STUDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AN INCLUSIVE COMMUNITY (SACIC)
MEETING AGENDA
MARCH 20, 2017
FLTC 202, 5:00 p.m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>email</th>
<th>affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kayla Berry</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kaylaberry@wustl.edu">kaylaberry@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>SACIC/CMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessica Kuo</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jessicakuo@wustl.edu">jessicakuo@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>APAMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerva Zhou</td>
<td><a href="mailto:zhouminerva@wustl.edu">zhouminerva@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>APAMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey Choi</td>
<td><a href="mailto:choi880@wustl.edu">choi880@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>APAMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine Otchere</td>
<td><a href="mailto:eotchere@wustl.edu">eotchere@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>SNMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Deliz Gonzalez</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jdeliz@wustl.edu">jdeliz@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>LMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kai Jones</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kaijones@wustl.edu">kaijones@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>SNMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan (Sunny) Li</td>
<td><a href="mailto:liy70@wustl.edu">liy70@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>APAMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Anderson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:davidandersoniii@wustl.edu">davidandersoniii@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>OUTGRADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marlene Kanmogne</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marlene.kanmogne@wustl.edu">marlene.kanmogne@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>SNMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffin Plattner</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gplattner@wustl.edu">gplattner@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>JMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean Lisa Stevenson</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jtoBAT@wustl.edu">jtoBAT@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>ODP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Tobat</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jtobat@wustl.edu">jtobat@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>LMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ninecia Scott</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Ninecia.scott@wustl.edu">Ninecia.scott@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>ABBGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jabari Elliot</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jelliott@wustl.edu">jelliott@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>ABBGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonia Kim</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sonia.kim@wustl.edu">sonia.kim@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>PACS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An Nguyen</td>
<td><a href="mailto:an.nguyen@wustl.edu">an.nguyen@wustl.edu</a></td>
<td>OT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clair Antoine</td>
<td></td>
<td>ODP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KB - address survey results.
- 2 things are high on list:
1) Confirm Priorities - concrete ideas for before school year begins
2) Promote SACIC

-discussed hosting forums with SACIC.
Do new members have opinions on this?

KJ - programming is not helpful. School wide events are better use of time

KB- We can host a sort of Dean’s town hall. Does planning this now or at end of 2017 year sound good?

MK- are these Dean’s town halls well attended?
MZ- people won’t go unless it’s mandatory or there’s free food
LS- Kai you had hosted one
KJ- yes, we hosted one which was during the summer after police brutality instances, and it was well attended.
MK- town hall? Isn’t that more of an “event”?
KJ- more “open”. No agenda. Dean’s will be here [at SACIC town halls] so you know administration will listen.
EO- Perlmutter had one recently and it was packed, but unorganized. If a dean is there and we have a topic, I think people will come.
KB- 1) student led event vs. 2) administration event
LS- first one has more dialogue second one more about what you want administration to do
EO- administration present really set it apart and they were well attended
MK- student led town hall won’t be well attended.
KB- is one style better than the other? one with or without administration?
JDG- One isn’t necessarily “better” but they serve different purposes. We could do both.
KB- idea for topics?
LS- we have some data from the SACIC survey.
KB- we could form a sub-group for running the town halls
NS- How was this survey delivered? I only see certain groups represented. For example, only medical students are represented under the “free speech” portion.
KB- we sent it to all students via email about a month ago, and then again a week ago we sent a reminder. It was a matter of whether or not students wanted to fill out the survey.
GP- Was this an open ended question?
CA- yes. I was responsible for categorizing the responses into the groupings you see there.
KB- Connections exists and how is that different from SACIC. Who will be on committee?
MK- Connections already has a lot of programming. We need connection to people of power.
CA- education vs. endorsing is difference between SACIC and Connections
MK- focus should be what do we want administration to address. We need to work with Connections to reduce redundancy.

MZ- Just coordinate with Connections on forum on how to implement change. Have it shortly after they’ve had one of their discussion topics on the same issue.
LS- Connections doesn’t draw OT/PT.
SACIC includes those programs
DA- Grad school groups are in SACIC, but also meeting on their own.
Why does SACIC need to host these forums?
MK- host 1 event and get in together
GP- use similar format to the Dean’s meeting.
Give actionable feedback.
KJ- This was the purpose of the survey. To say to administration “we sent out X surveys to X students and these were their unprompted responses.” This is raw data. Maybe each group should re-distribute the survey to their members?

JDG- free speech is a good topic as it’s not specific to just one group.
KB- SACIC has access to the administration. Doesn’t need to overlap with student groups
Forum was just another way of getting real-time feedback.
EO- helpful image or diagram of all the student groups and then Connections and then SACIC, to show where we fall in the order of those groups.
CA- an org chart.

KB- Do we need to rethink the town hall format? We need to know if we’ll have actionable items.
LS- KJ made a good point: go back to student groups and ask for feedback.
EO- go back to student orgs.
NS- Connections is already representing students. So we should ask if the students are ok with this format.
They should be presented with options on the survey.
MK- list off options for what they want.
Ex. Body of people bring concerns to administration like communications liaison. Vs. being event heavy to get feedback.
NS- Come here and present ideas to give to the administrators.
GP- Represent everyone’s opinions. Forums have to address concerns we’ve already gathered. What’s being done about it. How effective these ideas are.
KB- So student groups would offer up opinions on how they would like this to work?
NS- distribute the survey directly to the groups -distill responses, give to Clair to distribute -then have discussion with student groups about results.

GP- It might be better if the admins already know concerns rather than the forum being the first time they’re hearing concerns.
DA- We should focus on improving information gathering. Give a template of survey to each group.
KB- trouble accessing student groups
GP- email directly from CA and LS and Listservs and Facebook. Bombard students with survey and then they’ll take it.
EO- if we reach out and pass down the information during transitions, this will be helpful for new people joining SACIC
JK- Andy gives a talk to the M1’s
MZ- after spring break, Andy gathers all new student group leaders for a meeting
KB- Does OT have anything like that?
AN- We have a monthly meeting where we could discuss this.
DA- Gather incoming and outgoing survey to students. Make it an official part of incoming paperwork or outgoing.
LS- the students already get so many surveys.
NS- Med school administration will be there, but are there PhD reps?
LS- Andrew Richards (LS to talk to him)
    And Connections

KB- so we send out another survey
EO- propose a role and get feedback
    Have a model of where SACIC is, give out an example of what we’d do with the survey feedback.

LS- logistics- SACICs purpose is a way to know what each group is doing. Easier to communicate between groups.
KJ- a calendar and email that goes out of events for student groups.
KB- sending survey also helps with promotion of SACIC.
    Orientation a good time to promote as well

MZ- April 5th, a Wednesday is when Andy is having that meeting with the new student group leaders.

LS- SACIC should send someone as a representative.

MZ- we can just do a short introduction. It can be the same blurb we used for the first survey.
KB- DBBS- similar activites meeting?
NS- no.
KJ- student affinity group fair, hosted by Connections. There were booths for each of the groups.

LS- so what are the action items?

KB- survey again- give role and ask for opinions broadly
    Student leaders to send out and also on listserv.

LS- how is this different from 1st survey?

MK- less open ended.

EO- it’s a proposal of what the group is. Send org. tree and make it editable in the survey?

DA- ask about objective of SACIC on survey?

MZ- No more of these [open ended questions]. Let’s wait until we’ve solidified the purpose of SACIC.
GP- SACIC is direct line of communication
KB- the question is HOW are we the lifeline to the administration. Not if.
EO- we say to admin “here’s proposal, here’s what we’re thinking in terms of solutions”
GP- we should take a more authoritative tone. If someone told me SACIC just were the representatives for the student body to the administration, I wouldn’t question it.

MZ- so we disseminate the form many times
KB- we’ll create a google doc.
LS- a SACIC member needs to attend Andy’s meeting.
MZ- I can attend.
NS- what’s the due date for our feedback on the 2nd Survey draft?
KB- end of this week?
But it’s spring break.
EO- give till Saturday
KB- Ok. Comments are due April 1st. I’ll try to do the working diagram.
Next meeting- is next month. CA to send out Doodle poll to determine availability.