Correction of respiratory artifacts in MRI head motion estimates
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ABSTRACT

Head motion represents one of the greatest technical obstacles in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the human brain. Accurate detection of artifacts induced by head motion requires precise estimation of movement. However, head motion estimates may be corrupted by artifacts due to magnetic main field fluctuations generated by body motion. In the current report, we examine head motion estimation in multiband resting state functional connectivity MRI (rs-fcMRI) data from the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study and comparison ‘single-shot’ datasets. We show that respirations contaminate movement estimates in functional MRI and that respiration generates apparent head motion not associated with functional MRI quality reductions. We have developed a novel approach using a band-stop filter that accurately removes these respiratory effects from motion estimates. Subsequently, we demonstrate that utilizing a band-stop filter improves post-processing fMRI data quality. Lastly, we demonstrate the real-time implementation of motion estimate filtering in our FIRMM (Framewise Integrated Real-Time MRI Monitoring) software package.
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1. Introduction

Head motion represents one of the greatest technical obstacles in magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). In both task-driven fMRI (t-fMRI) and resting state functional connectivity MRI (rs-fMRI), even sub-millimeter head movements (i.e., micro-movements) introduce artifact, thereby corrupting the data in both typical and atypical populations (Fair et al., 2013; Power et al., 2012; Satterthwaite et al., 2012; Van Dijk et al., 2012; Yan et al., 2013). Consequently, much effort has been devoted to the development of post-acquisition artifact reduction methods (Behzadi et al., 2007; Burgess et al., 2016; Ciric et al., 2017; Di Martino et al., 2014; Griffanti et al., 2014; Jo et al., 2013; Kundu et al., 2013; Muschelli et al., 2014; Patel et al., 2014; Power et al., 2013, 2012; Power, 2016; Pruim et al., 2015; Salimi-Khorshidi et al., 2014; Satterthwaite et al., 2012, 2013; Siegel et al., 2017; Van Dijk et al., 2012). While these efforts have been helpful in reducing motion artifact, many come at a cost – namely, the risk of losing entire participants from analyses because insufficient data remain after artifact reduction.

To address these difficulties, we developed Framework Integrated Real-time MRI Monitoring (FIRMM) (Dosenbach et al., 2017). FIRMM provides near instantaneous analysis of head motion (framewise displacement – FD) during scanning. This feature enables the duration of resting state fMRI scanning to be dynamically determined. Scans can be continued for as long as is necessary to acquire a prescribed quantity of data meeting a fixed quality assurance criterion. Thus, FIRMM helps ensure that an adequate quantity of resting state data is acquired in most participants. It also reduces the need for ‘overscanning’ all participants in order to ensure adequate amounts of useable data for each subject. Moreover, subjects who cannot suppress excessive motion can be identified promptly and efficiently excluded from the study. FIRMM also alerts the scanner operator to changes in participant behavior (e.g., sleep, or increased movement because of discomfort), and can be utilized to give motion feedback to participants themselves. These advances have been thoroughly detailed in prior reports (Dosenbach et al., 2017; Greene et al., 2018).

Here, we address new issues that have arisen when calculating head motion estimates (FD) in real-time or during post processing of fMRI data. Multiband imaging (simultaneous multi-slice sequences [SMS]) is a recently developed technique that substantially speeds up fMRI by exciting multiple slices simultaneously (Feinberg and Yacoub, 2012; Moeller et al., 2010; Ugurbil et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2013). Thus, the time needed to acquire one whole brain volume can be reduced to well below 1 s. While multiband sequences offer several clear advantages and are now becoming widely used, they come at the cost of reducing the temporal signal to noise ratio (tSNR (Chen et al., 2015);) and, potentially, the prominence of respiratory B0 modulation in the motion traces. Several of our most prominent large-scale functional MRI studies employ SMS approaches (The Human Connectome Project, The Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development Study, etc.). Several researchers have remarked that higher sampling frequencies seem to unmask the effects of respirations on head motion estimates (Siegel et al., 2017). However, the effects of respiration on head motion estimates, and subsequently, methodologies to correct factitious motion estimates have not been thoroughly studied.

In the current report, we examine the effects of respiration on head motion estimates using data from the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study (verified with Human Connectome Project [HCP] data), and separate, in-house ‘single-band’ datasets. We show that respirations inflate movement estimates. We then present a novel approach that utilizes a band-stop (or notch) filter to remove respiration-related effects from the motion estimates. Subsequently, we emphasize that utilizing such a filter improves data quality and outcomes. Lastly, we demonstrate an implementation of our filter in real-time to improve the accuracy of real-time estimates of brain motion using FIRMM.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

2.1.1. ABCD – multiband data

For the current report, we analyzed data acquired in the subset of ABCD participants (see Bjork et al., 2017; Casey et al., 2018; Lixdahl et al., 2018; Volkow et al., 2017) for which respiratory data were available and who were scanned at a single site to minimize site effects. The ABCD study targets child participants that are ethnically and demographically representative of United States (US) population. Participants and their families are recruited through school- and community-settings in 21 centers across the US, following locally and centrally approved Institutional Review Board procedures. Written informed consent was obtained from parents, and written assent obtained from children. All ABCD participants were 9–11 years of age at study entry. Exclusion criteria include current diagnosis of a psychotic disorder (e.g., schizophrenia), a moderate to severe autism spectrum disorder, intellectual disability, or alcohol/substance use disorder, lack of fluency in English (for the child only), uncorrectable sensory deficits, major neurological disorders (e.g., cerebral palsy, brain tumor, multiple sclerosis, traumatic brain injury with loss of consciousness > 30 min), gestational age < 28 week or birth-weight < 1.2 kg), neonatal complications resulting in >1 month hospitalization following birth, and MRI contraindications (e.g., braces). A total of 62 children are examined here (Female 32; Mean Age = 11.65). All presently analyzed ABCD participants chosen here had both sufficient EPI data (4 × 5 min runs) and quality physiologic data obtained from Siemens built in physiologic monitor and respiratory belt.

Prior to MRI scanning, respiratory monitoring belts were placed comfortably around the child’s waist (with sensor horizontally aligned just below the ribcage). A pulse oxygen monitor was placed on the non-dominant index finger. Participants were scanned on a Siemens 3.0 T Magnetom Prisma system (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel head coil, located at OHSU’s Advanced Imaging...
Research Center. A high-resolution T1-weighted MPRAgE sequence was acquired (resolution = 1 × 1 × 1 mm). BOLD-weighted functional images were collected (along the anterior–posterior commissure) using T2*-weighted echo planar imaging (TR = 2.5 s, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, FOV = 216 mm², 60 slices covering the entire brain, slice thickness = 2.4 mm, resolution = 2.4 × 2.4 × 2.4 mm, MB acceleration = 6). In this version of the sequences, LeakBlock is enabled (originally termed split slice-GRAPPA) (Cauley et al., 2014). Also included is dynamic & slice-specific ghost correction, described by Setsompop et al. (2012), and more recently by Hoge et al. (2018). Further details can be seen in Casey et al. (2018). Four runs of 5 min of resting state BOLD data were acquired, during which participants were instructed to stay still and fixate on a white crosshair in the center of a black screen projected from the head of the scanner and viewed with a mirror mounted on the 32-channel head coil. An additional research volunteer (male, aged 25) underwent the same protocol with the TR variably at 0.8s, 1.5s, and 2.0s. This same participant also underwent a non-multiband sequence similar to the OHSU data set noted below (TR = 2500 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, FOV = 240 mm², 36 slices covering the entire brain, slice thickness = 3.8 mm, resolution = 3.75 × 3.75 × 3.8 mm²). Respiratory for this subject was paced at 0.33 Hz by a visual cue in all scans (see SI Movie 1). Last, a subject (female, aged 25) underwent the same ABCD protocol (TR = 0.8s) and HCP protocol (Glasser et al., 2013) (TR = 0.8s and TR = 1.1s) with multiple phase encode directions (AP, PA, LR, RL). Supplementary video related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116400.

2.1.2. Single band data

Single band data were acquired in 321 total scanning sessions (177 female, Mean Age = 11.16) right-handed children over 416 scanning sessions. These data were obtained at the Advanced Imaging Research Center at OHSU during the course of ongoing longitudinal studies (Costa Dias et al., 2015; Dosenbach et al., 2017; Fečko et al., 2017; Gates et al., 2014; Grayson et al., 2014; Sarah L. Sarah L. Karalunas et al., 2014; Mills et al., 2018, 2012; Miranda-Dominguez et al., 2018; Ray et al., 2014). Children were recruited by mass mailings to the local community. Only neurotypical controls contributed to the present results. Their diagnostic status—that is, confirmation of typically developing control children without major psychiatric, behavioral, or developmental disorder, was carefully evaluated with a multi-informant, multi-method research clinical process. This process included nationally normed standardized rating scales, semi-structured clinical interviews, and expert review (for more details see (Costa Dias et al., 2015; Fair et al., 2010; Sarah L. Sarah L. Karalunas et al., 2014; Mills et al., 2012; Nigg et al., 2018)). For this report, exclusion criteria were ADHD, tic disorder, psychotic disorder, bipolar disorder, autism spectrum disorder, conduct disorder, major depressive disorder, intellectual disability, neurological illness, chronic medical problems, sensorimotor disability, significant head trauma (with loss of consciousness), or any concurrent psychotropic medication. Children were also excluded if they had contraindications to MRI. The Human Investigation Review Board at OHSU approved the research. Written informed consent was obtained from respective parents and verbal or written assent was obtained from child participants.

Participants were scanned on a Siemens 3.0 T Magnetom Tim Trio system (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) with a 12-channel head coil. Visual stimuli were viewed via a mirror mounted on the head coil. One high-resolution T1-weighted MPRAgE sequence was acquired (resolution = 1 × 1 × 1 mm). BOLD-weighted functional images were collected with an interleaved acquisition using T2*-weighted echo planar imaging (TR = 2500 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, FOV = 240 mm², 36 slices covering the entire brain, slice thickness = 3.8 mm, resolution = 3.75 × 3.75 × 3.8 mm). Three resting state runs of duration 5 min were acquired during which participants were instructed to stay still and fixate on a white crosshair in the center of a black screen.

2.1.3. Post-acquisition processing

All data were processed following slightly modified pipelines developed by the Human Connectome Project (Glasser et al., 2013; Mills et al., 2018; Miranda-Dominguez et al., 2018). These ABCD-HCP pipelines have been developed as a Brain Imaging Data structure (BIDS) app available for download and public use (https://github.com/DCAN-Labs (DCAN-Labs, 2019)). These pipelines require the use of FSL (Jenkinson et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2004; Woolrich et al., 2009) and FreeSurfer (Dale et al., 1999; Fischl, 2012; Fischl and Dale, 2000). Since T2-weighted images were not acquired in all individuals this aspect of the pipeline was omitted. Gain field distortion corrected T1-weighted volumes were first aligned to the MNI AC-PC axis and then non-linearly normalized to the MNI atlas. Later, the T1-weighted (T1w) volume was re-registered to the MNI template (Fonov et al., 2011) using boundary based registration (Greve and Fischl, 2009) and segmented using the recon-all procedure in FreeSurfer. The BOLD data were corrected for magnetization inhomogeneity-related distortions using the TOPUP module in FSL (Smith et al., 2004). An average volume was calculated following preliminary rigid body registration of all frames to the first frame. This average volume was registered to the T1w. Following composition of transforms, all frames were resampled in register with T1-weighted volume in a single step.

2.1.3.1. Surface registration. The cortical ribbon was segmented out of the T1-weighted volume and represented as a tessellation of vertices. The co-registered BOLD data then were projected on these vertices. Vertices with a high coefficient of temporal variation, usually attributable to susceptibility voids or proximity to blood vessels, were excluded. Next, the vertex-wise time series were down-sampled to a standard surface space (grayordinates) and geodesically smoothed using a 2 mm full-width-half-max Gaussian filter.

2.1.3.2. Nuisance regression. Minimally processed timecourses generated by the HCP pipeline (Glasser et al., 2013) were further preprocessed to reduce spurious variance, first by regression of nuisance waveforms. Nuisance regressors included the signal averaged over gray matter as well as regions in white matter and the ventricles (Burgess et al., 2016). 24-parameter Volterra expansion regressors were derived from retrospective head motion correction (Friston et al., 1996; Power et al., 2014, 2012). Nuisance regressor beta weights were calculated solely on the basis of frames with low movement but regression was applied to all frames in order to preserve the temporal structure of the data prior to filtering in the time domain. Timecourses then were filtered using a first order Butterworth band pass filter retaining frequencies between 0.009 and 0.080 Hz.

2.1.4. Rigid body motion correction

Each data frame (volume) is aligned to the first frame of the run through a series of rigid body transform matrices, \( T_i \), where \( i \) indexes frame and the reference frame is indexed by 0. We use the same approach for both real-time and post-processing estimates. Importantly, this step is done independent of the motion filter (see below). Each transform is calculated by minimizing the registration error,

\[
\epsilon^2 = \left( gh(\mathbf{T} (\mathbf{x})) - I(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2, 
\]

where \( I(\mathbf{x}) \) is the image intensity at locus \( \mathbf{x} \) and \( g \) is a scalar factor that compensates for fluctuations in mean signal intensity, spatially averaged over the whole brain (angle brackets). Each transform is represented by a combination of rotations and displacements. Thus,

\[
T_i = \begin{bmatrix} R_i & d_i \end{bmatrix}, 
\]

where \( R_i \) is a 3 × 3 rotation matrix and \( d_i \) is a 3 × 1 column vector of
displacements (in mm). \(R_i\) is the product of three elementary rotations about the cardinal axes. Thus, \(R_i = R_x R_y R_z\), where

\[
R_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_y = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_z = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma & 0 \\ \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]  

and all angles are expressed in radians.

### 2.1.5. Computation of framewise displacement (FD)

Retrospective head motion correction generates a 6-parameter representation of the head trajectory, \(T_i\), where \(i\) indexes frame. Instantaneous frame displacement (FD) is evaluated as the sum of frame-to-frame change over the 6 rigid body parameters. Thus,

\[
FD_i = |\Delta d_x| + |\Delta d_y| + |\Delta d_z| + r \cdot (|\Delta \alpha| + |\Delta \beta| + |\Delta \gamma|),
\]  

where \(\Delta d_x = d_{i+1} - d_i\), and similarly for the remaining parameters. We assign \(r = 50 \text{ mm}\), which approximates the mean distance from the cerebral cortex to the center of the head for a healthy child or adult. Since FD is evaluated by backwards differences, it is defined for all frames except the first.

### 2.1.6. Estimating respiration characteristics

The nominal rate of respiration changes with age, varying from 30 to 60 breaths per minute (bpm) at birth to 12–20 bpm at the age of 18 years old. The corresponding frequency in Hz is obtained by dividing bpm by 60. Thus, for example, 20 bpm corresponds to 0.3 Hz. Accordingly, the power spectral density of any signal reflecting respiration should exhibit a peak in the range 0.2–0.3 Hz. The width of this peak (line broadening) reflects respiratory rate variability.

It is crucially important to take into account how respiration manifests in BOLD fMRI according to Nyquist theory. The temporal sampling density of BOLD fMRI is the reciprocal of the volume TR. In the ABCD study, the temporal sampling density is \(1/(0.8 \text{ s}) = 1.25 \text{ Hz}\). The Nyquist folding frequency then is \(1.25/2 = 0.625 \text{ Hz}\). This means that signals faster than 0.625 Hz alias to correspondingly lower frequencies. Thus, for example, 0.7 Hz aliases to 0.625–0.075 = 0.55 Hz. Importantly, in ABCD data, respiration (0.2–0.3 Hz) normally falls well below the Nyquist folding frequency, hence, is not aliased.

In contrast, the BOLD fMRI temporal sampling density in the single band dataset (TR = 2.5s) is \(1/(2.5s) = 0.4 \text{ Hz}\). The Nyquist folding frequency then is 0.2 Hz. This circumstance suggests that respiration in the OHSU dataset alias into lower bands (these ideas are further tested below). In general, the aliased frequency, \(f_a\), can be calculated as

\[
f_a = \left| \text{mod}(f_\text{tr}, f_\text{Ny}) - f_\text{Ny} \right|,
\]  

where \(f_\text{tr}\) is the true respiration rate, \(f_\text{Ny} = 1/TR\) is the fMRI sampling rate and \(f_\text{Ny}/2\) is the Nyquist folding frequency. mod(a, b) is the modulo function, i.e., the remainder after dividing \(a/b\).

### 2.1.7. Estimating motion frequency content

The frequency content of the motion estimates was calculated using power spectral density estimation. To minimize leakage of frequency associated with segmenting the data, we used the standard multitaper power spectral density estimate Matlab function (pmtm) and windowed each segment multiple times using different tapers. The spectral resolution is 0.0024 Hz. In particular, each ~5 min scan session has 383 points with a TR of 0.8. We used 512 points to calculate the discrete Fourier transform and a time-half bandwidth product equal to 8.

### 2.1.8. Correction of respiration induced artifacts using a bandstop (notch) filter

Respiration-related signals were removed from the motion estimates using a band-stop (notch) filter. Notch filters remove selected frequency components while leaving the other components unaffected.

A notch filter has two design parameters: the central cutoff frequency and the bandwidth (range of frequencies to be eliminated). To determine the central cutoff frequency and the bandwidth for the ABCD participants, we examined the distribution of respiration rates over participants and used the median as cutoff frequency and the quartiles 2 and 3 as bandwidth. Having obtained those values (0.31 Hz and 0.43 Hz), we used the second-order IIR notch filter Matlab function, (iirnotch), to design the filter.

### 2.1.9. Post-processing application of bandstop (notch) filter

The designed filter is a difference equation of order 2. Thus, two previous samples are recursively weighted to generate the filtered signal. This procedure starts with the third sample, weights the two previous samples, and continues until the end of the run. Importantly, this filter design introduces phase delay with respect to the original signal. This is problematic because motion estimation is then not in sync with true motion. Phase delay can be eliminated by applying the filter twice, first forward and then backwards. This process is a causal and can only be applied retrospectively.

### 2.1.10. Real-time application of bandstop (notch) filter

Complete elimination of phase delay is not possible in real-time. However, it is possible to minimize phase delay by running the filter in pseudo-real time. Thus, having acquired 5 samples, the filter is applied twice and the best estimate of the filtered signal, free of phase delay, is the result corresponding to the third sample. The process is repeated until the last sample is reached. The filter progressively converges towards the off-line result as more and more data are accumulated. At the end of a given run, the final result is identical to that obtained during post processing.

### 2.1.11. Qualitative assessment of factitious head motion: 2D grayordinate intensity plots

Qualitative assessments were conducted by examining the effects of motion on BOLD data using a format introduced by Power et al. (Power, 2016). Data from each masked EPI frame is displayed as a vector (representing each voxel; for surface data grayordinates). Each vector, representing each frame in a ‘BOLD run,’ is stacked horizontally in the time domain. This procedure allows all the data from a given run (or full study via concatenated runs) for a given subject to be viewed at once. These rectangular grayordinate plots of BOLD data have been described in detail elsewhere (Power, 2016). Here we make slight modifications. See Fig. 3 legend for additional details on how these plots have been augmented for the current report.

### 2.1.12. Quantitative assessment of the impact of factitious head motion

The present objective is to assess the impact of factitious head motion on the quality of BOLD fMRI data and to evaluate alternative filtering strategies for mitigating this impact. Our approach to this problem is based on a procedure introduced by Power et al. (2014) for assessing the link between measured FD and fMRI data quality, originally for the purpose of selecting an optimal frame-censoring threshold. This procedure tests the null hypothesis that measured FD is unrelated to data quality. The steps of the procedure for relating FD to fMRI data quality are illustrated in Fig. 1. All frames of a given fMRI run are ordered according to increasing FD values. Functional connectivity matrices are computed over sliding windows of 150 vol (i.e., 1–150, 2–151, …). The mean FC matrix over the first 30 windows (lowest FD) defines a baseline FC matrix. FC matrices are computed over successive windows and their similarity to the baseline is evaluated by correlation of vectorized FC matrices. A relation between FD and fMRI data quality is expected to manifest as a systematic reduction in the correlation between successive windows and the baseline at progressively higher FD values. In the absence of a relation between FD and fMRI data quality, there should be
3. Results

3.1. Differences in multiband vs. single band head motion traces

Fig. 3 illustrates the manifestations of respiratory motion in FD traces for multiband scanning (TR = 0.8s) and single-shot EPI (TR = 2.5s). The same individual was scanned on the same scanner using both techniques. Breathing was paced at 0.33 Hz by a visual cue in both scans (see SI Movie 1). The grayordinate plots and DVARS traces suggest that true head motion in this subject was minimal. Nevertheless, in the multiband data, the FD trace frequently rises above 0.2 mm and exhibits periodicity corresponding to the imposed respiratory pacing. In comparison, in the single band data, the FD trace generally remains below 0.06 mm. These qualitative observations demonstrate a fundamental difference in motion estimates provided by multiband data, as compared to single-shot data.

In Fig. 4 we show a typical “medium mover” participant in the ABCD study (i.e., a subject randomly selected in the middle quartiles of the distribution). What can be seen in the augmented grayordinate plots for Fig. 4A is that large spikes in the movement estimates correspond to artifacts in the data that can be visualized in grayordinate intensity plots, and the other quality measurements: DVARS, whole brain signal, and whole brain signal standard deviations (see black arrows, Fig. 4A). These are the same head-motion related BOLD signal artifacts that have previously been documented (Power et al., 2014; Power, 2016). If we take the same motion threshold (FD > 0.2) and examine other places along the FD trace, much of the multiband data that crosses the upper threshold of 0.2, does not appear to have the same types of movement induced artifacts (Fig. 4A). These qualitative observations suggest a potential mismatch in movement related artifact and movement in the multiband data.

3.2. Multiband imaging reveals prominent respiratory components in head motion estimates

Having established the possibility of differences in motion estimates for multiband data compared to single band data, we next compared respiratory traces and power spectra of motion estimates in the two data types. Fig. 5A illustrates motion parameter time series (3 translations, 3 rotations) together with respiratory belt data acquired in a representative ABCD subject. This subject was scanned with the standard ABCD fMRI protocol (MB 6; TR 800 ms). Fig. 5A (top row) provides the full trace of a run for a subject, along with brief snapshots of 10 frames of that same run (middle row). We also provide power spectra for those signals (bottom row). A relation between apparent head motion and the respiratory belt is present but not easily perceived in conventional time series plots. This relation is most clearly evident in the power spectral density plots. Chest wall motion is regular periodic. Accordingly, the respiratory belt power spectrum (gray trace) shows a well-defined peak (at ~0.37 Hz) present in the y-translation power spectrum (middle row). This peak is mirrored in the y-translation power spectrum and, to a lesser extent, also in the z-translation power spectrum. While the largest correspondence occurs in y-translation, as would be expected, correspondence in other directions might relate to the fact that the relative head position of the subject often changes from the beginning to the end of a run. It might also represent true head motion related to the respirations themselves.

Fig. 5B shows motion parameter power spectra in all participants...
represented as a stack ordered according to total motion (mean FD). The lowest movers are on top. Oscillations at \(\sim 0.3\) Hz, predominantly in the y-translation parameter, are evident in the lowest movers. Note the absence of low frequency power particularly in the y-translation column (i.e., no evidence of slow head elevations) in low movers. The \(\sim 0.3\) Hz oscillation becomes progressively less prominent towards the bottom of the stack. This effect arises because the power spectra are normalized (equal total power in all traces). Thus, high motion manifests as a shift of relative power towards low frequencies. In other words, factitious y-translation oscillations, if present, are overwhelmed by true high amplitude head motion. Modest uncertainty regarding the direction of true head motion arises from potential cross-talk between the rigid body parameters represented in Eq. (3). These algebraic complications are detailed in Appendix A.

### 3.3. Respiratory artifact is present, but less prominent in single band data

Fig. 6 is identical to Fig. 5 except that it shows single-band instead of multiband results. Respiratory belt data were not available in this case. Nevertheless, it is apparent that respiratory artifact occurs primarily in the y (phase encoding) direction. A peak in the power spectrum is present. However, in comparison to the multiband case, this peak is much broader and centered at \(\sim 0.14\) Hz. Some of this ‘spread’ might be secondary to the interleaved acquisitions where each image is being acquired over a longer TR at a distinct spatial location in time. However, these power spectrum differences between single and multiband data also likely occur because the sampling rate (TR) is not fast enough to capture the true rate of the respirations. Rather, respirations are aliased into other frequencies (see Eq. (5)). This effect is illustrated in SI Figure S1. Any signal that has a frequency higher than half the sampling rate (Nyquist limit) will be detected as a signal of lower frequency. For example, a 2 Hz signal sampled at 10 Hz will read out at 2 Hz. A 5 Hz signal sampled at 10 Hz, will read out at 5 Hz because it is exactly at the Nyquist limit. However, a 6 Hz signal sampled at 10 Hz, will not read out as 6 Hz, but will alias into a lower frequency. In this example, the 6 Hz signal aliases at 4 Hz.

In SI Figure S1 we provide an illustration of what frequencies might be expected for a given respiratory rate and for a given sampling rate (TR). Three examples are given for 15, 20, and 25 breaths per minute. What is shown is that the artifact in the motion traces for a TR of 800 ms (or 0.8s) will match the true respiratory rate of a given participant; however, for slower TRs (single-band data) the respiratory rate will be aliased into different frequencies. Thus, any variation in respiratory rate during a scan is likely to be spread into different frequencies, broadening the spectral peak (see Fig. 6B – red arrow, relative to Fig. 5B – red arrow). This mismatch between true respiratory rate and what can be observed in motion traces might be one reason why these artifacts were initially overlooked in single-band data.
changes in B0 due to motion (movement through non-uniform signal artifact on the basis of spin history effects (Friston et al., 1996) and phase encode direction varied. The participant was blind to this mover. We then collected several additional BOLD runs in which the obtained data in a second participant who is known to be a very low respirator. The motion was analyzed to determine the effect of the respiratory artifacts. Figure 5 shows the motion traces and the BOLD signal changes. In other words, true respiratory motion can be transmitted from the chest to the head by mechanical linkage through the neck, as discussed above in connection with Fig. 5. Overall, these results show that respiratory artifact in the phase encode direction is induced by B0 perturbations. Thus, the component of head motion that moves with the phase encode direction is factitious while other components of respiration related head motion are real, with potential ‘leakage’ of the B0 artifact into other directions.

3.4. Respiratory artifacts change with phase encoding direction

It could be argued, despite the evidence presented thus far, that the respiratory artifacts demonstrated here are purely mechanical (SI Figure 5). In other words, true respiratory motion can be transmitted from the chest to the head by mechanical linkage through the neck, as discussed above in connection with Fig. 5. Such motion is most likely to occur as z-direction displacement (as in Figs. 5 and 6) or rotation about the ear-to-ear axis (θz, pitch). If true, this motion may generate BOLD signal artifact on the basis of spin history effects (Friston et al., 1996) and changes in B0 due to motion (movement through non-uniform field-/shim) unrelated to respiratory-related B0 changes (or drifts). To show that the additional motion related findings secondary to B0 are distinct, we obtained data in a second participant who is known to be a very low mover. We then collected several additional BOLD runs in which the phase encode direction varied. The participant was blind to this manipulation. Fig. 8 shows spectral analysis of motion trajectories obtained in four of these runs (see also Figures S2 - S4). The respiratory artifact in the motion traces clearly follows the phase encode (PE) direction (i.e., y-translation to x-translation or vice versa). Respiratory power is also present in other directions. Respiratory power not in the phase encode direction most likely reflects true brain motion, with some evidence in the traces that this true respiration related motion may also be leaking into other directions (see Discussion and Appendix A). Our finding of B0 artifact at the primary respiratory frequency is also clearly visualized with Human Connectome Project (HCP) data (for processing details see (Miranda-Dominguez et al., 2018)). Whose phase encode direction was LR/RL as opposed to ABCD data, which was AP (SI Fig. 5). Interestingly, in the Human Connectome Data additional artifacts of unknown origin, restricted to the phase encoding direction, are seen in the same narrow frequency band across most subjects, both at a higher frequency (~0.57 Hz), as well as a lower frequency (~0.16 Hz) (SI Fig. 5). Overall, these results show that respiratory artifact in the phase encode direction is induced by B0 perturbations. Thus, the component of head motion that moves with the phase encode direction is factitious while other components of respiration related head motion are real, with potential ‘leakage’ of the B0 artifact into other directions.

3.5. Filtering FD data corrects for respiratory artifacts and improves estimates of data quality

Having established the factitious effects of respirations on motion...
estimates, we then turned our attention to reducing them. To correct head motion estimates for respiration related B0 artifact, we applied a bandstop/notch filter to the rigid body alignment parameters [Eq. (3)]. Two filter strategies were evaluated. First, we evaluated a generic, Fixed Frequency (FF) filter designed to exclude a range of respiratory rates (see Methods). The FF approach does not require respiratory rate measurement in individuals. In the second approach, we used respiratory belt data (see Methods) to generate individually tailored filter parameters (Subject-specific Frequency [SF] filter). The effects of applying the FF filter are shown in Fig. 4B.

Marked improvement in the linkage between FD and functional connectivity data quality (gray ordinate block) is evident on comparison of Fig. 4A vs. 4B (black arrows). The impact of this improvement on FD-based frame censoring is dramatic. Thus, assuming a censoring criterion of >0.2 mm (orange line in FD trace), very few frames would survive without filtering (panel A). In contrast, with filtering (panel B), censored frames align more strongly with the artifact.

The DVARS trace also improved post filtering. The reason for this improvement is that regression of factitious head motion waveforms introduces spurious variance. Thus, main field (B0) perturbations caused by chest wall motion may indirectly corrupt fMRI data via distorted nuisance regressors. This effect is independent of frame censoring. Hence, there are reasons to remove factitious components from motion regressors even if frame censoring is not part of the analysis strategy.

Supplementary Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure 7 provide replicates of Fig. 5 after FF and SF FD filtering, respectively. These figures illustrate the alignment of the filter with the respiration-induced artifact. A close look at both Supplementary Figure 6B (FF filter) and Supplementary Figure 7B (SF filter) shows that both these methods do not perfectly capture the artifact. Indeed, in Supplementary Figure 7B, particularly for high moving subjects (i.e., bottom of graph), the filter impinges on true motion values. In other words, the identification of the dominant respiratory rhythm in high movers is difficult. So, the SF filter might be more precise for some individuals, but less so for others, as compared to the FF filter. For this reason the overall performance of both the SF and FF filter are largely similar (Fig. S8E).

3.6. Filtering head motion estimates improves data quality

To quantify the impact of filtering motion parameters on BOLD data quality, we used FD sliding window methodology introduced by Power et al. (2014). The FD sliding window method was originally used to select the frame-censoring criterion using a rational procedure. Here, we adapt the FD sliding window approach to assess the fidelity of a quality assurance measure, specifically, FD, as outlined in Figs. 1 and 2 (see Methods).

Results obtained with the FD sliding window procedure are shown in Fig. 9 and SI Figure S8. The basic result is that filtering causes the boundary between “low” vs. “high” quality data to shift towards lower FD values. In other words, without filtering, relatively high FD values do not reliably indicate compromised data quality. The unfiltered FD measurements are more random than FD measurements that have been filtered using the FF filter (or SF filter – see Supplementary Figure S8). The top row of Fig. 9A demonstrates this effect in a single subject, where fewer green points (windows similar to the baseline) extend up to the highest FD values. In contrast, with filtering (Fig. 9A), a clear boundary between “low” vs. “high” quality data (sharp transition from green to red points) occurs at 0.26 mm. Fig. 9B plots the rank of the FD-ordered results across subjects (as in Fig. 2B). Fig. 9C bins those ranks (as in Fig. 2C). These plots include all subjects and represent a vertical distribution of ranks (relative to randomly ordered volumes) of sliding window comparisons to the baseline. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) across all FD bins (Fig. 9C and D) estimates the average rate at which the windows deviate from the null model (as in Fig. 2B and C). Filtering causes the CDF to shift leftwards. This leftward shift reflects, at the population level, better correspondence between FD and data quality, as described above in individuals. Fig. 9E plots the CDFs obtained in the FF filter versus the ‘no filter’ conditions. The FF filter CDF significantly
differed from the ‘no filter’ CDF ($p < 0.0001$) by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test. Similar CDF findings were obtained with the SF filter (see Supplementary Figure 8). Also see Supplementary Figure 9, which provides an alternative approach to measuring the significance of this effect, as well as, SI text which describes how the reliability of connectivity measurements improves with the filter condition (SI Figs. 10 and 11).

3.7. Reliability of connectivity measurements improves with filtering of FD values

Two additional tests were conducted to examine the reliability of our connectivity measurements with and without FD filtering. First we applied a split half replication procedure whereby we randomly compared two separate runs within an individual and then correlated connectivity matrices of these split halves across a series of FD
thresholds. This procedure was performed for both the FF and no filter conditions (SI Fig. 10). Second, we searched for correspondence in correlation matrices when using DVARS or FD criteria for censoring data frames (with and without filtering), the idea being that the FD frame censoring approach (FD filtering vs. no FD filtering) that captures the most head motion artifacts would most closely resemble correlation matrices obtained when frame-censoring using DVARS measurements (SI Fig. 11). Split half reliability of the processed data was improved by the FF filter (see SI Fig. 10). In addition, frame-censoring with filtered FD values (FF) increased the similarity with functional connectivity data frame-censored using a DVARS criterion (SI Fig. 11).

3.8. Real-time implementation of head motion filtering in FIRMM software

Real-time motion monitoring tools such as FIRMM can reduce costs and improve data quality. To improve the accuracy of real-time head motion estimates, filtering of respiratory frequencies has been built into version 3 of FIRMM software. Scanner operators have the option to apply the FF filter in real time (Fig. 10 and SI Movie 2) via a GUI that provides users with control over filter parameters. This type of control is necessary because different subject populations (e.g., children vs. adults) may have different respiratory rates. Fig. 9 shows the FIRMM display for unfiltered and filtered FD data acquired in three 5-min resting state BOLD fMRI runs. As in our original report (Dosenbach et al., 2017), on-line filtered
1.5 s there is no aliasing and the respiratory peak stays at 0.3 Hz. For sampling rates of 3. 2.0 s and 4). 2.5 s the respiratory peak gets aliased to lower frequencies

Discussion

We show that respiratory motion can artifactually inflate head motion estimates, causing overly aggressive frame-censoring and unnecessary data loss. Faulty head motion estimation also interferes with other denoising techniques besides frame-censoring. Specifically, corruption of nuisance regressors derived from retrospective head motion correction introduces spurious variance into the fMRI time series. This spurious variance appears in DVARS time series and is reduced by appropriate head motion filtering (Fig. 4). Similar logic underlies the rationale for adjusting the spectral content of head motion time series prior to their use as nuisance regressors (Carp, 2011; Hallquist et al., 2013), which is expected to also reduce fictitious head motion due to respiration. For this reason our filter is applied to translation and rotation values after they are estimated and utilized for the remainder of the processing. We demonstrate these principles using on-line as well as off-line head motion estimation based on registration of reconstructed images. However, the same issue potentially arises with any motion estimation strategy. For example, volumetric navigator sequences for structural MRI, which adjust acquisition parameters based on head motion estimates between whole-brain EPI snapshots, might overcorrect during low-movement scans (Zaitsev et al., 2017). To address these important concerns, we evaluated a notch filter that drastically reduces the effects of respirations on FD estimates and thus improves MRI quality. Finally, we demonstrate near real time implementation of on-line motion estimation including notch filter correction of FD estimates. This development represents an important improvement of our existing real-time motion monitoring software (FIRMM).

Supplementary video related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116400.

4.1. Distribution of respiratory motion across rigid body parameters

Although respiratory head motion, to a large degree, is likely fictitious in origin and most prominently occurs in the phase encoding direction (Figs. 5, 6 and 8), head motion at the same frequency may also appear in any motion parameter, albeit to a lesser extent (e.g., Fig. 8, S2 - S4). Indeed, since the release of our pre-print (Fair et al., 2018) similar findings have now been replicated elsewhere (Power et al., 2019). Respiratory head motion affecting parameters other than phase encoding direction displacement can arise on the basis of a few distinct mechanisms that must be distinguished in future work. First, the data we used were acquired with slices aligned along the anterior-posterior commissure, which is a tilt of ~15 deg from axial. This positioning would cause the phase encoding gradient to be mainly in y, but with a z component, which might explain the secondary, but lesser, effects we see in the z-direction. Second, true respiratory motion can be transmitted from the chest to the head by mechanical linkage through the neck, as discussed above in connection with Fig. 5. This motion is most likely to occur as z-direction displacement (as in Figs. 5, 6 and 8) or rotation about the ear-to-eye axis (θz; pitch). Being true motion, it would generate BOLD signal artifact on the basis of spin history effects (Friston et al., 1996). Third, fictitious head motion may appear in any motion parameters owing to algebraic cross-talk (see Appendix A for details) or a repositioning of the head relative to the original reference frame. In this case, the artifact would appear to ‘bleed’ or ‘leak’ into the other directions. It is for this reason we chose to apply our notch filter to all directions of head motion. In short, it is likely that the presence of fictitious head motion accounts for some of the correspondence between motion estimates and respirations (Power et al., 2017). However, respirations contaminate BOLD data in multiple ways (Birn et al., 2006; Power et al., 2017), and as such, additional work is needed to more fully disentangle these complex issues.
4.2. Pros and cons of fixed frequency (FF) and subject specific (SF) FD filters

We empirically designed the FF notch filter to capture a large proportion of respiratory frequencies in the ABCD participant sample (see Methods). This filter worked well in improving the reliability of functional connectivity data. However, since different populations and age groups have different respiratory rates (Wallis et al., 2005), the FF filter should be tailored to the population under study. Such tailoring could also take into consideration respiratory variability within individuals, which manifests in the power spectra as a wider artifact peak (as shown in Fig. 5 as compared to Fig. 7). The filter parameters chosen for the ABCD population are unlikely to be appropriate for all populations. Accordingly, the FIRMM software enables the user to modify the FF filter parameters via the GUI. Some populations might not require motion parameter filtering at all. For example, infant chest excursions are likely only to minimally perturb the main field. For this reason, FIRMM allows the user to control whether filtering is enabled or disabled. To aid in such decisions, we have released software in our repositories (FIRMM, 2017), which will recreate the extant images in Fig. 5, and allow investigators to visualize the artifact in their own data, and allow for appropriate thresholding.

In principle, the SF filter strategy, which matches the filter characteristics to each individual’s respiratory rate, should be more accurate in eliminating factitious components from the head motion regressors. In the current results, the SF filter performed similarly to the FF filter in low movers. In high movers, the SF filter strategy often failed to correctly identify the respiratory component (Supplementary Figure 7). Thus, while the SF filter is appealing, there are methodological pitfalls. First, not all studies collect respiratory belt data, which is required for using a SF filter. Second, even if respiratory data were collected on a subject, they could be inaccurate. Often the respiratory belts are not fitted properly or become dislodged during scanning. Along the same lines, identifying the peak respirations from the motion numbers themselves can also be problematic, as noted above. Future work is needed to develop reliable SF filtering. One option might simply be to modify the notch filter applied here to a low-pass filter where identifying the proper range of threshold would not be required (Siegel et al., 2017). Until work optimizing the SF approach is conducted, our current recommendation would be to utilize a filter that is general and consistent across participants.

In this manuscript we do not make specific recommendations for adjusting FD frame censoring thresholds to account for the effects of FD.
filtering. Applying either the FF or SF filter necessarily reduces overall power of the FD traces. This reduction in power likely requires a revisiting of the thresholds for frame censoring that have become common practice (e.g., FD < 0.2) (Power et al., 2014). While beyond the scope of the current report, a systematic evaluation to this end is needed in future work (e.g., other types of filters, such as ICA, might improve on current methods). Importantly, as noted by Power and colleagues (Power et al., 2014), all datasets, with or without filter adjustments, should be evaluated independently for proper FD thresholds.

4.3. FIRMM allows adapting the FD filter parameters to the study population

FIRMM was initially created as a response to difficulties in collecting sufficient quality ‘low movement’ data for t-fMRI and rs-fcMRI studies. FIRMM calculates and displays curated motion values (i.e., frame displacement [FD]) and summary statistics during data acquisition in real time, providing investigators and operators estimates of head motion data. The benefits of using FIRMM to reduce costs and improve data quality have been thoroughly examined in prior reports (Dosenbach et al., 2017; Greene et al., 2018). Importantly, FIRMM and other real-time motion estimators rely on accurate translation and rotation numbers. The implementation of the notch filter in real time (see methods for our approach) improves the accuracy of the motion values in FIRMM.

There are a few considerations for FIRMM users, when applying our FIRMM notch filter to the motion estimates (see Methods). The filter recursively weights the two previous samples to provide an instantaneous filtered signal, and thus cannot start until the third sample. In addition, to avoid a phase delay with respect to the original signal, the filter is applied again in the reverse direction (cancelling the phase delay). In real time, this requires waiting until the 5th sample to provide a best estimate of filtered motion for the third data frame. Each time a new frame arrives the process is reapplied to all frames available, continually converging closer to the optimal output obtained when the filter is applied twice to the entire sequence (as in post-processing). This filtering procedure works well and only leads to a small delay in motion estimates of at least two frames. For a large majority of users this small delay for fast TRs (<1 s/frame) accompanying multiband imaging is non-consequential. Nevertheless, alternative real-time filtering algorithms exist that theoretically could reduce or eliminate this delay (Glover Jr, 1977). Implementation of real-time filters without any delay will be considered in the future.

4.4. Respiratory artifacts in motion estimates are not isolated to multiband sequences

Although it has been known for over a decade that respiratory motion perturbs the main field (Van de Moortele et al., 2002) these effects have gone largely ignored. Several previous methods have been presented that...
attempt to address this issue by measuring the frequency shift due to respiration using short navigator acquisitions, and correcting it retrospectively (Barry and Menon, 2005; Pfeuffer et al., 2002; Thesen et al., 2003; Wowk et al., 1997) and prospectively (Benner et al., 2006). All of the sequences evaluated in this study included these features, both prospectively adjusting the center frequency for each slice or slice-group (Benner et al., 2006) and retrospectively adjusting the phase of measured k-space data (Pfeuffer et al., 2002) using the additional phase information in the N/2 ghost navigator (Thesen et al., 2003). Thus, the respiration-correlated effects we observe are the residuals after these on-scanner corrections. Clearly, despite the on-scanner correction, significant residual errors remain in the BOLD timeseries. These effects materially compromise denoising strategies, especially with SMS sequences (e.g., Fig. 4). As fast fMRI with SMS sequences becomes the standard of practice, large, ongoing, multi-site projects, such as Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD), must consider this issue. Here, we demonstrate one approach for managing factitious head motion due to respiratory perturbations of the magnetic field ($B_0$).

Similar corruption of head motion estimates in single-band data has not been widely recognized. Reasons for this circumstance include that frequency aliasing (Fig. 7 and S1) disguises the source of the problem and that respiratory rate variation normally spans a comparatively large fraction of the resolvable spectral band (Fig. 6). However, factitious respiratory motion artifact can be detected in single-band data. Although we did not systematically examine the effects of applying our notch filter to single band data, doing so might improve previously obtained results.

We note that this may not be straightforward as aliasing [(Eq (5))] must be taken into account. As noted above, one option, might be to simply apply a low-pass filter, instead of a band-stop or notch filter (Gordon et al., 2017; Gratton et al., 2018; Laumann et al., 2016).

5. Conclusions

Properly controlling for motion artifacts in fMRI is of the utmost importance in both clinical and research applications. The current report shows that main field ($B_0$) perturbations generated by chest wall motion corrupt head motion estimates. Factitious head motion leads to the false appearance of compromised data quality and introduces spurious variance into fMRI time series via corrupted nuisance regressors. These effects are readily apparent in SMS sequences but are also present in single-band sequences. Notch filtering tailored to the respiratory frequency band improves the accuracy of head motion estimates and improves functional connectivity analyses. Notch filtering can be applied off-line during post-processing as well as on-line to improve real-time motion monitoring. Additional work is needed to improve strategies for fine tuning filters to better match individual respiratory rates.
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