MC785: Reproductive Health Advocacy

Spring 2015

Wednesdays 2:30-5:00 2 credits

Course Overview

This course aims to strengthen the ability of students to be effective advocates in the arena of public policy related to the control of women's fertility. We begin with the premise that understanding the historic, socio-political and legal context in which debates about fertility control have evolved is critical for advocates, regardless of position or agenda. In the first two classes we trace the social and legal histories that have shaped current policy debates on contraception and abortion and related issues of reproductive control. In these historic overviews, we trace key social movements (e.g. civil rights, women's movements, and racial struggles over matters of reproductive control), technologic advances, and legislative and judicial decisions, and analyze how they have shaped the health of women and the environment of reproductive health services. In class 3, we examine major public policies and programs that shape the availability of and access to contraceptive and abortion services and providers, and describe current challenges for public health. We then explore in depth a range of advocacy strategies to meet these challenges, used by organizations and service providers in today's political environment. In classes 4-6, students collaboratively prepare for and conduct mock congressional hearings on two pieces of state legislation—one focused on parental consent legislation and the other on the public funding of abortion. For their final class and assignment, students write and present a policy brief, written from the stance of a legislative aid on a self-selected public policy question related to the course theme.

Background

Women's reproductive capacity has long been contested territory--within families, communities, and governments, across time and culture. To what extent should reproduction be controlled, and by whom? On what bases have women asserted their wish for control in their own reproductive lives—survival? health? autonomy, privacy, justice? What about the fetus--does it have independent legal, moral, social, political, or religious status? And what about husbands and parents of adolescents – what are their rights and responsibilities in matters of fertility control and decision-making? These questions raise profound and divisive public policy controversies and challenge advocates on all sides of the debates. In this course we simultaneously examine the social, political, legal and religious perspectives that are at play in these questions and debates; yet it is

MC785 svll 2015

¹ In light of the brevity of this course and the difficulties inherent in inviting guests who represent opposing positions on opposing sides of the political debates, our panel of advocacy experts includes only those who share "pro-birth control" and "pro-choice" goals, but they are from organizations with a wide range of objectives and strategies.

our intent to explore them primarily through our analyses of concrete legislative proposals.

Course Objectives

Upon completion of the course, students will be able to:

Self reflection:

- 1. Reflect critically on their own views related to sexuality, contraception, and abortion, and the values, cultural and racial backgrounds, and life experiences that shape them.
- 2. Reflect on their personal and professional goals in taking this course, with an assessment during classes 1 and 7.
- 3. Clarify their own positions about the role of public policy in the regulation of women's fertility, and the social, moral and political foundations upon which they are built.
- 4. Recognize how moral values and political agendas—both personal and social—drive public opinion and policy formation in the area of reproductive health.

Knowledge base:

- 5. Relate current debates about contraception, abortion and fertility control to socio-political movements, technologic advances, legislative and judicial decisions in the U.S. over the past 150 years.
- 6. Illustrate through historic examples how the status of women, embryos and fetuses, and the rights and responsibilities of fathers, adolescents, and reproductive health professionals influence fertility control in public policy.
- 7. Describe existing programs and policies that have a major impact on women's fertility and its control.
- 8. Identify significant public health consequences of private reproductive choices and of public policy that influences these choices.
- 9. Identify and assess key strategies employed by advocacy organizations currently engaged in issues of reproductive control in Massachusetts, and the challenges that confront them.

Skills:

10. Write and present testimony for two mock state congressional hearings on legislative proposals related to parental consent and public funding of abortion—once representing a position close to your own and once representing a position opposed to your own.

- 11. Work collaboratively to develop the argument and prepare testimony on two legislative proposals for mock congressional hearings.
- 12. Effectively argue a position, persuade others, and challenge different viewpoints in context of class discussions and mock hearings.
- 13. Effectively and strategically advocate for a position on a specific public policy question related to the course theme and write a persuasive policy brief as a legislative aid.

Faculty

Lois McCloskey, DrPH Associate Professor and Director, MPH Program Department of Maternal and Child Health 617 638-5882 loism@bu.edu

Deborah Allen, ScD Associate Professor Department of Maternal and Child Health 617 414-1416 dallen@bu.edu

Jessica Taubner (Teaching Assistant) 617 638-7795 jtaubner@bu.edu

Assignments and Grading

General class participation	10%
Evidence of having completed reading	
Contribution in terms of questions or comments	
Midterm: participation in two mock hearings	
Written testimony (2)	20%
Delivery of testimony and response to questions	10%
Preparation and delivery of questions as committee member	10%
Final: legislative briefing	
5 page (d.s.) policy brief on selected public policy question	40%
Presentation of brief and response to questions	10%

Content of written assignments

The two written testimony papers should be up to 2 d.s. pages each. We will assign a role and a perspective (e.g. physician, religious leader, health administrator). In your testimony you should argue a particular (assigned) side of the case. The key is to make a few hard-hitting points.

Class 5 hearings will be set up as state Senate hearings on a bill regarding public funding of abortion. **2004 Utah Senate Bill 68** http://www.le.state.ut.us/~2004/htmdoc/sbillhtm/SB0068.htm

We will divide the class in half and hold two consecutive hearings. We will assign to each participant a position and a "side" of the issue.

Class 6 hearings will be set up as state Congressional hearings in New Hampshire on a bill regarding parental consent for access to abortion. Legislation: 2003 New Hampshire House Bill 763 that went to Supreme Court: http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/legislation/2003/HB0763.html

We will divide the class in half, as in Class 5. We will assign a role and position to each student—one that contrasts with that assigned in Class 6. For example if you were a feminist reporter during Class 5, you may be an administrator of a Catholic hospital during Class 6.

Each student will present their testimony for up to 5 minutes and then respond to questions from congressional representatives (the half of class NOT presenting testimony during the first hearing will play these roles, and then switch for the second hearing.)

We will debrief the hearings during the last 30 minutes of class, self-reflecting and giving others helpful feedback about what strategies were more and less effective.

The brief should be 5-6 d.s. pages and written from the perspective of a legislative staffer. It will address arguments on both (or multiple) sides of a current public policy question, then come to a conclusion taking various political, economic, and social tradeoffs into account. You may choose a question from the list provided below or gain consent from both instructors for an alternative question. The web sites listed at the end of the syllabus are excellent resources for surveying current legislative and judicial policy issues from which to choose. It is critical to frame this assignment with a very specific policy question, then to use a specific legislative proposal or public policy debate (in a political campaign, for example) in a state or in one branch of the federal government to make the context real.

We will provide examples of effective testimony and legislative briefs.

List of topics and sample questions for the policy brief

[Note: this list is by no means exhaustive. You are encouraged to explore other current policy questions and select one that is of keen interest to you.]

- 1. Emergency Contraception:
 - a. Should your state mandate that pharmacies stock Plan B?
 - b. Should your state mandate that pharmacists must fill EC prescriptions OR that pharmacies must have present at all times a pharmacist (or other member of pharmacy team) that will fill EC prescriptions?

2. Teen Sex Education

- a. Should your state accept abstinence only education funding under Title V?
- b. Should your state mandate and set guidelines for the provision of comprehensive sexuality education?

3. Abortion provider training

- a. Should federal funding of medical education be tied to the provision of abortion training in ob-gyn residency programs?
- b. Should non-physician providers be licensed to perform first trimester abortions?

4. RU-486

a. Should the FDA restrict the prescribing rights for RU-486 to ob-gyn's only? Or place other restrictions on prescribing rights?

5. Teens and abortion access

a. Should your state pass a law that would make it a federal crime for any person, other than a parent or guardian, to knowingly transport a minor across a state line to obtain an abortion?

6. Contraceptive coverage

a. Should Congress pass the Equity in Prescription Insurance and Contraceptive Coverage Act which would prohibit health insurance companies which cover prescriptions from excluding contraceptive coverage?

Absences, Extensions and Misconduct

Absences

Students are expected to attend class, However, we recognize that emergencies arise that require students be absent. If you cannot attend class, please send an email to both instructors prior to class. You are expected to catch up on material covered in class through CourseInfo notes and other students' notes.

Requests for Extensions on Written Assignments

Written assignments are due on dates posted unless prior arrangement has been made with course faculty 48 hours prior to the due date. Assignments that are not received on the expected due date will be lowered by one point per day (e.g. from 95 to 94 if turned in one day late.)

Academic Misconduct

Trust between teacher and student is essential to a successful learning environment. Cheating and plagiarism represent not only a serious violation of academic ethics; they also represent a breach of that essential trust. All students are expected to adhere to the "Standards of Academic Honesty and Disciplinary Procedures of the Boston University School of Public Health", available in the SPH Office for Student Services, Talbot-206C.

As summarized in the BUSPH Student Handbook, academic misconduct includes the following violations:

- cheating on exams;
- plagiarism*;
- submitting the same work in more than one course without written explicit consent of all instructors;
- misrepresentation or falsification of data;
- allowing another student to represent your work as his or her own.

http://www.bu.edu/dbin/sph/departments/maternal child health/documents/Handbook2005-2006Final8-25-05webxxx.pdf)

Incidents of academic misconduct in any form will lead to an "F" on the assignment and prompt a meeting with the instructors and Chair of the MCH Department. A student who is found guilty of academic misconduct may be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal from the School.

Course Schedule

Date	Topics / Due Dates	Instructor(s) and Guest Speaker(s)
	a) Introduction to course	D. Allen
	and reflection on own perspectives b) Social history Part 1: 1872-1972	L. McCloskey
	Social history Part 2: 1973-	L. McCloskey
	2007	Marlene Fried
	a) Overview of public	D. Allen

^{*}Please refer to the more detailed definition of plagiarism in the MCH Handbook 2005 (Available online at

health challenges in 2007:	
services, utilization, and	Panel: M. Kogut, M. Zurek,
health status	M. Gordon, E. Reilly, T.
b) Current debates and	Jackson
advocacy strategies (Panel)	
Making the case:	D. Allen
Collaborative preparation	L. McCloskey
for mock hearings	
Mock hearing #1: Public	L. McCloskey
funding of abortion	D. Allen
Due: written and oral	
testimony	
Mock hearing #2: Parental	D. Allen
consent	L. McCloskey
Due: written and oral	
testimony	
Student presentations of	L. McCloskey
policy briefs and course	D. Allen
synthesis	
Due: Policy Brief	

Guest speaker/consultant list and contact information

Phil Stubblefield, MD
Professor BUMC Ob/Gyn Department, Board of Directors NARAL Pro-Choice, MA
Boston Medical Center
Phillip.stubblefield@bmc.org

Wendy Mariner, JD, MPH Professor BUSPH, Health Law Department wmariner@bu.edu

Marlene Gerber Fried, PhD Director of Civil Liberties and Public Policy Hampshire College mfried@hampshire.edu

Trina Jackson
Former member Women of Color Reproductive Justice Coalition in Boston blackfeminista@yahoo.com

Melissa Kogut Executive Director NARAL Pro-Choice Massachusetts Melissa@prochoicemass.org Elaine O'Reilly Governmental Strategies, Inc. eoreilly@governmentalstrategies.com

Melanie Zurek Executive Director Abortion Access Project mz@abortionaccess.org

Lois Uttley
Executive Director
MergerWatch
lois@mergerwatch.org

Marcus Gordon, MD Obstetrician-gynecologist in private practice Lynn, MA

Reading List

Required Texts:

Gordon L. The Moral Property of Women: A History of Birth Control Politics in America. University of Illinois Press, 2002 (updated).

Silliman J. Fried MG, Ross L, and Gutierrez E. (eds.) Undivided Rights: Women of Color Organize for Reproductive Justice. South End Press, 2004.

Recommended Text:

Hatcher RA, Trussell J, Stewart F, et al. (Editors). Contraceptive Technology, 18th revised edition. New York, Ardent Media, Inc., 2004 (paperback)

[Note: This text is recommended as an excellent and inexpensive resource for those who do not have familiarity with clinical options for fertility control.]

Class 1: a) Introduction to course and reflection on own perspectives b) History Part I: From voluntary motherhood to Roe v. Wade in one hundred years (1873-1973)

Objectives:

- Understand course framework, objectives and requirements.
- Identify and reflect on own perspectives and their roots in personal experience, religious and intellectual grounding, and ethnic/racial and cultural background.

- For each of the phases of fertility control movements between 1873 and 1973, define the philosophic and strategic agendas of activists, with emphasis on the role and status of women, eugenics and racial struggles in reproductive control, and the broader political environment.
- Identify the major legislative and judicial questions and decisions that defined each phase and paved the way for the watershed of Roe v. Wade.
- Summarize the major indicators of contraceptive availability, access, and use during this time period, as data are available.

Text:

Gordon, L. Voluntary Motherhood (ch 4), pp. 56-71 and Birth Control and Social Revolution (ch 8), pp. 125-168.

Class 2: History Part II: From Roe v. Wade to the Culture Wars of 2007 (1973-2007)

Objectives:

- Define key events and realities in the social and political climate that surrounded the passage of Roe v. Wade and the 30+ years since, including the women's movement and gender politics, the cultural and religious "wars" over reproductive control, and advances in technology and health services/financing environment.
- Define the major tenets of Roe v. Wade and their implications for future rulings.
- Assess the meaning of "reproductive rights" to different subgroups of women based on class, race, religion and social milieu and present a rationale for a movement for "reproductive justice" among women of color in the U.S.
- Highlight key federal policies and programs related to family planning, contraception and abortion during this time period.
- Identify the major public policy questions that define the landscape of fertility control in 2007, including questions of parental and father rights, fetal rights, and state and federal responsibilities.

Text:

Gordon L. Birth Control Becomes Public Policy (ch 12), Abortion: The Mother Controversy (ch 13), pp. 279-320.

Silliman J. Silliman J, Fried MG, Ross L, and Gutierrez E. Women of Color and Their Struggle for Reproductive Justice (Chapter 1 p. 1-23) and The Political Context for Women of Color Organizing (Chapter 2 p. 25-47).

Reader:

Daniels CR. Fathers, Mothers, and Fetal Harm: Rethinking Gender Difference and Reproductive Responsibility (ch 5). <u>In</u>: LM Morgan and MW Michaels (eds). Fetal Subjects, Feminist Positions. Philadelphia: U Penn Press, 1999, pp. 83-98.

Gorney C. Reversing Roe: Letter from South Dakota. *The New Yorker*, June 26, 2006.

Norrander B, Wilcox C. Public opinion and policymaking in the states: the case of post-Roe abortion policy. Policy Stud J. 1999; 27,4: 707-22.

Supreme Court of the United States. Roe v Wade 410 U.S. 113, 1973.

Additional resources available on-line (optional):

Connolly C. Access to abortion pared at state level. Washington Post. 2005 Aug 29;A1, A4.

Gordon D. The pro-life view: 30 years after Roe v. Wade. WBUR. 2003 Jan 14. Listen to show at: http://www.theconnection.org/shows/2003/01/20030114 a main.asp

Markels A. Supreme court's evolving rulings on abortion. NPR. 2007Jan 10. Available from: http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=5029934

The Mothers Movement Online. (http://www.mothersmovement.org)

Mears W, Franken B. 30 years after ruling, ambiguity, anxiety surround abortion debate. CNN. 2003 Jan 22. Available at:

http://www.cnn.com/2003/LAW/01/21/roevwade.overview/

New MJ. Getting it wrong: How the New York Times misinterprets abortion statistics and arrives at incorrect conclusions. The Heritage Foundation; 6006 Jul 18. Report No.06-05. Available from: http://www.heritage.org/Research/Family/cda06-05.cfm

Porteous L. 'Roe' seeks to overturn historic abortion ruling. Fox News. 2003 June 17. Available at: http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,89663,00.html

Vestal C. States probe limits of abortion policy. Stateline.org 2006 Jun 22. Available from:

 $\underline{\text{http://www.stateline.org/live/ViewPage.action?siteNodeId=136\&languageId=1\&contentId=121780}$

Zernike K. 30 years after abortion ruling, new trends but the old debate. NY Times. 2003 Jan 20. Available at:

http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?sec=health&res=9C06E1D81530F933A1575 2C0A9659C8B63

Class 3: a) Overview of public health challenges in 2007: services, utilization, and health status b) Current debates and advocacy strategies (Panel)

Objectives:

- Use data to illustrate the availability and accessibility of contraception and abortion services in the U.S. at the present time, with a focus on disparities by class, race and place of residence.
- Illustrate the pivotal role played by providers of all sorts in the provision of contraception and abortion services and identify the major provider-related threats to access
- Illustrate the importance of U.S. government funding as an instrument of policy in relation to family planning, contraception and abortion in this country and globally.
- Identify the major factors that women report as influential in their use or non-use of contraception and abortion services.
- Demonstrate the range of strategies employed by reproductive health advocacy organizations and individuals in Massachusetts.
- Summarize the mission, objectives, strategies and major challenges faced by each organization and individual, and appreciate the complexities involved in reproductive health advocacy work.
- Critically assess how the organizations and individuals integrate a public health perspective in the context of the culture, political and religious "wars" that define the rhetoric and activism in the U.S. in 2007.

Text:

J. Silliman et al (eds.). Select one of the following chapter as a case study in advocacy in a particular community: 3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13, or 14.

Reader:

Finer LB; Frohwirth LF; Dauphinee LA; Singh S; Moore AM. Reasons U.S. women have abortions: quantitative and qualitative perspectives. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*. 2005; 37, 3:110-118.

Joffe C. U.S. Medicine and the Marginalization of Abortion (ch 2). In C. Joffe *Doctors of Conscience: The Struggle to Provide Abortion before and after Roe v. Wade.* Boston, MA: Beacon Press, 1995, pp. 27-52.

Pivnick A. HIV infection and the meaning of condoms. *Cult Med Psychiatry* 1993;17:431-453.

Senanayake P and S. Hamm. Sexual and reproductive health funding: Donors and restrictions. The Lancet 2004; 363: 70-71.

Hoffman C, ed. Advocacy guide for sexual and reproductive health and rights. International Planned Parenthood Federation, July 2001. PDF Available: http://www.share-net.nl/assets/images/IPPF Advocacy Guide RH 2001.pdf [Review selected sections]

Additional resources available on line: Effective advocacy in reproductive health [Optional]:

Advocating for Adolescent Reproductive Health: Addressing Cultural Sensitivities. FOCUS: *In Focus* series, November 2000. Prepared by James Rosen. PDF, 10 pages. http://www.pathfind.org/pf/pubs/focus/IN%20FOCUS/nov 2000.htm

Virtual Activism: Closing the Digital Divide. Web-resource http://www.virtualactivism.org/resources/advocacy.htm

Association for Women's Rights in Development (www.awid.org) – An Advocacy Guide for Feminists. Young Women and Leadership; 2003, No. 1. PDF, 8 pages. http://www.awid.org/publications/primers/waysmeans1.pdf

Class 4: Making the case: collaborative preparation for mock hearings

Objectives:

- Marshall evidence from a variety of sources (peer reviewed literature, news, popular press, class material) to formulate and support a position appropriate to the assigned role and position (parental consent and public funding for abortion legislation) in keeping with the assigned role and position.
- Collaborate with peers to explore and challenge a wide range of positions and their arguments in order to prepare for effective testimony and discussion in the mock hearings.

Reader:

Sharwell, George. *How to Testify Before a Legislative Committee*. In: Maryann Mahaffey and John Hanks, ed. Practical Politics: Social Work and Political Responsibility. District of Columbia: National Association of Social Workers, 1982.

Available on-line: [Required]

Fact Sheet on Testifying at a Legislative Hearing:
http://facstaff.uww.edu/homewords/testify.html or PDF
http://www.preventionworksct.org/docs/mentoring/PDF/Advocacy_Toolkit_Testifying.p
df

Uttley L, Pawelko R, Ross RD. Embryo politics: implications for reproductive rights and biotechnology. New York (NY): MergerWatch Project; 2005 Jul. Available from: http://www.mergerwatch.org/embryo-politics.html

Classes 5 and 6: Mock hearings

Objectives:

- Effectively write and present oral testimony on a legislative proposal regarding public funding of abortion [and parental consent] from the point of view of an assigned role.
- Demonstrate skills of listening, critical thinking, and political savvy, through
 questions and answers during the hearings process, both as presenter and committee
 member.
- Demonstrate ability to argue one's own point of view, as well as alternative positions.

#1: Public Funding for Abortion (Class 5) Legislation: 2004 Utah Senate Bill 68

http://www.le.state.ut.us/~2004/htmdoc/sbillhtm/SB0068.htm

Readings available on-line [Required]

Alan Guttmacher Institute. State funding of abortion under Medicaid. State Policies in Brief 2007. PDF available:

http://www.guttmacher.org/statecenter/spibs/spib SFAM.pdf

Fried MG. Restrictions on government funding for abortion is the post-roe battleground. *The News Journal of Catholic Opinion*. Winter 2005-2006; 26(4):1-7. Available from: http://www.hyde30years.nnaf.org/resources/fried-abortion-access.pdf

Public Funding for Abortion and Map of Current State Laws http://www.aclu.org/reproductiverights/lowincome/16393res20040721.html

National Committee for Human Life Amendment Fact Sheet on the Hyde Amendment: http://www.nchla.org/datasource/ifactsheets/hyde8b.00.PDF

National Abortion Federation Fact Sheet on the Hyde Amendment: http://www.prochoice.org/about abortion/facts/public funding.html

Women's Law Project & Institute for Reproductive Health Access Sills S, Friettsche S, Jaffe R. Removing barriers to Medicaid-funded abortions: What advocates can learn from the Pennsylvania experience. *Institute for Reproductive Health Access and Women's Law Project*; 2004. PDF Available from: http://www.prochoiceny.org/assets/files/removingbarriers.pdf

Other resources available on-line [Optional]

Right to Abortion Advances in State Courts (NY Times) http://www.nytimes.com/library/national/120698abortion-states.html

National Network of Abortion Funds – Report on Funding for Abortions

Towey S, Poggi S, Roth R. Abortion funding: matter of justice. Amherst (MA): National Network of Abortion Funds; 2005. PDF available at: http://www.nnaf.org/policy_report.html

Brief from ACLU re: Public Funding for Abortion Case: http://www.aclu.org/reproductiverights/lowincome/16464lgl20031202.html

Other resources:

http://www.hyde30years.nnaf.org/more hyde.html

http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2006/10/hyde history.html

#2: Parental consent (Class 6)

Legislation: 2003 New Hampshire House Bill 763 that went to Supreme Court: http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/legislation/2003/HB0763.html

Reader:

Blasdell J. Mother, may I?: Ramifications for parental involvement laws for minors seeking abortion services. *Am Univ J Gend Soc Policy Law.* 2002;10, 2:287-304.

Zavodny M. Fertility and parental consent for minors to receive contraceptives. *Am J Public Health*. 2004; 94,8: 1347-51. Erratum in: *Am J Public Health*. 2005 Feb;95, 2: 194.

Other resources available on-line [required]:

Cartoof VG, Klerman LV. Parental consent for abortion: impact of Massachusetts law. *Am J Public Health*. 1986 April; 76(4): 397–400. PDF available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1646500

Hagelin R. New study shows pro-life laws save lives. Heritage Foundation. 2006 Jan 24. Available from: http://www.heritage.org/Press/Commentary/ed012306b.cfm

Resources on reserve in library [optional]:

Levine PB. Parental involvement laws and fertility behavior. *J Health Econ.* 2003 Sept 22, 5: 861-78.

Bertuglia J. Preserving the right to choose: a minor's right to confidential reproductive health care. *Women's Rights Law Report*. 2001 Summer-Fall 23, 1: 63-77.

Saul R. Teen pregnancy: Progress meets politics. *Guttmacher Rep Public Policy*. 1999 Jun 2, 3:6-9.

Saul R. The Child Custody Protection Act: A "minor" issue at the top of the

antiabortion agenda. Guttmacher Rep Public Policy. 1998 Aug 4, 1-2, 7.

Weissmann R. What "choice" do they have?: Protecting pregnant minors' reproductive rights using state constitutions. *Annu Surv Am Law.* 1999, 9,1:129-67

Other resources available on -line: [optional]

Religious Tolerance – Parental consent/notification for teen abortions: All viewpoints http://www.religioustolerance.org/abo pare.htm

Parental Consent and Notice for Contraceptives Threatens Teen Heath and Constitutional Rights

http://www.crlp.org/pub_fac_parentalconsent.html

Why Fight Abortion Parental Consent?

http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2006/07/27/opinion/main1841906.shtml

International Debate Education Association – Abortion, Parental Consent http://www.idebate.org/debatabase/topic details.php?topicID=188

On-line news resources: [optional]

This case was covered substantially in the news, students are encouraged to read news articles related to this bill and the related supreme court case "Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood of Northern New England" In addition to independent review of news coverage, here are some suggested resources:

http://www.ayottevplannedparenthood.org/

http://www.boston.com/news/local/new_hampshire/articles/2006/12/31/developments_in_new_hampshires_parental_notification_law/

http://www.boston.com/news/local/new_hampshire/articles/2006/12/28/nh_parental_notice law repeal uncertain/

Class 7: Student Presentations and Synthesis

Objectives:

- Synthesize themes discussed throughout the course in the context of the specific issues addressed in the students' papers.
- Demonstrate skills of oral presentation and argument through in-class presentation of final briefs.
- Demonstrate critical thinking and ability to challenge peers and move the debate forward through discussion of student briefs/presentations.

Web Resources for the Course:

Please note this list is not an exhaustive list. We encourage you to find additional web and media resources.

Media sources are an excellent way to learn about the "arguments" and "framing" of policy debates. We encourage you to use Lexis-Nexis to search for news stories related to policy issues covered in the course, for your preparation of testimony and policy brief.

Lexis-Nexis can be accessed and searched for free (including print versions of stories) via the BUMC Medical Library website. Go to http://medlib.bu.edu/ Click on "referencing tools" on the left hand menu. Then click on "Laws, Policies, and Regulations", there will be a link Lexis-Nexis at the top of the page.

List of Websites (to be posted on courseinfo):

<u>Liberal/Progressive/Pro-Choice:</u>

Alan Guttmacher Institute. http://www.guttmacher.org/

Center for Reproductive Rights http://www.crlp.org

Women's Health/Advocacy and Education Sites: http://www.kaisernetwork.org/ref links/reflinks health advocacy.cfm

National Network of Abortion Funds http://www.nnaf.org/

Women's Law Project www.womenslawproject.org

National Health Law Program http://www.healthlaw.org/

Catholics for a Free Choice www.catholicsforchoice.org

Religious Tolerance www.religioustolerance.org

Conservative and/or Pro-life:
National Committee for a Human Life Amendment
www.nchla.org

The Heritage Foundation www.heritage.org

Pro-Life Organization List from Pro-Life Tool-Kit http://www.prolifetoolkit.com/#orgs

Life Dynamics www.lifedynamics.com

Pro-Life Action League www.prolifeaction.org

Catholic Planet, pro-life resources http://www.catholicplanet.com/prolife.htm

Pharmacists for Life International www.pfli.org

Physicians for Life www.physicianforlife.org