respiratory motion. The peak-to-peak cardiac current fluctuations were reduced by 89 % (1.14 to 0.13 nA) and the peak-to-peak respiratory current fluctuations were reduced by 51 % (2.35 to 1.16 nA). Following a suitable reduction in the current fluctuation amplitudes, a slight negative pressure (-30 mbar) was applied to form a gigaseal. The cell achieved a gigaseal in 3.7 s, and, importantly, achieved a high series resistance (400 MΩ) before the arrival of the next respiratory event. We surmise that a large series resistance, but not necessarily a gigahm seal, is critical for mechanical stability during periods of high-amplitude motion.

To evaluate the success of the feedforward system at approximating the finite impulse response of physiological sources of motion in the brain, whole-cell patch clamp trials were performed in the cortex (n = 7 mice, 70 trials) and in the thalamus (n = 8 mice, 76 trials). Neuron
hunting was performed as we published previously (Kodandaramaiah et al., 2012; Stoy et al., 2017). The forward progress of the pipette was halted when the pipette resistance increased above threshold and the peak-to-peak amplitude of the resistance fluctuations was above a user-determined threshold, indicating that the pipette was near a cell.

Once near a cell, motion compensation was attempted while measuring the pipette current in voltage clamp mode with a DC voltage applied (−65 mV). Unless otherwise stated, these results will discuss the

![Fig. 2](image-url) Measurement of the relationship between pipette-membrane distance and gigaseal probability. A pipette was slowly lowered vertically onto a plated HEK293 T cell. At a resistance increase of 0.1 MΩ, the pipette is determined to be at the surface of the cell. The pipette is then moved into a random position between 3 μm above and 7 μm below the surface of the cell and a gigaseal is attempted. A) shows a schematic of this process. B) Pipette resistance increases as the pipette is stepped down into the cell. The cell surface is defined as the location where the pipette resistance increases 0.1 MΩ above its initial resistance in the saline bath far above the cell. C) Probability of gigaseal formation as a function of pipette position with respect to the membrane. Yield was calculated as the number of gigaseals / total number of attempts within a sliding 2 μm window. Pipettes 2.5 μm or more above the cell surface did not form a gigaseal with the pipette. Yield was maximized 1-2 μm below the surface of the cell.

![Fig. 3](image-url) A) Motion compensation method applied to mouse thalamus in vivo. The recorded cell is held at −65 mV in voltage clamp mode and fluctuations in the measured current are recorded. Fluctuations in the measured current are indicative of a nearby cell moving with respect to the pipette tip. The EKG and respiratory sensor signals are used to determine physiological motion impulse responses. These impulse responses are used to drive the pipette axially using a high-resolution piezo stage, synchronize the motion of the pipette tip to the cell, and achieve a gigaseal as follows. i) The impulse responses are convolved with the live, thresholded EKG and respiratory input and used as a command signal for the piezo stage. ii) The impulse responses are manually scaled until the pipette current fluctuations are minimized, and the user sets the gigaseal mode to trigger after the next breath. iii) Suction is applied to the pipette and the gigaseal begins to form. The series resistance of the electrode is measured using Ohm’s law during gigasealing by applying a 128 Hz, 20 mV square wave. iv) The gigaseal has nearly formed and suction is removed. v) The gigaseal has formed and the seal is now mechanically stable. The motion compensation is halted. Following this procedure, break in can be performed automatically to perforate the membrane and achieve a whole-cell configuration. B) Inset shows current clamp recording from the motion compensation results in A. Cell was recorded in current clamp mode. Cell shows burst spiking rebound following prolonged hyperpolarization, characteristic of thalamic neurons. Upper vertical scale bar, 20 mV. Lower vertical scale bar, 100 pA. Horizontal scale bar, 500 ms. C) Example current clamp recordings from cells recorded in the thalamus, each showing burst spiking rebound.
reduction in the peak-to-peak current amplitude resulting from cardiac motion. Respiratory events were used to trigger the gigasealing algorithm, following a short delay. In the thalamus, the peak-to-peak amplitude of the current fluctuations immediately prior to the motion compensation was 0.83 ± 0.56 nA and was reduced to 0.21 ± 0.18 nA (an average of 72.5% reduction in amplitude, when measured on a trial-by-trial basis). In the cortex, the peak-to-peak amplitude before motion compensation was 0.67 ± 0.41 nA and was reduced to 0.25 ± 0.13 nA (a trial-by-trial average of 57.5% reduction in amplitude). The initial peak-to-peak current amplitude was not significantly different between the thalamus and cortex (p = 0.326, Wilcoxon rank sum test). However, the average amplitude of motion compensation in the thalamus and cortex was 3.7 ± 1.4 μm and 1.7 ± 1.1 μm, respectively, and was found to be not significantly different (p = 3.62e-6, Wilcoxon rank sum test). Reducing the relative motion between the pipette and the cell in the thalamus resulted in a substantial increase gigaseal yield. Gigaseals were formed in 32/66 trials where regional pipette localization and neuron hunting were performed successfully. This is a significantly higher gigaseal yield in the thalamus achieved using only robotic navigation during regional pipette localization (RPL) (17/64 trials. See Fig. 4, p = 0.012, Fisher’s exact test, Stoy et al., 2017), and is the highest reported in vivo gigaseal yield in the thalamus that we are aware of.

In the cortex, trials utilizing both motion compensation and robotic navigation achieved whole-cell configurations at a rate similar to Kodandaramaiah et al. (See Fig. 4, first row, p = 0.171), and our gigaseal yield (66%, n = 41/62) was similar to our previous efforts in the mouse cortex (Kodandaramaiah et al., 2012: 51%, n = 28/55, p = 0.132, Fisher’s exact test).

Following the formation of a gigaseal, the break-in procedure is enacted, and the membrane is ruptured with one or more brief pulses of suction to form a whole-cell state. The whole-cell yield, for all pipettes inserted to a depth of 3+ mm in the thalamus using the methods described here, was 24% (n = 1876 attempts, Fig. 4), which represents a significant improvement over our previous automated patching efforts in the thalamus (10%, n = 9/88 attempts, p = 0.033, Fisher’s Exact Test), and over all previously reported patching efforts in the thalamus to our knowledge.

3.3. Vibrissae pathway investigation with whisker and optogenetic stimulation

We demonstrated the efficacy of the motion compensation in an experiment on a preparation utilizing optogenetic and whisker stimulation and whole-cell patch clamp electrophysiology (Fig. 5A). Sensory information is transduced at the whisker and sent through the trigeminal nucleus and thalamus until it reaches the primary sensory cortex. Each individual whisker has a discrete representation that is preserved along this trigeminal-thalamic-cortical pathway, making this system ideal for the study of sensory perception. In this experiment, the Nsmf-Cre line was crossed with the Ai32 mouse to express the channelrhodopsin, ChR2, in the primary whisker sensory thalamic region (VPM). By combining whole-cell recording and optical stimulation of the VPM axonal terminals, we could explore cortical circuitry while bypassing several sensory processing areas, including the trigeminal nucleus and the thalamus. This complex experiment required several days of preparation including intrinsic optical mapping and genotyping, and therefore required high yield cortical recordings. We found that our automated patch clamping system using motion compensation was able to efficiently record from the cortex.

We used these subthreshold recordings to investigate timing differences of sensory stimuli and optogenetic stimulation. Four recorded cells were responsive to whisker and optogenetic stimulation, although two cells were only weakly responsive. We observed a 4.5 ± 1.0 ms delay between the onset of the mean subthreshold response on whisker stimulation trials compared to trials with optogenetic stimulation (time to reach 10% of peak height, n = 4, Fig. 5C, Supplementary Fig. 5). This delay is slightly longer than the response latency in the VPM in awake mice in response to whisker touch stimulus (2.6 ± 0.8 ms, Yu et al., 2019).

Additionally, the firing pattern was similar between trials where VPM axonal terminals were stimulated with light and trials where the whisker was stimulated, (Fig. 5B). Similarly, when spikes were detected and removed by linear interpolation (1.5 ms before the spike peak, 4.5 ms following the spike peak), the subthreshold response dynamics were preserved. Specifically, the average full width half max value was similar (whisker: 40.3 ± 10.4 ms, optogenetic: 38.9 ± 7.4 ms, n = 4, p = 0.83, two-sample t-test). The peak amplitude of the two strongly whisker- and light-responsive cells was similar as well (See Supplementary Fig. 5).

We performed 13 trials in 2 mice and achieved a whole-cell configuration in 8 attempts (61.5% success rate). In 7 trials, we recorded physiologically relevant signals for between 4–43 min (n = 7; 4, 13, 14, 16, 35, 35, 43 min) and 5 of these cells were found to be whisker-responsive, and of those cells, 4 were also found to be responsive to optogenetic stimulation. Three of these recordings were terminated early following sufficient data collection so that more trials could be performed. This demonstrates that these recordings are not only stable, but that there is no damage to the cells caused by the addition of the motion compensation procedure.

4. Discussion

In this work, we have demonstrated 1) that there is a significant distance dependent relationship between the electrode tip and cell membrane which affects the likelihood of gigaseal formation in vitro, 2) that the distance between the membrane and the pipette fluctuates during the gigasealing step in vivo, 3) that by measurement of the pipette

---

**Fig. 4.** Results from automated whole-cell patch clamp experiments in vivo. Results from (Kodandaramaiah et al., 2012, row 1) are compared with motion compensation trials in the cortex, row 2. Rows 3-5: Mouse thalamus whole-cell recording trials using linear (Kodandaramaiah et al., 2012, row 3) and robotic (Stoy et al., 2017, row 4) regional pipette localization methods are compared with trials using motion compensation during the gigasealing stage, row 5. p values are calculated with Fisher’s Exact Test.
rupture poorly when large-amplitude motion arrives during the application. Additionally, we hypothesize that membranes may be more likely to form gigaseals and pipettes that are pushed too far into the cells will contribute to the tension in the membrane (Suchyna et al., 2009), and that the distance between the pipette and the cell membrane can be performed, and, critically that this stabilization procedure results in a significantly higher gigaseal rate in deep subcortical nuclei, such as the thalamus. Importantly, this gigaseal rate is commensurate with previously reported yields for manual and Autopatching yields in vivo in the cortex (Kodandaramiah et al., 2012). This method therefore opens the door to high-yield automated patch clamping studies throughout the brain at yields similar to those expected previously in only cortical layers (<1 mm deep) in vivo.

The whole-cell recording yield is the product of the yield of the four stages of the patch algorithm (See Fig. 4). We note that there is still a low rate of successful break-in (in this work, 56% in the thalamus, 68% in the cortex), with deep patching that is irrespective of localization method, linear or robotic. We suspect that further optimizing the average distance between the pipette and the cell during the break-in stage may improve the success. We hypothesize that break-in dynamics (and gigasealing dynamics for that matter) are at least partially related to the tension in the membrane (Suchyna et al., 2009), and that there may be a similar optimal tension on a cell membrane for break-in. Additionally, we hypothesize that membranes may be more likely to rupture poorly when large-amplitude motion arrives during the application of a high-negative-pressure pulse. Further experiments can be performed to investigate the relationship between respiratory event arrival times and the failure rate of break-in attempts.

In this study, we report significantly higher gigaseal yield when motion compensation is applied during whole-cell patching trials performed in the thalamus. When a cell moves with respect to a pipette, the distance between the pipette and the membrane contributes to the amplitude of the measured current. This relationship is known to be nonlinear (Rheinauender and Schaffer, 2013). For example, when the average distance is greater than the pipette diameter, no change in current will be measured. When the distance between the cell and the pipette decreases, the flow of current to ground is impeded by the cell membrane. As the cell nears the pipette during its modulated position cycle, we expect the flow of current to decrease, and as it moves further than the pipette diameter away, we expect the current to be rectified to the original pipette current. Finally, if the pipette has pressed into the cell far enough, we would again expect the pipette current to be rectified, indicating that the membrane is being pulled against the pipette (the cell is nearly impaled in this configuration). We did not notice that there was current rectification immediately prior to gigasealing on any of the trials performed in this study. Rather, the pipette current was slightly reduced (approximately 5–10% of the original current). This suggests that the pipettes were slightly indenting the surface of the cell’s membranes in this study, immediately prior to gigasealing. However, this is the same decrease in current that we have used in all previous studies with the Autopatcher, both in the cortex and the thalamus (Kodandaramiah et al., 2012; Stoy et al., 2017). Further studies may be performed to investigate the success rate due to average distance from the pipette tip to the membrane in vivo and in vitro in brain slices. Specifically, a study of distance dependent gigasealing rate can be performed in neurons in slices of brain tissue to more closely reflect the deformability of the cells and their surroundings, as opposed to the cells plated on stiff substrates used in this study. We still expect that there will be an ‘inverted U’ shaped relationship of gigaseal probability with respect to pipette-membrane distance, similar to Fig. 2. Regardless of preparation, pipettes at large distances from cell membranes will never form gigaseals and pipettes that are pushed too far into the cells will damage the membrane. Therefore, we expect that the region of high yield gigaseal formation will be larger, but not indefinitely. Further, the long-term health of cells that have been greatly indented during gigaseal formation is of concern. Any study that seeks to establish a robust distance dependent gigaseal rate for cells in brain slices should take this factor into account and measure the cell’s resting membrane potential and the longevity of the whole-cell recording.

The optimal distance between the pipette and the cell surface in vivo was not empirically determined in this study. At the point of neuron detection, we assumed that the pipette-cell distance was within the ideal range, but that this distance was modulated by the heartbeat and breathing motion. Throughout the course of a heartbeat or breath, the cell’s position would be modulated with respect to the pipette, and the pipette-cell distance would move into and out of this ideal range. From our experiments in cell cultures, moving 1–2 microns from the surface of the cell can dramatically reduce the probability of forming a gigaseal (See Fig. 2e). By synchronizing the position of the pipette tip with respect to the cell, centered on this initial detection location, we reasoned that the probability of gigasealing would be improved in vivo, and indeed, the gigaseal rate improved twofold when motion compensation was applied.
For some trials, respiratory motion was compensated, but most of the analysis in this work focused on the reduction in heartbeat motion. While an impulse response function was computed for respiratory events, the correction was modest, and we opted to use the respiratory signal to trigger gigasealing instead (See Fig. 3). When a respiratory event was detected, gigasealing would be triggered following a short delay. In this manner, the deleterious effects of respiratory motion were reduced by forming a gigaseal in between breaths while the motion from the heartbeat was actively compensated.

The peak-to-peak gigaseal current in the thalamus and cortex were not significantly different, however motion compensation amplitudes in each region was found to be significantly different. This is due to the nonlinearity of the distance-pipette current relationship (See Fig. 2B). The resistance is inversely proportional to pipette current. The pipette was advanced until the pipette resistance increased by a given percentage of the initial pipette resistance. We hypothesize that at this point the minimum cell-pipette distance is the same for cortical and thalamic cells, however, as the cell moves away from the pipette, the current asymptotically approaches the initial pipette current (Rheinlaender and Schaffer, 2013). For this reason, larger motion amplitudes would not be expected to produce proportionally larger fluctuations in current. The higher amplitude motion compensation amplitude in the thalamus caused a greater increase in gigaseal formation success rate in the thalamus over the cortex.

Although we did not explore automated patching in awake, head fixed animals, such preparations may benefit from the motion compensation. In the awake animal, there are three primary sources of motion: heartbeat, breathing, and voluntary movement. Because the method described here relies on estimating an impulse response function, it is best suited to compensating for periodic motion. The motion caused by one heartbeat is likely very similar to each subsequent heartbeat, however, voluntary movement is likely to change over time (e.g. licking, grooming, and running), and is therefore difficult to detect and compensate using this method. Compensation for heartbeat and breathing motion could still improve the gigaseal rate of automated patching in the awake, behaving animal. Importantly, both heart rate and breathing rate are increased in the awake animal, reducing the available time for a tight seal to form between the cell and pipette between breaths. Finally, EKG and respiratory event detection methods must be minimally invasive for the comfort of the awake animal.

Although the work of Michale Fee in his 2000 paper entitled, “Active Stabilization of Electrodes for Intracellular Recording in Awake Behaving Animals”, provides an important conceptual basis for the above method, there are a number of key differences present in the work shown here. Fee computed the impulse response using the Fourier transform and standard spectral analysis techniques with (generally) the inverse Fourier transform of the transfer function. The main advantage of Fee’s method is that, computationally, it is exceptionally fast (1 ms in our implementation). In contrast, we used a least-squares estimation, implemented with MATLAB’s impulseest function. The impulseest function proceeds with a recursive least-squares estimation, producing single-shot impulse responses that, when convolved with the physiological input signals, has high correlation to the measured system, as evidenced by the 71 % average reduction in current fluctuation amplitude. The computation time of this recursive model is significantly longer (~0.5 s) than the spectral analysis techniques used by Fee. However, the result of this single-shot impulse estimation is that it takes an overall shorter time to apply the impulse response than Fee and reduced recording time required to gather training data. Fee used an iterative process to update the cardiac and respiratory impulse responses. Each computation requires 2–4 seconds and is updated at least once, sometimes up to 3 times. This results in a total recording / computation time of 8–24 seconds. Reducing the time to apply the motion compensation is likely critical to the success of gigaseal formation and to the health of the cell following break-in. When the pipette is in proximity to a cell before gigaseal formation is attempted, it is under positive pressure. This pressure causes flow of intracellular fluid out of the pipette tip and alters the ionic composition of the extracellular space. Prolonged perfusion of this space with intracellular solution can damage cells, precluding successful gigaseal formation or reducing the longevity or physiological relevance of whole-cells that are achieved. Additionally, expulsion of unnecessary intracellular solution will degrade the image quality with high background fluorescence or off-target staining if the pipette contains a fluorophore or biocytin for single cell labelling.

The motion compensation hardware and software described here is part of an automated suite of tools for neuroscientists that enables the recording of whole-cell electrophysiology in previously difficult-to-access tissue (Stoy et al., 2017). Additionally, the combination of this motion compensation procedure and robotic localization during regional pipette localization with pipette cleaning and reuse (I Kolb et al., 2016) enabled full automation of demanding experiments in highly valuable tissue. Together, this constellation of tools represents empowering technology for the field of neuroscience to gather repeatable, high-throughput, high-quality data throughout the brain from fewer animals and with minimal human intervention.
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