Transcriptomic Analysis of Chronic Levetiracetam Treatment in Aged 5XFAD Mice: Relationship with Pharmacodynamics and Pharmacokinetics

MODEL-AD Model Organism Development & Evaluation for Late-Onset



*S. J. SUKOFF RIZZO^{1,2}, K. D. ONOS², K. KEEZER², L. HAYNES², H. WILLIAMS², S. K. QUINNEY³, A. MASTERS³, C. BIESDORF DE ALMEIDA³, A. A. BEDWELL³, J. A. MEYER³, C. INGRAHAM³, J. PETERS³, S. A. PERSOHN³, R. SPEEDY³, L. FIGUEIREDO³, K. ELDRIDGE³, M. SASNER², A. OBLAK³, B. T. LAMB³, G. CARTER², P. R. TERRITO³ ¹University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA; ²The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME; ³Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN



Introduction

The preclinical testing core (PTC) of the Model Organism Development for Late Onset Alzheimer's Disease (MODEL-AD) consortium has established a streamlined preclinical drug testing strategy with go/no-go decision points that allow critical and unbiased assessments of potential therapeutic agents. The goals of the PTC are to develop a testing strategy that maximizes the therapeutic potential of all drug candidates by initiating the dosing strategy prior to the onset of disease relevant biomarker readouts. The PTC strategy includes a primary screen to determine: 1) drug formulation; 2) drug stability; and 3) in vivo pharmacokinetics and target tissue concentrations in models at diseaserelevant ages. A secondary screen evaluates target disease modifying activity utilizing non-invasive PET/MRI as a pharmacodynamics (PD) readout matched to known disease pathology in the model.



currently in clinical trials for the treatment of cognitive impairment associated with AD, for testing in aging male and female 5XFAD mice

Methods

- Pharmacodynamic studies: Chronic administration of LEV began at 3 months of age with all PD endpoints measured at 6 mo of age, LEV was administered twice daily (BID), PO, All PET scanning (15 min/ea.) was performed on the IndyPET3 scanner and post mortem brains were extracted and frozen for autoradiography (Autorad), MRIs were acquired (10 min/ea.) on a Siemens 3T Prisma scanner outfitted with a 4 channel phased array head coil. PET/MRI images were co-registered to Paxinos-Franklin atlas and 27 average brain (56 total for left and right) regions were extracted. For Autoradiography, frozen brains were sectioned at 20 um in sextuplet at 3 bregma targets, exposed on phosphor plates, scanned and manually segmented for 16 brain regions. On behavioral testing days, LEV or vehicle was administered as a 30 min pretreatment prior to testing.
- Terminal tissue collection: At the conclusion of behavioral testing, terminal CSF, plasma, and brain tissue were collected. Bioanalytical analysis was performed by LC-MS/MS and Non-compartmental analysis (NCA) for terminal plasma and right brain hemisphere for confirmatory PK. Homogenate from left brain hemisphere was analyzed using a custom Nanostring nCounter® Mouse AD panel that was designed to identify correlations to changes in gene expression specific to clinical lateonset AD. Differential gene expression was determined based on genotype, sex, and treatment,

All technicians were blinded to dose and genotype during execution of experiments and throughout data collection and analysis. Detailed protocols and raw QCd data are available at www.ampadportal.org.

Observed Pharmacokinetics of Levetiracetam following 3 month chronic PO dosing BID						
	Plasma ng/mL (time=0h) (Mean±SD)		Plasma ng/mL (time=0.5h) (Mean±SD)		Cortex ng/g (time=0.5h) (Mean±SD)	
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
Levetiracetam						
0 mg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ
10 mg/kg	2.50±3.7	3.6±6.9	6324.4±2631.8	7617.6±1843.7	2503.2±537.9	2741.1±851.6
30 mg/kg	13.8±12.7	8.2±9.3	21049.8±4090.8	26263.8±13758.7	10742.6±4092.9	11821.0±5109.9
56 mg/kg	10.4±7.2	26.9±21.8	33797.6±6081.8	40161.1±14832.5	20281.2±5048.1	21694.3±4038.3
Etiracetam						
0 mg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ	< LOQ
10 mg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ	39.3±18.1	45.0±8.4	23.0±14.8	24.9±15.1
30 mg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ	143.9±34.8	138.8±41.6	73.1±35.3	90.6±50.9
56 mg/kg	< LOQ	< LOQ	237.8±44.7	239.8±52.5	150.1±63.7	182.3±65.0
Plasma LOQ=0.3ng/mL; Cortex LOQ=0.8ng/mL.						

Acknowledgements

MODEL-AD was established with funding from The National Institute on Aging (U54 AG054345-01) AMP-AD Knowledge Portal: www.ampadportal.org MODEL AD: www.modelad.org

 Jax AD models: https://www.jax.org/alzheimers AlzForum research models: <u>http://www.alzforum.org/research-m</u>



18F-AV45 PET/MRI images repr ent an average of 5 rand ected males and females, while autoradio all cases, images are presented as SUVR to the cerebellum. Each bregma image panel presented as average MRI (left), PET (center-left), Fused (center-right), and Auto-radiography (right) for three bregma targets (0.38, -1.94, -3.80) as a function of chronic LEV dosing (top to bottom).

Quantitative analysis of 18F-AV45 PET/MRI uptake in male and female



Chronic prophylactic treatment with LEV failed to alter glucose metabolism in 6 month aged male and female 5XFAD mice as measured by 18F-FDG PET



18E-EDG PET/MRI images represent an average of 5 randomly selected male and females, while Autoradiography images ted as SUVR to the m. Each bregma image panel pre ted as average MRI (left). PET (c ter-left). Fused (center-right), and Auto-ra diography (right) for three bregma targets (0.38, -1.94, -3.80) as a function of chronic LEV dosing (top to bottom









Chronic administration with LEV did not alter ation in 6 month age female 5XFAD mice as measured by latency to aintain balance on a rotarod

vehicle treated 5XFAD demonstrated the expected deficit relative to WT with LEV dose related increases in % alternatio

Gene Expression Profiling of Chronic Levetiracetam Treatment





Summary & Conclusions

Taken together these data suggest that the 5XFAD mouse model may not be an optimal model for studying therapeutic interventions for Alzheimer's disease, independent of its utility to model early onset plague deposition.

Transcriptomics data provide revealing information on LEV's mechanism of action and may point to specific genetic mouse models where evaluation of the effects of LEV may be more relevant

Gene expression profiling may be an important tool for identifying patient populations related to a drug's mechanism of action