

**Atm Sci 950 – Seminar on Topics in Atmospheric Sciences:
Numerical Weather Prediction**
TR 9:30 – 10:45 am, EMS E160
Fall 2019 (updated 22 October 2019)

Instructor: Prof. Clark Evans
Contact: (414) 229-4469, evans36@uwm.edu, EMS W401
Office Hours: TR 11:00 am – 12:15 pm, by appointment, or stop by anytime.
Prerequisites: Graduate standing, nominally in the atmospheric sciences.
Course Website: <https://people.uwm.edu/evans36/atmsci950/>

Course Overview

Numerical weather prediction can broadly be defined as the application of numerical methods to solve the primitive equations that describe the atmosphere to obtain a weather forecast. There exist multiple such methods, however, each with their strengths and weaknesses, and none provide *exact* solutions to the primitive equations. Subsidiary to these methods are concepts such as grid discretization, map projections, numerical stability, and diffusion, each of which can influence the quality of a given numerical model forecast.

Furthermore, there exist important non-linear physical processes that we cannot explicitly simulate with a numerical model. To some extent, these physical processes are those associated with what we typically consider ‘interesting’ weather, particularly clouds and precipitation. Whether due to their complexity, the spatiotemporal scales on which they operate, and/or our understanding of the relevant physics and dynamics, we approximate these physical processes within numerical models in what are known as physical parameterization packages.

Even if there did exist a perfect model, capable of explicitly and accurately resolving all processes in the atmosphere, perfect forecasts would still be impossible as we do not have perfect knowledge of the atmospheric state. Further, even if we had a perfect observational network, with observations available at an infinite number of times and locations, existing means of processing observations to obtain a gridded numerical representation of the initial atmospheric state are not perfect. Given the substantial sensitivity of numerical model forecasts to miniscule uncertainty in the atmospheric state, this poses a stringent upper bound upon the predictability of meteorological phenomena.

One goal of this class is to expose the innards of the ‘black box’ that can be a numerical weather prediction model. To that end, this class is organized around the three major themes of numerical methods, physical parameterizations, and data assimilation. Collectively, these themes could serve as the basis for at least eight semesters’ worth of material: two on numerical model formulation, five on physical process parameterization, and one on data assimilation. Thus, in designing this class, depth has necessarily been sacrificed to some extent in favor of breadth. Though this class may not equip you to become a numerical model *developer*, the expectation is that you will become an informed *end-user* of numerical weather prediction models. Inherent to this is the development

of your ability to design your own numerical simulation experiments, understand the strengths and weaknesses of existing numerical weather prediction models, and interpret the output from each.

Learning Objectives

Upon the completion of this course, students will be able to:

- Conceptually describe and practically evaluate strengths and weaknesses of the spatial and temporal differencing, physical process parameterization, and ensemble data assimilation methods used in modern grid-based numerical weather prediction models.
- Configure and execute numerical model simulations to test scientific hypotheses related to forecast sensitivity to numerical model configuration and/or the physics and dynamics of meso- to synoptic-scale meteorological phenomena.

Textbook Information

There is one **required** text for this course: *Numerical Weather and Climate Prediction* by T. T. Warner, published by Cambridge Press. We will cover most of the material in Chapters 1-6 in class, while Chapters 9-11 will prove useful with respect to the course project.

There is one **optional** text for this course: *Parameterization Schemes* by D. Stensrud, published by Cambridge Press. Though some of the specific methods discussed in this text are becoming out of date, this text is a recommended resource for physical process parameterization, and the required text makes frequent reference to this text in its Chapters 4 and 5.

I recommend printing a copy of version 4 of the [NCAR Technical Description of the WRF-ARW Model](#). These place much of what will be covered in this course into the context of a real-world numerical model, the WRF-ARW model, that you will gain experience in using during this class. For the data assimilation section of this course, we will make extensive use of the freely-available Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART) [Lab Exercises](#) and [Tutorial](#). These are excellent introductions to ensemble data assimilation methods and practical applications.

If you do not have experience using a Linux-/Unix-style terminal computing environment, you may wish to purchase a text designed to help new users become familiar with such environments. One recommendation is *A Practical Guide to Linux Commands, Editors, and Shell Programming* by Mark G. Sobell and Matthew Helmke. Chapters 7-8 of the [UWM Research Computing User's Guide](#) provide an alternative robust yet free resource for introductory Linux/Unix computing.

Finally, if you do not have much computer programming experience, you may wish to complete one or more tutorials for a language of your choice. For example, many graduate classes in our program use MATLAB, for which MathWorks provides a series of free introductory [tutorials](#). I recommend Python; its popularity in the atmospheric sciences and beyond has grown exponentially in recent years, and there are innumerable free tutorials (general, specific to a package, and specific to the atmospheric sciences) available online. FORTRAN has a long legacy in the atmospheric sciences,

and there are many [FORTRAN 77](#) and [FORTRAN 90](#) tutorials available online. You are free to use any language of your choice, however.

Grading

Your grade in this course will be based upon the following:

37% Course Project
63% Assignments

[**Seven grades for six assignments**, each worth 9% of your final grade. **Your seventh grade is set equal to the best of your other six assignment grades.**]

The course project, including relevant deadlines, is described in detail at the end of this syllabus. The purpose of the project is to allow you to apply many of the foundational concepts underpinning numerical weather prediction; gain experience in designing and executing scientific experiments, and gain experience in using the WRF-ARW numerical model in supercomputing environments.

There will be **six** assignments during the semester: one as a WRF-ARW model tutorial, three on numerical methods, one on physical parameterization, and **one** on data assimilation. Two of the numerical methods and **the** data assimilation assignments use toy models to illustrate key course concepts, while the other numerical methods and the physical parameterization assignments use full-physics numerical simulations of an observed weather event to illustrate how assumptions inherent to the chosen method influence the forecast. Each assignment is generally due about two weeks after the class in which it is assigned. The tentative date for each assignment to be assigned is provided in the schedule below. Late work is accepted only in the case of an excused absence.

Finally, your attendance is expected at each scheduled class meeting. This includes not using your cell phone, tablet, etc., during class! You are allowed three unexcused absences during the semester without penalty. Your course grade will be deducted by one sub-grade (e.g., A to A-, A- to B+, etc.) for each successive absence.

Grades will be assigned based on the following scale:

A	92.5-100%	A-	90-92.49%	B+	87.5-89.99%	B	82.5-87.49%
B-	80-82.49%	C+	77.5-79.99%	C	72.5-77.49%	C-	70-72.49%
D+	67.5-69.99%	D	62.5-67.49%	D-	60-62.49%	F	0-59.99%

A grade of an “A” is intended to reflect your mastery of the presented material. Grades of “B” and “C” are intended to reflect minor and major deficiencies, respectively, in your mastery of the presented material. Grades of “D” and “F” reflect no mastery of the presented material. Minor deficiencies include incomplete attribution while major deficiencies include incorrect attribution.

Course Outline

The following outline is provided only as a guideline and is subject to change.

September 3	Welcome; Project Overview; Computing Environment Introduction <i>Assignment 1: WRF Compilation (due September 19)</i>
September 5	NWP Overview; Intro to Numerical Methods (Chapter 1, Section 3.1)
September 10	NO CLASS – INSTRUCTOR TRAVEL
September 12	NO CLASS – INSTRUCTOR TRAVEL
September 17	Equations; Resolved vs. Unresolved Scales; Acoustic Waves (Chapter 2)
September 19	Grid Point Methods (Section 3.2.1)
September 24	Vertical Coordinates; Upper Boundary Conditions (Sections 3.4.8, 3.6)
September 26	Finite Difference Methods (Section 3.3)
October 1	Grid Staggering; Truncation Error (Section 3.4.1)
October 3	Linear Stability (Section 3.4.2) <i>Assignment 2: Differencing Properties and Linear Stability (due Oct 22)</i>
October 8	NO CLASS – INSTRUCTOR TRAVEL
October 10	NO CLASS – INSTRUCTOR TRAVEL
October 15	Numerical Dispersion; Time Difference Properties (Sections 3.4.3, 3.4.4)
October 17	Diffusion; Aliasing and Non-Linear Instability (Sections 3.4.5 to 3.4.7) <i>Assignment 3: Numerical Dispersion and Diffusion (due November 5)</i>
October 22	Lateral Boundary Conditions (Section 3.5)
October 24	Microphysical Parameterization Overview and Applications (Section 4.2)
October 29	Convection Parameterization Overview and Applications (Section 4.3)
October 31	PBL Parameterization Overview (Section 4.4) <i>Assignment 4: WRF Practical – Lateral Boundary Conditions (due Nov 14)</i>
November 5	PBL Parameterization Applications

November 7	Land-Surface Process Parameterization (Chapter 5)
November 12	Radiation Parameterization (Section 4.5)
November 14	Intro to Data Assimilation; Observations; Spin-Up (Sections 6.1 to 6.4) <i>Assignment 5: WRF Practical – Physical Parameterizations (due Dec 3)</i>
November 19	Overview of Data Assimilation Principles (Section 6.5)
November 21	Extended and Ensemble Kalman Filters (Sections 6.11.2 and 6.11.3)
November 26	Ensemble Kalman Filter I: Statistical Formulation in 1-D (DART Lab Section 1; DART Tutorial Section 1)
November 28	NO CLASS – THANKSGIVING HOLIDAY
December 3	Ensemble Kalman Filter II: Multivariate and Non-Identity Assimilation (DART Lab Section 2; DART Tutorial Sections 4 and 5) <i>Assignment 6: Introduction to Data Assimilation (due December 17)</i>
December 5	Ensemble Kalman Filter III: Observation Localization (DART Lab Section 3; DART Tutorial Section 8)
December 10	Project Presentations (x3); Course Evaluations
December 12	Project Presentations (x4)

The schedule above indicates that I expect to miss four classes due to travel. At present, I do not anticipate us attempting to make up these classes. There is no final exam, and we will not meet during the university-scheduled final exam time (Thursday, December 19, 7:30-9:30a).

I expect you to read the chapters and/or sections of the text listed above prior to each class period. In this way, the material to which you will be exposed in class will be your second, instead of your first, interaction with the material, increasing the likelihood of information retention.

Course Credit Hour Statement

This course is a three-credit course. This means that this class represents an investment of time of at least 144 hours by the average student. Of these 144 hours, 35 are associated with in-class instruction and examinations, 35 are associated with the completion of the course project, 40 are associated with the completion of course exercises, and the remaining 34 are associated with each student's study of course materials.

Departmental Regulations

All room changes or course cancellations will be posted on departmental letterhead only.

University Regulations

University-Wide Rights and Regulations

The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee has established a series of policies relating to student rights and regulations in this and all UWM-offered courses. You are encouraged to read through these policies at <https://uwm.edu/secu/syllabus-links/> at your earliest convenience. Please notify me if you need special accommodations to meet any course requirements.

Statement of Academic Misconduct

The university has a responsibility to promote academic honesty and integrity and to develop procedures to deal effectively with instances of academic dishonesty. Students are responsible for the honest completion and representation of their work, for the appropriate citation of sources, and for respect of others' academic endeavors. Further information can be found at:

<https://uwm.edu/academicaffairs/facultystaff/policies/academic-misconduct/>

Statement of Sexual Harassment

Sexual harassment is reprehensible and will not be tolerated by the University. It subverts the mission of the University and threatens the careers, educational experience, and well-being of students, faculty and staff. The University will not tolerate behavior between or among members of the University community which creates an unacceptable working environment. The policy on discriminatory conduct, including sexual harassment, can be found at:

https://www4.uwm.edu/secu/docs/other/S_47_Discrimina_duct_Policy.pdf

Course Project: Description, Evaluation, and Helpful Resources

Fall 2019

Description

The purpose of the project is three-fold:

- To apply many of the foundational concepts underpinning numerical weather prediction.
- To allow you to gain experience with designing and executing scientific experiments.
- To allow you to gain experience in using the WRF-ARW numerical model.

Ideally, your course project should involve the study of something related to your thesis research. However, it cannot represent something that you would otherwise conduct in support of your thesis research. Your course project *can* motivate further simulations or analyses that end up comprising a part of your thesis research but cannot be something that you were otherwise going to do already. If you are in your first year of study and have limited insight as to your thesis research, I encourage you to speak with your faculty advisor soon to get a general idea for your thesis research.

There exist many forms that your project may take. Potential ideas listed below are not necessarily all-encompassing. Be creative! If you're not sure if something can be done with a numerical model, please ask – it probably can be in some fashion!

- **Idea 1:** Use different model initial conditions (e.g., from different models or at different grid spacings from the same model) to quantify sensitivity to the initial atmospheric state.
- **Idea 2:** Use initial conditions from a model's 6-h forecast and from its subsequent 0-h analysis to demonstrate the impact of data assimilation on forecast evolution.
- **Idea 3:** Use different lateral boundary conditions (e.g., from different models or at different grid spacings from the same model) to quantify sensitivity to prescribed lateral boundary information.
- **Idea 4:** Use different surface data (e.g., different sea surface temperature datasets, fixed vs. time-varying sea surface temperature data, different soil moisture and temperature datasets) to quantify sensitivity to atmospheric-surface interaction.
- **Idea 5:** Use different selections for a single class of physical parameterization to quantify forecast sensitivity to the assumption inherent to each parameterization.
- **Idea 6:** Use different selections for parameters inherent to a single parameterization (e.g., parameters controlling vertical mixing in the planetary boundary layer or how soil moisture is updated in response to rainfall) to quantify forecast sensitivity to the parameter choices.

- **Idea 7:** Within reason for the phenomenon under investigation, vary the horizontal and/or vertical grid characteristics (grid spacing, grid size or placement, number or distribution of vertical levels, etc.) to quantify forecast sensitivity to grid configuration.
- **Idea 8:** Use different selections for selected parameters influencing model dynamics (e.g., vertical velocity damping, explicit numerical diffusion, nudging, diffusion coefficients, time stepping method, finite differencing formulations) to quantify forecast sensitivity to the chosen numerical method(s).

Each of you will likely conduct what are known as “real-data” numerical simulations, or those of an actually-observed phenomenon or event, that are initialized with a model-derived synthesis of observations. The WRF-ARW numerical model is ideally suited for such studies and, as such, is the model that you will gain experience with using for your project.

Robust numerical simulations require an appropriate experimental design, motivated by physical understanding and previous research, that lends itself to a testable hypothesis; model configuration, to facilitate the implementation of the experimental design; and physical analysis, designed to test the hypothesis underpinning the numerical simulation experiment(s). Helpful resources for each of these elements are provided below. Note that the experimental design should be appropriate to a course of a semester in length but also to a graduate student’s level of understanding; i.e., more robust than a capstone project but not as robust as a thesis or dissertation.

There exist two deliverables from your class project:

- A **presentation** of no more than 15 minutes in length, to be given at the end of the semester to an audience of your classmates.
- A typed **report** of no more than 10 double-spaced pages, due at the time of the presentation. Note that the abstract, figures, tables, and references do not count against the page limit.

The presentation should be given in the format of that given at American Meteorological Society and American Geophysical Union conferences. Typically, this involves ~12 minutes for your presentation and ~3 minutes for questions from the audience. The presentation should detail what you are studying, the hypothesis being tested in your study, the experimental design used to test that hypothesis, and the results of your study. Where possible, you should also describe possible directions for future research. Each slide should be clearly explained and legible from the back of the room. Note that a good estimate is one slide per minute. Large tables are not recommended. Figures are preferable to text, though there exist instances where several bullet points’ worth of text on a slide are unavoidable. I recommend that you practice your presentation more than once prior to giving it in class. [Recorded presentations given at previous meetings](#) are a great way to get ideas as to how to (or how not to) organize your presentation.

The report should be in the format of that used by American Meteorological Society and American Geophysical Union publications. Information regarding [manuscript formatting](#) and [references](#) is available from the American Meteorological Society. There is no limit on the number of figures or tables that you include within your report *within reason*. As with the presentation, the report should clearly describe what you are studying, the hypothesis being tested in your study, the experimental design used to test that hypothesis, and the results of your study. Where possible, you should also describe possible directions for future research.

A suggested course project calendar is provided at the end of this document. Further, to ensure that you will have sufficient time to complete the project, I have established the following milestones:

- **Project Idea:** Thursday, September 26
- **Experimental Design:** Thursday, October 17

As needed, you should meet with me to discuss potential project ideas and/or so that I can help point you to previous studies (where applicable) that can help you shape your specific project idea. Please contact me as soon as possible to schedule a time for this meeting if you are so inclined.

To help you formulate your experimental design, you are required to meet with me *prior* to the deadline for the experimental design to be finalized. You should come prepared with a draft outline of your experimental design, including a draft (yet complete) WRF namelist.input to be used for your numerical simulation(s). Be prepared to discuss the hypothesis to be tested by your project, the anticipated model configuration and justification thereof, and the intended analysis method(s) to be used to analyze simulation output. We will discuss and refine each of these elements during our meeting. Please contact me to schedule this meeting as soon as you are ready.

I am happy to meet with you on an as-needed basis to discuss progress made and challenges faced as you work toward the completion of your project. There are many challenges that you will likely encounter, include the model crashing, results that are not consistent with your hypothesis, and difficulty in post-processing, visualizing, and/or analyzing model output. While you should always attempt to address these challenges yourself first before seeking guidance, I am here to help.

Evaluation

Your grade on the course project will be determined considering the following elements:

- **Experimental Design (40%):** Has the student developed a plausible testable hypothesis, including *what* and *why* aspects? Was the student's draft experimental design reasonable? Are the final experimental design and model configuration appropriate for the study of the meteorological phenomenon and/or event being studied? Are relevant references cited and briefly discussed to provide evidence supporting the experimental design and motivation for the research? Is sufficient information conveyed, particularly in the project report, such that the results could be independently reproduced?

- **Simulation Verification (10%):** Is simulation verification performed? Are appropriate simulation verification methods employed? Are departures from reality documented, and theories as to why such departures exist (where appropriate) well-posed?
- **Simulation Interpretation (35%):** Is appropriate physical and dynamical insight provided to interpret the output from the numerical simulation(s) conducted? Are appropriate connections made, where possible, between the results from the study and those provided by previous published research?
- **Presentation and Report Quality (7.5%):** Are the presentation and report well-written? Do they adhere to the guidelines for each outlined above? Are all figures clear, legible, relevant, and adequately explained? Were questions from the audience handled well at the end of the presentation?
- **Peer Evaluation (7.5%):** A survey will be used to anonymously collect student feedback from each presentation. One survey question will ask you to identify the key message from each presentation. This will be used to assess how well you conveyed your findings to the audience. Remaining questions will be used to provide you with constructive feedback on your project and presentation.

This breakdown forms the basis for the project grading rubric, a copy of which is provided at the end of this document.

Please note that the experimental design and simulation interpretation are given substantially greater weight than the remaining project elements. While simulation verification and quality of presentation are important, most important is whether your project design and results from the project are well-posed. Of particular importance with respect to simulation interpretation is that you go beyond describing the results of your simulation(s) to describe the *why* and *how* behind your results; e.g., not just that differences exist between simulations, but why do they exist?

Helpful Resources

Many of you likely enter this class with little experience in a Linux computing environment, with designing your own scientific experiments, and with numerical weather prediction in general. Thus, the concept of a course project in which you will be utilizing a Linux computing environment to design and execute your own numerical simulations may seem daunting at first. Fortunately, there exist many resources that can guide you through the process, described below.

The first resource, and perhaps the most direct, is your instructor. While I cannot and will not complete the project for you, I am happy to help address questions. Please do not hesitate to ask – in office hours, before or after class, via e-mail, or simply by stopping into my office if the door is open. With this comes the expectation, however, that you will not wait until right before something is due to ask questions, nor that you will ask questions without having attempted to find the answer

on your own first. Allow yourself plenty of time for each stage of the project so that you can have any questions addressed, recognizing that rarely does anything work right the first time when you are conducting numerical simulations!

Using a Linux Environment: The supercomputer on which you will be configuring, conducting, and analyzing the output from your numerical simulations uses a flavor of Linux as its operating system. Consequently, you will want to become familiar with at least basic commands on the Linux command line. There exist a number of web-based resources that can help you to do so; simply conduct a web search for “common Linux commands.” You may also wish to rent or purchase a book; one such book that comes highly recommended is *A Practical Guide to Linux Commands, Editors, and Shell Programming* by Mark G. Sobell and Matthew Helmke. As of this writing, it can be rented from Amazon for ~\$17 or purchased new for ~\$25.

If you’d prefer something cheaper (free), UWM’s Research Computing Support has put together a general guide to research computing. Chapters 7 and 8 of this document introduce the Unix/Linux command line and to shell scripting, respectively. It may be accessed from:

<http://www.peregrine.hpc.uwm.edu/Webdocs/research-computing.pdf>

Experimental Design Basics: When you meet with me to discuss your project idea, we will refine your ideas for the experimental design. However, it would behoove you to become familiar with basic tenets of experimental design prior to us doing so. Chapter 10 of the course text (*Numerical Weather and Climate Prediction*) provides extensive information regarding how to appropriately design a numerical simulation. The following article from the *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, by the author of our course text, provides similar information:

Warner, T. T., 2011: Quality assurance in atmospheric modeling. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **92**, 1601–1610. (<https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00054.1>)

A presentation from 2012 by Chris Davis of NCAR provides a condensed form of the information from this article, particularly in the context of designing a numerical experiment using the WRF-ARW model. It can be accessed at:

<http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/workshops/WS2012/ppts/discussion1.pdf>

Getting Started with WRF: There exist many references that can assist you in compiling, configuring, and executing the WRF-ARW model. The first that you should work through is the WRF Online Tutorial, available from the National Center for Atmospheric Research at:

<http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/OnLineTutorial/>

This tutorial will guide you through the process of obtaining model code, compiling the model, setting up the model for a well-tested trial simulation, and running the model itself. The first

assignment provides further details specific to our supercomputing environment and WRF-ARW model release.

As you work through the tutorial, as well as after completing the tutorial, you may also find the following resources helpful:

- [WRF-ARW User's Guide](#): Describes the WRF-ARW model and available configuration options – but not necessarily why a given option would be selected – in detail. You should refer to the User's Guide for model version 4.1.
- [WRF Tutorial Presentations](#): An excellent source of additional reference information regarding WRF-ARW configuration, execution, and interpretation of model output.
- [WRF Physics References](#): This page provides full citation information for all available user-selectable model configuration options available within the WRF-ARW model. If your project involves examining the sensitivity of a numerical simulation to the choice of physical parameterization, these references will help you better understand how each is formulated as it appears in WRF-ARW. You may also refer to other published works, such as the *Parameterization Schemes* text by David Stensrud, for this information.

When you reach the point of conducting your own numerical simulations, you will need to provide data to serve as initial and lateral boundary conditions for these simulations. These are typically provided by another numerical model or by a model-derived reanalysis product, though they need not be limited to such. At a minimum, they will provide data for the initial and future atmospheric, land-surface, and water-surface state.

Unless we jointly decide that an alternative course of action is appropriate, I recommend that you use widely-available and well-tested data sets for your initial and lateral boundary conditions. These are available from UCAR's Research Data Archive (<https://rda.ucar.edu/>). For retrospective simulations of a given meteorological phenomenon, I recommend that you use reanalysis data for initial and lateral boundary conditions. There are several such datasets available in the Research Data Archive, and I recommend the ERA-Interim dataset in GRIB format for many applications.

For retrospective conditions of the predictability of a given meteorological phenomenon, I recommend that you utilize numerical model analysis and forecast data for initial and lateral boundary conditions. These can be obtained from the Research Data Archive in the Unidata Historical IDD Archive, also in GRIB format. Note that these data will likely need to be processed somewhat for use with WRF-ARW. If you are not sure what data to obtain or whether processing of the data is needed, please ask.

WRF “Best Practices”: As a community model that has been in existence for over ten years, there have been many studies conducted utilizing the WRF-ARW model. Consequently, there exists a

wide body of literature documenting the performance of many model configuration selections in simulations of a wide range of atmospheric phenomena.

Best practices for configuring and using the WRF-ARW model are available from the National Center for Atmospheric Research in the following documents:

- General Best Practices:
http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/workshops/WS2014/ppts/best_prac_wrf.pdf
- General Best Practices:
http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/tutorial/201907/chen_best_practices.pdf
- WRF Dynamics Best Practices: See the first set of presentations at
<http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/workshops/WS2015/WorkshopPapers.php>
- Sample Namelists for Specific Applications:
http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/docs/user_guide_v4/v4.1/users_guide_chap5.html#examples

Experimental Design and Literature Review: You should review the published literature – particularly from journals published by the [American Meteorological Society](#), [American Geophysical Union](#), and U.K. [Royal Meteorological Society](#) – prior to finalizing your experimental design. For instance, you may wish to search for manuscripts that report on numerical modeling studies conducted to study the meteorological phenomenon that you wish to study. Typically, such studies will provide justification as to the specific model configuration selections made.

Alternatively, particularly if you wish to examine the sensitivity to a specific model configuration selection in numerical simulations of a given meteorological phenomenon, you may wish to search for manuscripts that report on the results of similar studies for other phenomena. Typically, such studies will provide information as to why a given selection performs as it did for that case(s) studied. The same journals as described above should also be consulted when taking this approach.

Note that you may have to use the [UWM Library's](#) “Search@UW” feature or be connected to the campus network to access some articles, particularly from 2018-onward. You will not be able to directly access “Early Online Release” papers from the AMS. Please let me know if you need assistance in accessing a specific article and I will be happy to help.

I also anticipate that you will apply insight regarding model configuration and simulation design that we cover in class to your experimental design. For example, prior to the experimental design being finalized, we will address in lecture considerations related to model spin-up, horizontal grid spacing, the choice of model time step, map projections, domain extent as a function of the placement of the simulation domain's lateral boundaries, and vertical grid spacing.

Post-Processing: Once a numerical simulation is complete, your next task is to interpret its output. In most cases, this involves post-processing the model output into a format that a given analysis or display package can natively read in. Some packages (e.g., NCL, wrf-python) handle this on-the-fly, whereas others (e.g., GrADS, RIP) require the user to first run another program. Post-processing often aids in computing derived fields from raw model output and in destaggering the model output from its computational grid to a more user-friendly uniform grid, though it requires extra computational time and disk space.

The WRF Online Tutorial, WRF Tutorial Presentations, and WRF-ARW User's Guide (primarily Chapter 9) provide information about WRF-ARW simulation post-processing and visualization. Specific information about using NCL to visualize WRF-ARW model data is available from both the [WRF Online Tutorial](#) and the [NCL webpage](#). Information about using wrf-python to visualize WRF-ARW model data is available from the [wrf-python](#) webpage. Information about using [ARWpost](#) or [UPP](#) to process data for display in GrADS, or [RIP](#), is available from the WRF-ARW Users' website. Other programs that can read in netCDF data (e.g., MATLAB, IDL, IDV, ncview) can visualize WRF-ARW data, but often on the native model grid and without the diagnostic computations other tools provide.

General techniques that you may wish to employ to analyze model output are outlined in Chapter 11 of the course text. We will discuss the types of analysis that you wish to conduct at the time when we meet to discuss your experimental design.

Forecast Verification: An often overlooked, but vitally important, component of a numerical simulation experiment is forecast verification. In other words, how accurate was the forecast? This typically involves comparing the simulation output to available observations, of which there are many types in many different formats. As a part of our discussion of your project design, we will discuss appropriate means of forecast verification, including the data best suited to assessing forecast quality and where to obtain such data.

There exist many methods by which forecast quality may be assessed, each of which have their own strengths and limitations. The Centre for Australian Weather and Climate Research's webpage on forecast verification is a highly recommended resource with respect to both general and specific considerations for forecast verification. It is available at:

<https://www.cawcr.gov.au/projects/verification/>

You may also wish to review Chapter 9 of the course text. Studies in the published literature also often involve some form of forecast verification, and considering what others have done before is a good way to develop ideas as to how you should assess forecast quality.

Suggested Course Project Calendar

The calendar below is provided as a guide for you to follow as you work toward completing the course project. You may choose or be able to work through the project at a slower or faster pace than is depicted below. You may also choose to utilize different resources when formulating your project idea or experimental design. These are perfectly acceptable alternatives.

The below outline assumes that you have sufficient Linux terminal experience to be prepared for the rest of the course project. If you need assistance with this or anything throughout the semester, please let me know. **I cannot emphasize enough the importance of starting early and following this schedule throughout the semester!** This semester has the heaviest workload of any semester during your graduate studies. Take advantage of every opportunity to spread this workload out! In addition, machine issues (unavailability, bugs/crashes, data loss, etc.) are inevitable and **will** occur during this semester. Starting early gives you a larger buffer against these issues.

<u>Week Of</u>	<u>Technological Activities</u>	<u>Other Activities</u>
September 2	Configure and compile WRF-ARW as part of Assignment 1.	Brainstorm general project ideas. Meet with your advisor if/as needed when doing so.
September 9	Complete the January 2000 test case in the WRF Online Tutorial as part of Assignment 1.	Review Chapter 10 of the course text, Warner (2011), and Chris Davis' 2012 presentation.
September 16	Post-process and visualize output from the January 2000 test case, following the WRF Online Tutorial .	Meet with Prof. Evans to formalize and refine your project idea.
September 23	Review WRF Tutorial Presentations .	Begin literature review in support of developing your experimental design.
September 30	Continue to review WRF Tutorial Presentations. Emphasize identifying general best practices. Begin drafting your experimental design.	Continue literature review. Emphasize identifying specific model configuration selections relevant to your project idea.
October 7	Refine your draft experimental design.	Complete literature review. Meet with Prof. Evans to formalize experimental design.
October 14	Obtain initial and lateral boundary condition data for your simulation(s).	Begin writing project report. Focus upon your scientific

	Complete geogrid.exe, ungrib.exe, and metgrid.exe for your first simulation.	motivation and summarizing your literature review.
October 21	Complete real.exe and wrf.exe for your first simulation.	Continue writing project report. Document your hypothesis and experimental design.
October 28	Post-process, visualize, and analyze the output from your first simulation.	Meet with Prof. Evans as needed to discuss progress and challenges encountered.
November 4	Prepare to conduct your second simulation.	Begin documenting results from your first simulation.
November 11	Conduct your second simulation.	Continue documenting results from your first simulation.
November 18	Post-process, visualize, and analyze the output from your second simulation.	Document results from your second simulation.
November 25	Complete any remaining numerical simulations and subsequent analyses.	Finalize your project report and begin your project presentation.
December 2	Finalize your analyses, giving particular attention to identifying and creating a set of clear yet concise figures.	Finalize your project presentation.
December 9	Project Report and Presentation Due!	

Name: _____

NWP Course Project: Instructor Evaluation

Experimental Design (40%)

- Has the student developed a plausible testable hypothesis, including *what* and *why* aspects?
- Were all relevant deadlines met? Was the student's draft experimental design reasonable?
- Are the final experimental design and model configuration appropriate for the study?
- Are relevant references cited to demonstrate the appropriateness of the experimental design and provide motivation for the research, proposed and/or conducted?
- Is sufficient information provided to ensure the reproducibility of the results?

Simulation Verification (10%)

- Is simulation verification performed? Are appropriate verification methods used to do so?
- Are departures from reality documented, and theories as to why such departures exist (where appropriate) well-posed?

Simulation Interpretation (35%)

- Is appropriate insight provided to interpret simulation output? What are the key results?
- Are appropriate connections made where possible between the results and previous works?

Presentation and Report Quality (7.5%)

- Are the presentation and report well put-together (presented and written)?
- Did the presentation and report adhere to the timing and length guidelines?
- Are all figures clear, legible, relevant, and adequately explained?
- Were audience questions handled well?

Peer Evaluation (7.5%)

- How well was the key message of the presentation interpreted by the students?
- Anonymously summarize peer feedback: