Nature and degree of aqueous alteration in CM and CI carbonaceous chondrites
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Abstract—We investigated the petrologic, geochemical, and spectral parameters that relate to the type and degree of aqueous alteration in nine CM chondrites and one CI (Ivuna) carbonaceous chondrite. Our underlying hypothesis is that the position and shape of the 3 μm band is diagnostic of phyllosilicate mineralogy. We measured reflectance spectra of the chondrites under dry conditions (elevated temperatures) and vacuum (10^{-8} to 10^{-7} torr) to minimize adsorbed water and mimic the space environment, for subsequent comparison with reflectance spectra of asteroids. We have identified three spectral CM groups in addition to Ivuna. “Group 1,” the least altered group as determined from various alteration indices, is characterized by 3 μm band centers at longer wavelengths, and is consistent with cronstedtite (Fe-serpentine). “Group 3,” the most altered group, is characterized by 3 μm band centers at shorter wavelengths and is consistent with antigorite (serpentine). “Group 2” is an intermediate group between group 1 and 3. Ivuna exhibits a unique spectrum that is distinct from the CM meteorites and is consistent with lizardite and chrysotile (serpentine). The petrologic and geochemical parameters, which were determined using electron microprobe analyses and microscopic observations, are found to be consistent with the three spectral groups. These results indicate that the distinct parent body aqueous alteration environments experienced by these carbonaceous chondrites can be distinguished using reflectance spectroscopy. High-quality ground-based telescopic observations of Main Belt asteroids can be expected to reveal not just whether an asteroid is hydrated, but also details of the alteration state.

INTRODUCTION

Aqueous alteration is possibly the most widespread process that has affected primitive solar system materials and chondritic meteorites (Brearley 2006). The CM (Mighei-like) and CI (Ivuna-like) carbonaceous chondrites were affected by varying degrees of fluid-assisted alteration (McSween 1979a; Bunch and Chang 1980; Tomeoka and Buseck 1985; Zolensky and McSween 1988; Brearley 2006). Indications of aqueous alteration are obtained from mineralogic (Zolensky et al. 1993; Browning et al. 1996), geochemical (McSween 1979a), oxygen and hydrogen isotopic (Clayton and Mayeda 1999; Eiler and Kitchen 2004), and textural (Lee 1993; Browning et al. 2000) analyses of chondrites.

CM and CI carbonaceous chondrites are widely thought to derive from the C-complex asteroids (Bell et al. 1989; Gaffey et al. 1993). If so, the meteorites and asteroids should have similar mineralogies. Infrared (IR) reflectance spectroscopy of CM and CI chondrites and their possible parent bodies can be useful in identifying hydrated phases, which exhibit a variety of
spectral features. For asteroid and meteorite spectroscopy, absorptions around 0.7 and 3.0 μm are particularly diagnostic. The former absorption is attributed to a Fe$^{2+}$ → Fe$^{3+}$ charge transfer in phyllosilicates (Vilas and Gaffey 1989), and the latter to hydroxyl- and/or water-bearing materials, or to surficial OH from the solar wind (e.g., Lebofsky 1980; Rivkin et al. 2002; Sunshine et al. 2009).

Laboratory analyses of CM and CI chondrites, coupled with telescopic observations of outer Main Belt asteroids, have the potential to place crucial constraints on how and where this aqueous alteration occurred, and offer a unique insight into the effects of asteroidal processing on early solar system materials. In a previous paper (Takir and Emery 2012), we presented near-infrared (0.7–4.0 μm) spectra of 28 outer Main Belt asteroids. An analysis focused on those data revealed four spectral groups, each of which, presumably, is related to distinct surface mineralogy. The goal of the present study is to develop reliable 3 μm spectral indicators that will be used to interpret phyllosilicate mineralogy on the surfaces of these asteroids. To that end, we have undertaken combined petrologic, geochemical, and spectroscopic analyses of selected CM and CI chondrites.

The first part of the investigation consists of a study of the degree of hydration using previously defined alteration parameters (i.e., Mineralogical Alteration Index: MAI, and petrologic subtype) for nine CM carbonaceous chondrites: LaPaz Icefield (LAP) 02277, Miller Range (MIL) 07700, Queen Alexandra Range (QUE) 97990, QUE 99038, LAP 03786, MacAlpine Hills (MAC) 02606, Meteorite Hills (MET) 00639, Cold Bokkeveld, and Bells (Table 1). We apply and compare the previously published alteration scales of Browning et al. (1996) and Rubin et al. (2007), both of which utilized petrographic observations and electron microprobe analyses to quantify the degree of aqueous alteration. The analyses include different splits of the same meteorites previously studied by Browning et al. (1996) and by Rubin et al. (2007) to test the consistency of the two alteration scales. We also compare some results from the XRD-based alteration scale of Howard et al. (2009, 2011) to investigate the consistency of all three aqueous alteration scales, and to identify the most reliable aspects of each scale.

The second part of the investigation evaluates IR reflectance spectral properties (e.g., band centers and shapes) of the same CM chondrites, as well as one CI chondrite (Ivuna). Comparisons of asteroid and meteorite spectra in the 3 μm region have been difficult because meteorite spectra have usually been measured under ambient terrestrial conditions, and therefore were contaminated by atmospheric water (Miyamoto and Zolensky 1994; Sato et al. 1997; Rivkin et al. 2003). Here, we present IR reflectance spectra measured under dry (elevated temperatures) and vacuum (10$^{-8}$ to 10$^{-7}$ torr) conditions to minimize adsorbed water and mimic the space environment, for subsequent comparison with asteroid reflectance spectra. Our reflectance study differs from that of Beck et al. (2010), who measured IR transmission spectra of heated CM and CI chondrites at elevated temperatures up to 575 K. Due to the scattering of reflected illumination by granular particles, the shape and even position of absorption features can differ between transmission and reflectance measurements. Our study is important to investigate the implications of aqueous alteration for outer Main Belt asteroids (2.5 < a < 4.0 AU), which are observed in reflectance using ground-based telescopes (Takir and Emery 2012).

Table 1. Carbonaceous chondrites analyzed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meteorite</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Section number</th>
<th>Fall or find</th>
<th>Weathering grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAP 02277</td>
<td>CM1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Find</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL 07700</td>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Find</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUE 97990</td>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Find</td>
<td>BE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUE 99038</td>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Find</td>
<td>A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP 03786</td>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Find</td>
<td>A/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC 02606</td>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Find</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET 00639</td>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Find</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Bokkeveld</td>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>182-1</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bells</td>
<td>C2-ung</td>
<td>5293-2</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivuna</td>
<td>CI1</td>
<td>2478-7413</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aData from the Meteoritical Bulletin Database.

A = minor rustiness; B = moderate rustiness; E = evaporate minerals visible to the naked eye. (Source: http://curator.jsc.nasa.gov/antmet/amn/weather.htm).
METHODOLOGY

Petrologic and Geochemical Analyses of CM and CI Chondrites

Characterization of the 10 CM and CI chondrites included detailed petrographic observations and electron microprobe (EMP) analyses, following procedures outlined by Browning et al. (1996) and Rubin et al. (2007). Polished thin sections were examined with a petrographic microscope, in both transmitted and reflected light. Compositions of matrix material were analyzed with a CAMECA SX-100 electron microprobe at the University of Tennessee, using a 2 μm beam size, 10 nA beam current, and 15 keV accelerating voltage. Counting times for all elements were generally 20–30 s. Standard PAP corrections (Pouchou and Pichoir 1987) were applied. Precision and accuracy were monitored with natural and synthetic standards at intervals during each analytical session, and drift was within counting error. Detection limits (3σ above background) are typically <0.03 wt% for SiO₂, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, CaO, Na₂O, K₂O, and <0.05 wt% for FeO, MnO, Cr₂O₃, and NiO. We also made multielement X-ray maps of representative regions of the ten carbonaceous chondrites for better characterization of their mineralogy.

Browning et al. Scale

Browning et al. (1996) used petrographic and mineralogic criteria to track the degree of aqueous alteration in CM chondrites. These criteria are based on the relative progress of coupled substitutions in the progressive alteration of Fe-serpentine (cronstedtite) to Mg-serpentine, which increases as alteration advances. This reaction, which defines the Mineralogical Alteration Index (MAI), can be formalized as:

\[
2(\text{Fe}^{3+},\text{Al}^{3+})_{\text{cronstedtite}} = \text{Si}^{4+} + (\text{Mg}^{2+},\text{Fe}^{2+})_{\text{Mg-serpentine}}
\]

(1)

where Fe³⁺ atoms occupy tetrahedral and octahedral sites in the idealized serpentine structure.

Browning et al. (1996) proposed two additional indicators of aqueous alteration, the abundance of isolated anhydrous mineral fragments in the matrix and the relative percentage of chondrule alteration. These indicators were based on the assumption that, as alteration proceeds, anhydrous olivine and pyroxene, present as isolated mineral grains in the matrix and within chondrules, progressively alter to serpentine and therefore decrease in abundance. Browning et al. (1996) found correlations between these three indicators of degree of alteration in CM chondrites. The authors also found good correlations between MAI and key bulk properties of CM chondrites, including bulk contents of H and trapped planetary (nonradiogenic) ³⁶Ar. Applying this model to seven CM falls, Browning et al. (1996) established the following relative order of increasing alteration: Murchison ≤ Bells ≤ Pollen ≤ Murray < Nogoya < Mighei < Cold Bokkeveld. Eiler and Kitchen (2004) also found a good correlation between MAI and bulk δD in CM chondrites: MAI increases as δD decreases, except for Murray and Mighei. The hydrogen isotopic change presumably reflects reaction of silicates with an aqueous fluid having a different isotopic composition.

Rubin et al. Scale

Rubin et al. (2007) studied eleven CM chondrites and proposed an alteration sequence that ranges downward from moderately altered petrologic type-2.6 chondrites to highly altered type-2.0 chondrites. This downward numbering scheme reflects the increasing degree of alteration in going from type-3, to type-2, to type-1 carbonaceous chondrites, a reinterpretation (McSween 1979b) of that part of the Van Schmus and Wood (1967) chondrite classification. Rubin et al. (2007) utilized qualitative petrologic observations, including the formation of phyllosilicates, alteration of chondrule mesostases, production of large clumps of serpentine-tochilinite intergrowths, oxidation of metallic Fe-Ni, alteration of mafic silicate phenocrysts in chondrules, changes in tochilinite composition (increase in the phyllosilicate/sulfide ratio), and changes in carbonate mineralogy.

Howard et al. Scale

Howard et al. (2009, 2011) used position sensitive detector X-ray diffraction (PSD-XRD) and X-ray pattern stripping to quantify the modal mineralogy of 13 CM2 and CM1 chondrites. They found a negative correlation between the modal abundances of anhydrous Fe-Mg silicates (olivine and pyroxene) and total phyllosilicates. This variation in modal mineralogy was used as an index of aqueous alteration. An inverse relationship between the abundance of Mg-serpentine and Fe-serpentine suggested that the transition from Fe-rich to Mg-rich serpentine occurs as aqueous alteration progresses.

Visible and Near-Infrared Spectroscopy

Infrared Spectroscopy of Meteorites

Meteorite chips (100–140 mg) were ground into fine powders, using a dry ceramic mortar and pestle. Because we were limited by the sample sizes, we were not able to
sieve the samples and precisely measure their grain size distributions. IR reflectance spectra of meteorites were measured at the USGS in Denver and the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) under dry and vacuum conditions. At APL, we collected biconical reflectance spectra ($i = 15^\circ$, $e = 45^\circ$) from approximately 1.7 to 5.5 μm, using a high-vacuum chamber system ($10^{-8}$ to $10^{-7}$ torr) with a Bruker Vertex 70 spectrometer and an external MCT detector to measure meteorite spectra (Fig. 1). The relative humidity of the chamber was very close to 0. Samples were placed in a copper sample holder that has a MgF2 window, with powdered anhydrous MgF2 used as an IR reflectance standard, and a thermocouple (under the window) for accurate temperature measurement. The chamber was sealed and pumped down to $10^{-7}$–$10^{-8}$ torr. The meteorite samples were heated to 475 K through a combination of conductive and radiative heating and then cooled to approximately 150 K using liquid N2 conductive cooling. Spectra were measured in situ during the heating and cooling process.

At USGS, we used a Nicolet Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Interferometer Spectrometer covering the range from approximately 1.3 to 15.5 μm at 4 wavenumber resolution (any use of trade names is for descriptive purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the authors’ institutions). The samples were measured while in a small 15 cm diameter stainless steel environmental chamber through a 5 cm diameter sapphire window. The samples were measured in bidirectional reflectance with an incidence angle of approximately 20°, an emission angle of approximately 35°, and azimuth angle approximately 60°, giving a phase angle of approximately 20°. The entire environmental chamber was heated to 475 K, with temperature measured using an Lakeshore temperature meter with platinum temperature sensor. The environmental chamber, heating stage, optics, and FTIR spectrometer were maintained in a dry nitrogen environment and the sample was held under vacuum, with pressure typically limited by outgassing of the sample as it dehydrated. The samples noticeably shrunk during a dehydration run. We infer that these heating experiments were conducted under asteroid-like conditions. Although there might be some small amounts of adsorbed water present in meteorite powders, this remaining adsorbed water is spectrally insignificant and hence does not affect the 3 μm band in meteorite spectra.

We also used an Analytical Spectral Devices (ASD) portable field spectrometer (model FR) at the
University of Tennessee, covering the range from 0.35 to 2.5 μm (hereafter called VNIR spectra) to measure spectra under ambient conditions at an incidence angle (i) of approximately 30° and an emission angle (e) of approximately 30°. The light source of the ASD spectrometer is a quartz-tungsten-halogen bulb. More than 50 VNIR spectra were measured and averaged for each sample. Spectra were measured relative to Spectralon, which has a several percent absorption at 300–2000 nm. The light source of the ASD spectrometer is a frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser for excitation in St. Louis. The spectrometer uses the 532 nm line of a HoloLab 5000 Raman spectrometer (Kaiser Optical Systems Inc.) at Washington University in St. Louis. The spectrometer uses the 532 nm line of a frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser for excitation with Stokes-Raman shifted spectral coverage of 50–4300 cm$^{-1}$ and spectral resolution of approximately 4–5 cm$^{-1}$. Further details on HoloLab 5000 can be found in Wang et al. (2006).

**Calculations of Band Parameters**

Following a standard technique described by Cloutis et al. (1986), absorption features in the 3, 2.3, and 0.7 μm regions were isolated, and each was divided by a straight-line continuum in wavelength space. The continuum was determined by two maxima at 2.63–2.65 μm and 3.07–3.85 μm for the 3 μm band, by two maxima at 2.20–2.25 μm and 2.35–2.40 μm for the 2.3 μm band, and by two maxima at 0.60–0.65 μm and 0.75–0.85 μm for the 0.7 μm band. For spectra with strong and well-defined features around 3.4–3.5 μm, the continuum for the 3 μm band was determined by two maxima at 2.63–2.65 μm and around 3.3 μm.

The following band parameters were used to analyze spectra of CM and CI chondrites: band centers, band depths, and band areas. The band center was determined by applying a sixth-order polynomial fit to the central part of the feature. Band depth, $D_b$, was calculated using the following equation:

$$ D_b = \frac{R_c - R_b}{R_c}, $$

where $R_b$ is the reflectance at the band center and $R_c$ is the reflectance of the continuum at the band center.
Table 2. Estimated chemical formulae of average CM matrix serpentines for nine CM chondrites and Mineralogical Alteration Indices (MAI) of Browning et al. (1996).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>QUE 97990 (n = 6)</th>
<th>MIL 07700 (n = 15)</th>
<th>QUE 99038 (n = 13)</th>
<th>Bells (n = 3)</th>
<th>LAP 03786 (n = 7)</th>
<th>MAC 02609 (n = 2)</th>
<th>LAP 02277 (n = 45)</th>
<th>MET 00639 (n = 13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>1.65(0.17)</td>
<td>1.52(0.08)</td>
<td>1.53(0.07)</td>
<td>1.50(0.12)</td>
<td>1.61(0.11)</td>
<td>1.57(0.03)</td>
<td>1.89(0.03)</td>
<td>1.84(0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.17(0.02)</td>
<td>0.20(0.06)</td>
<td>0.15(0.06)</td>
<td>0.20 (0)</td>
<td>0.17(0.05)</td>
<td>0.15(0.01)</td>
<td>0.13(0.02)</td>
<td>0.15(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.02(0.01)</td>
<td>0.01(0.01)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
<td>0.01 (0)</td>
<td>0.02(0.01)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
<td>0.02(0.01)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2+</td>
<td>0.78(0.31)</td>
<td>0.88(0.23)</td>
<td>0.74(0.08)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.77(0.33)</td>
<td>0.73(0.20)</td>
<td>0.72(0.13)</td>
<td>0.71(0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe3+</td>
<td>0.52(0.34)</td>
<td>0.76(0.16)</td>
<td>0.78(0)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.60(0.17)</td>
<td>0.70(0.07)</td>
<td>0.10(0.01)</td>
<td>0.16(0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
<td>0.01 (0)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>1.51(0.24)</td>
<td>1.43(0.29)</td>
<td>1.70(0.05)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.05)</td>
<td>1.56(0.40)</td>
<td>1.57(0.18)</td>
<td>1.92(0.18)</td>
<td>2.09(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.03(0.02)</td>
<td>0.02(0.01)</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.03(0.04)</td>
<td>0.05(0.02)</td>
<td>0.02(0.01)</td>
<td>0.02(0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.10(0.03)</td>
<td>0.06(0.02)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.06(0.02)</td>
<td>0.06(0.02)</td>
<td>0.05(0.01)</td>
<td>0.02(0.01)</td>
<td>0.01(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.07(0.03)</td>
<td>0.02(0.02)</td>
<td>0.02(0.01)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.02(0.02)</td>
<td>0.04(0)</td>
<td>0.08(0.03)</td>
<td>0.03(0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAI</td>
<td>0.67(0.48)</td>
<td>0.43(0.13)</td>
<td>0.33(0.09)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.45(0.08)</td>
<td>0.35(0.04)</td>
<td>1.21(0.32)</td>
<td>1.04(0.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers in parentheses correspond to 2σ standard deviations that represent the range of matrix serpentine compositions in the nine CM chondrites.

Table 2. Estimated chemical formulae of average CM matrix serpentines for nine CM chondrites and Mineralogical Alteration Indices (MAI) of Browning et al. (1996).
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The band area was calculated by integrating the spectral curve below the straight-line continuum. An average of five measurements, determined by varying the positions of band maxima, was used for each band parameter. Uncertainty for each parameter was determined by the 2σ standard deviation that represents variability from the average. The band depths at 2.80 and 2.72 μm were calculated relative to the continuum that is defined as the regression line across the 1.95–2.50 μm region (Takir and Emery 2012).

RESULTS

Petrologic and Geochemical Properties

Browning et al. Scale

Applying the previously published scale of Browning et al. (1996), we determined the MAI for the nine CM chondrites. Table 2 shows the estimated chemical formulae of average matrix serpentines and MAI for the CM chondrites analyzed in this study. The reported MAI is the average of MAIs for the matrix analyses in an individual CM chondrite. Standard deviations for each cation do not represent analytical errors, but illustrate the compositional variability of matrix serpentines. Atomic formulae were determined from microprobe analyses, which were corrected for small amounts of sulfide, following the algorithm outlined in Browning et al. (1996).

Rubin et al. Scale

We also applied the previously published scale of Rubin et al. (2007). Tables 3 and 4 summarize our results on various petrologic properties, including oxidation of metallic Fe-Ni, alteration of chondrule phenocrysts, changes in tochilinite compositions, and carbonate mineralogy. Using the criteria outlined by Rubin et al. (2007), petrologic subtypes (+/−0.1) were assigned to the nine CM chondrites. The petrologic subtypes vary between 2.6 and 2.1, representing the least altered and most altered chondrites, respectively. The aqueous alteration scales of Browning et al. (1996) and Rubin et al. (2007) for the same chondrites weakly correlate with each other, and where XRD data on the same meteorites are available, also with the scale of Howard et al. (2009, 2011) (Fig. 3).

Spectral Properties of CM and CI Chondrites

Figures 4a–j show IR reflectance spectra of nine CM chondrites and one CI chondrite measured at ambient, and at dry and vacuum conditions. All spectra exhibit an apparent 3 μm band. Some spectra also show a very weak absorption feature at approximately 2.3 μm with band depth less than 1%. The 3 μm band center shifts to shorter wavelengths under dry conditions for some samples, mainly due to the removal of adsorbed water.

Figure 5 illustrates red-sloped VNIR spectra of these CM and CI chondrites. A few VNIR spectra exhibit a very weak 0.7 μm absorption feature with a band depth less than 1%. QUE 99038 and MIL 07700 exhibit 1 and 2 μm features and no 2.3 μm feature. Ivuna’s VNIR spectrum shows a shoulder at approximately 0.6 μm, an overall red slope between 0.4 and 2.3 μm, and an absorption feature at approximately 1.9 μm. Tables 5a and 5b include the 3,
Table 3. Tochilinite compositions (wt%) in CM chondrites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>QUE 97990 (n = 26)</th>
<th>MIL 07700 (n = 47)</th>
<th>QUE 99038 (n = 14)</th>
<th>Bells (n = 10)</th>
<th>LAP 03786 (n = 60)</th>
<th>MAC 02609 (n = 8)</th>
<th>Cold Bokkeveld (n = 14)</th>
<th>LAP 02277 (n = 8)</th>
<th>MET 00639 (n = 23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>19.7(23)</td>
<td>25.6(13)$^a$</td>
<td>28.3(16)</td>
<td>25.8(49)</td>
<td>25.7(27)</td>
<td>30.4(35)</td>
<td>22.8(92)</td>
<td>28.2(23)</td>
<td>24.2(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.04(2)</td>
<td>0.07(02)</td>
<td>0.16(12)</td>
<td>0.06(3)</td>
<td>0.29(33)</td>
<td>0.10(4)</td>
<td>0.09(3)</td>
<td>0.79(16)</td>
<td>0.06(04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>3.05(57)</td>
<td>2.63(23)</td>
<td>3.03(73)</td>
<td>4.00(63)</td>
<td>2.50(48)</td>
<td>2.22(24)</td>
<td>3.14(26)</td>
<td>1.89(49)</td>
<td>2.19(56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“FeO”</td>
<td>51.9(59)</td>
<td>31.6(30)</td>
<td>34.6(23)</td>
<td>34.6(75)</td>
<td>28.5(53)</td>
<td>26.4(57)</td>
<td>34.7(81)</td>
<td>26.2(33)</td>
<td>38.3(55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.08(8)</td>
<td>0.29(6)</td>
<td>0.40(16)</td>
<td>0.28(11)</td>
<td>0.26(15)</td>
<td>0.53(18)</td>
<td>0.87(95)</td>
<td>0.48(22)</td>
<td>0.24(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.18(3)</td>
<td>0.21(3)</td>
<td>0.20(2)</td>
<td>0.16(6)</td>
<td>0.22(5)</td>
<td>0.21(6)</td>
<td>0.22(3)</td>
<td>0.23(3)</td>
<td>0.19(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>7.91(52)</td>
<td>17.2(18)</td>
<td>20.9(12)</td>
<td>15.2(50)</td>
<td>15.7(30)</td>
<td>17.0(15)</td>
<td>12.5(55)</td>
<td>18.6(63)</td>
<td>16.8(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.19(13)</td>
<td>0.46(38)</td>
<td>0.10(4)</td>
<td>0.84(27)</td>
<td>0.77(52)</td>
<td>0.73(26)</td>
<td>0.86(82)</td>
<td>4.18(23)</td>
<td>0.14(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.50(29)</td>
<td>0.53(9)</td>
<td>0.05(3)</td>
<td>0.38(24)</td>
<td>0.70(32)</td>
<td>0.55(10)</td>
<td>0.20(13)</td>
<td>0.10(5)</td>
<td>0.28(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.06(4)</td>
<td>0.05(2)</td>
<td>0.02(2)</td>
<td>0.09(4)</td>
<td>0.04(6)</td>
<td>0.09(3)</td>
<td>0.09(4)</td>
<td>0.02(1)</td>
<td>0.02(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.02(2)</td>
<td>0.14(23)</td>
<td>0.24(6)</td>
<td>0.11(3)</td>
<td>0.31(93)</td>
<td>0.05(4)</td>
<td>0.67(66)</td>
<td>0.08(15)</td>
<td>0.02(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.81(52)</td>
<td>1.24(45)</td>
<td>0.60(16)</td>
<td>1.49(11)</td>
<td>1.30(16)</td>
<td>1.30(58)</td>
<td>3.25(99)</td>
<td>3.52(98)</td>
<td>1.47(54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>3.13(4)</td>
<td>2.82(55)</td>
<td>0.28(11)</td>
<td>2.64(75)</td>
<td>2.58(4)</td>
<td>4.41(45)</td>
<td>2.11(72)</td>
<td>6.52(85)</td>
<td>5.06(67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86.76</td>
<td>81.96</td>
<td>88.83</td>
<td>85.02</td>
<td>78.32</td>
<td>82.90</td>
<td>80.84</td>
<td>89.13</td>
<td>87.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“FeO”/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Numbers in parentheses correspond to 1σ standard deviations from the mean in terms of least units cited. The “FeO” concentration includes FeO in phyllosilicates, Fe$^{3+}$ in cronstedtite, and Fe$^{2+}$ in sulfide.

Fig. 3. A 3-D plot comparing the results of three alteration scales. The x-, y-, and z-axes represent the Howard, Browning, and Rubin scales, respectively. The plot includes samples analyzed by Browning et al. (1996), Rubin et al. (2007), and Howard et al. (2009, 2011). The plot includes two samples (QUE 97990 and Cold Bokkeveld) that were also analyzed by Rubin et al. (2007) and Howard et al. (2009, 2011). Mighei was not part of the Rubin et al. (2007) sample, nor of the current work.
Table 4. Diagnostic characteristics of progressive alteration in CM chondrite petrologic subtypes of Rubin et al. (2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chondrule mesosostasis</th>
<th>QUE 97990</th>
<th>MIL 07700</th>
<th>QUE 99038</th>
<th>Bells</th>
<th>LAP 03786</th>
<th>MAC 02606</th>
<th>Cold Bokkeveld</th>
<th>LAP 02277</th>
<th>MET 00639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phyllosilicate</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix phyllosilicates</td>
<td>1 vol%</td>
<td>0.03–0.3 vol%</td>
<td>0.03–0.3 vol%</td>
<td>≤ 0.02 vol%</td>
<td>0.03–0.3 vol%</td>
<td>≤ 0.02 vol%</td>
<td>0.03–0.3 vol%</td>
<td>≤ 0.02 vol%</td>
<td>0.03–0.3 vol%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mafic silicate phenocrysts in chondrules</td>
<td>15–40 vol%</td>
<td>15–40 vol%</td>
<td>15–40 vol%</td>
<td>2–5 vol%</td>
<td>15–40 vol%</td>
<td>2–5 vol%</td>
<td>15–40 vol%</td>
<td>2–5 vol%</td>
<td>15–40 vol%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large PCP clumps</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP compositions: “FeO”/SiO₂</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
<td>po + pn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Ca &amp; complex</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Ca &amp; complex</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrologic subtype</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The “FeO” concentration includes FeO in phyllosilicates, Fe³⁺ in cronstedtite, and Fe²⁺ in sulfide; po = pyrrhotite; pn = pentlandite.
DISCUSSION

Mineralogical Alteration Index

Velbel and Palmer (2012) suggested that the application of the MAI is problematic because it includes Al, which is not a good indicator of aqueous alteration in CM chondrites. According to these authors, the main problems with the MAI are mathematical, as division by zero occurs where Si obtains its stoichiometric value of 2 in pure serpentine, and by the biases caused by data screening. Under certain circumstances, these potential problems could result in very large values of MAI (greater than 2), leading to the elimination of valid phyllosilicate analyses.

We were able to apply the MAI to the nine CM chondrites without encountering any of the problems raised by Velbel and Palmer (2012). After reducing electron microprobe data of the matrices in CM chondrites, following the procedure described by Browning et al. (1996), all calculated Si cations were found to be far less than 2 (Table 2). For CM chondrites, experience indicates that it is unrealistic to expect pure serpentine with a Si cation of value 2 (Zolensky et al., 1993). Data screening, as outlined by Browning et al. (1996), is necessary to exclude cation totals that are representative of nonserpentine phyllosilicates, carbonates, and oxides. The expression used by Browning et al. (1996) to determine the amount of Fe$^{3+}$, found to be far less than 2 (Table 2). For CM chondrites.

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+} = (2 - (2 - \text{Si})) - \text{Al},
\]  

(4)

remains valid, assuming a loss of Si is accommodated by coupled substitutions that deplete all the available Al. Moreover, Fig. 9 in Velbel and Palmer (2012) shows an extremely weak correlation ($R^2 = 0.09$) between the chemical index of alteration (CIA) and Si/Al ratio, making it difficult to draw any conclusion about the mobility of Si relative to Al during leaching of CMs. Therefore, the criticisms raised by Velbel and Palmer (2012) have not convinced us to abandon the application of the Browning et al. (1996) scale, as applied specifically to CM chondrites. We also note that there is a typographical error in Table 2 of Browning
et al. (1996); the MAIs listed in column 12 should be subtracted from 2 because the MAI is defined as:

\[
\text{MAI} = 2 - \frac{\text{Fe}^{3+}}{2 - \text{Si}}.
\]

**Diversity in the 3 \( \mu \)m Band in CM and CI Chondrites**

CM and CI chondrites display three distinct types of spectra. This spectral classification is generally not affected by the grain size distribution of the samples because spectra are mainly distinguished by the 3 \( \mu \)m band center. “Group 1,” that includes QUE 97990, MIL 00700, and QUE 99038, possesses a 3 \( \mu \)m band centered at approximately 2.77–2.80 \( \mu \)m (Figs. 4a–c). “Group 2” includes Bells, LAP 03786, MAC 02606, and Cold Bokkeveld. This group has a 3 \( \mu \)m band centered at approximately 2.76–2.78 \( \mu \)m, with a shoulder near approximately 2.72 \( \mu \)m (Figs. 4d–g). “Group 3,” which includes LAP 02277 and MET 00639, exhibits a 3 \( \mu \)m band centered at approximately 2.70 \( \mu \)m (Fig. 4h–i). The band centers for all meteorites and minerals used in this study are listed in Tables 5a and 5b, respectively. The diversity in the 3 \( \mu \)m band in CM and CI chondrites is further supported by calculation of the ratio of the band depth at 2.80 \( \mu \)m to the band depth at 2.72 \( \mu \)m (Table 5a, column 8). Except for MIL 07700 and QUE 99038, which are olivine- and pyroxene-rich chondrites (less altered), it appears that there is a trend between the ratio and the meteorite spectral groups.

**Spectral Interpretations**

Spectra of group 1 exhibit 3 \( \mu \)m band centers that are at longer wavelengths than most serpentines or some smectites (e.g., saponite), the matrix mineral compositions commonly discussed for CM matrices. The longer wavelength band center is, however, consistent with cronstedtite (Fig. 6a), a serpentine for which Fe\(^{3+}\) replaces some Si in the tetrahedral site. Two group 1 meteorites, QUE 99038 and MIL 00770, exhibit absorptions at approximately 1 and 2 \( \mu \)m, attributed to Fe\(^{2+}\) in the M2 crystallographic site in olivine and pyroxene (Burns 1993) (Fig. 6b), indicating a high fraction of anhydrous silicates in these samples. Raman spectra confirm the high concentrations of olivine in QUE 99038 (Fig. 6c).

Group 2 samples show a particularly strong 3.1 \( \mu \)m feature in ambient spectra, attributed to adsorbed water. This feature goes away as the sample is desiccated in vacuum at elevated temperatures. In addition, dry spectra of this group exhibit a 3.4–3.5 \( \mu \)m feature, attributed to C-H stretching of aliphatic organic compounds (Clark et al. 2009). The organics features become sharper as heating proceeds. MAC 02606 has a unique spectrum in that it exhibits strong CO\(_3\) absorptions in the 3.4–3.5 \( \mu \)m and 3.8–4.0 \( \mu \)m regions, attributed to carbonates (Clark et al. 2007) (Fig. 6d). Carbonates were also detected in MAC 02606, using multielement X-ray maps and microprobe analyses. Raman spectra show that the carbonate in this sample is dominated by dolomite (Fig. 6e). All samples in group 2 also exhibit a 2.3 \( \mu \)m feature usually, but not uniquely, attributed to Mg-OH stretching (Clark et al. 1990). The 2.3 \( \mu \)m feature was not affected by heating the samples, providing additional confidence that the structural OH was not altered.

Spectra of group 3 exhibit band centers and shapes that are more consistent with typical serpentines. In particular, the band position of the serpentine antigorite matches that of the two group 3 meteorites (Fig. 6f). Ambient spectra of this group do exhibit a much weaker 3.1 \( \mu \)m feature (attributed to adsorbed water)
than the other groups. Dry spectra of this group do not show any indications of organic features at 3.4–3.5 μm. Ivuna, which is the only CI chondrite included in the present study, is distinct from all of the CM groups, with a 3 μm band centered at approximately 2.71 μm that is consistent with serpentine (lizardite and chrysotile) (Fig. 6g). Ivuna is also unique because it exhibits a distinctive water feature at approximately 1.9 μm, which becomes weaker as the sample is desiccated. Osawa et al. (2005) also reported that Ivuna and another CI chondrite, Orgueil, exhibit a narrow feature at approximately 2.71 μm, under ambient conditions. They attributed this feature to serpentine (lizardite) rather than saponite. However, Zaikowski (1979) attributed the 2.71 μm feature in Orgueil, also under ambient conditions, to chlorite and chamossilie rather than serpentine or saponite. Although minor phyllosilicates other than serpentine are also present in CM and CI chondrites (Brearley 2006), these sparse phyllosilicates are spectrally insignificant.

**Geochemical Analyses**

Figure 7, a ternary diagram of SiO$_2$+Al$_2$O$_3$, FeO, and MgO, compares our analyzed matrix compositions in CM chondrites and Ivuna. The matrix compositions lie along an approximately linear trend that extends from near the composition of cronstedtite (representing the least altered material) toward the SiO$_2$-MgO join near the composition of Mg-serpentine (representing the most altered material). This trend is typical for carbonaceous chondrites (e.g., Brearley 1997). The matrix composition of QUE 97990, which is assigned to subtype 2.6, plots near cronstedtite in agreement with the spectral analysis, providing more evidence that this meteorite is the least altered sample in the present study. The matrix compositions of CM and CI chondrites plot along the cronstedtite-serpentine join far from saponite, vermiculite, and montmorillonite, suggesting that the former mineral is more abundant in these chondrites in agreement with the spectroscopic investigation. Although these geochemical analyses generally support our spectral investigation and alteration sequence, they do not uniquely distinguish the spectral classes identified in CM and CI chondrites.

**Alteration Parameters and Spectral Properties of CM Chondrites**

Figure 8 summarizes the results of the petrologic, geochemical, and spectral investigation of the CM chondrites and Ivuna. Group 1 is the least altered...
group, group 3 is the most altered group (before Ivuna), and group 2 is an intermediate group. The 3 \( \mu \)m band center decreases with increasing alteration. Group 1, which has 3 \( \mu \)m band centers at longer wavelength, is consistent with Fe-rich serpentine minerals, such as cronstedtite. Group 3, which has 3 \( \mu \)m band centers at shorter wavelength, is consistent with Mg-rich serpentine minerals, such as antigorite. Group 1 corresponds to petrologic subtypes ranging from 2.6 to 2.3 and lower MAIs that vary from 0.33 to 0.67, consistent with the low degree of alteration in this group. Group 3 has petrologic subtypes ranging from 2.2 to 2.1 and higher MAIs that vary from 0.90 to 1.04, consistent with the high degree of alteration in this group. Group 3 includes LAP 02277 with a subtype of 2.1, which has been classified as a CM1 (Russell et al. 2005). Group 2 represents the transition between Group 1 (least altered) and group 3 (most altered). MAC 02606 in group 2 has a very low MAI of 0.35; possibly because it contains unusually high concentrations of carbonates.

Most researchers accept that CM chondrites experienced alteration processes on or within their parent asteroids (e.g., McSween 1979a, 1987; Brearley 2006), although arguments for solar nebula alteration have also been presented (e.g., Metzler et al. 1992). CM parent body alteration has been described as occurring in four stages (Tomeoka et al. 1989): (1) formation of tochilinite by interaction of Fe metal (kamacite) with a S-bearing fluid (sulfide) that moved through cracks in chondrules and aggregates; (2) formation of phyllosilicates from Fe-rich matrix olivine, and “spinach” from mesostasis glasses in chondrules and aggregates; (3) formation of magnesium cronstedtite by interaction of Si (released from the alteration of olivine and pyroxene) with tochilinite, and formation of tochilinite-cronstedtite intergrowths; (4) while tochilinite continued to be consumed, magnesium cronstedtite increased its Mg and Si contents by interacting with existing serpentine to form Fe-serpentine, which then altered to form Mg-serpentine. Unlike the scale of Rubin et al. (2007), the scale of Browning et al. (1996) includes only the second part of the fourth stage of alteration (alteration of Fe-serpentine to Mg-serpentine). Hence, it is possible that the MAI parameter alone does not capture all the
petrologic parameters that are expressed by the diversity of the 3 μm band and by the complex and multistage aqueous alteration experienced by CM chondrites.

**Organic Compounds and Magnetite in CM and CI Chondrites**

The association of aqueous alteration with organic compounds and magnetite in carbonaceous chondrites has been reported in several studies (e.g., Bunch and Chang 1980; Tomeoka and Buseck 1985; Cronin and Chang 1993). The abundance of organics is probably related to the aqueous alteration process during which phyllosilicates enabled the adsorption and trapping of organic material through subsequent mineral growth (Pearson et al. 2002). Magnetite is also generally thought to be a product of parent body aqueous alteration (e.g., Kerridge et al. 1979), although arguments for solar nebula formation have also been presented (e.g., Jedwab 1971).

**Aliphatic Organics**

Our spectral investigation has revealed that aliphatic organics' features in group 2 and Ivuna develop into sharper and more pronounced features as heating proceeds. We also note that the organic spectral features become slightly inverted when measured under heating and vacuum conditions (e.g., MIL 07700). This could be due to some organics that adsorbed on the standard, and because spectra of the standard were measured only once at the start of each sample run.

The increase in observed spectral contrast of the organic features appears to be due to the removal of the spectrally masking effects of the adsorbed water. It seems from the spectrally detected aliphatic organic compounds in group 2, rather than the group 3, that abundant organics may be associated with mineral diversity in these groups (Fig. 6f). We suggest four possible explanations for the spectral appearance of organics in dry spectra in group 2 and Ivuna: (1) adsorbed water, before it is minimized by heating, spectrally masks organic features; (2) removal of adsorbed water compositionally altered the mix of organic compounds; (3) new organic compounds formed during heating; or (4) organics were deposited onto the sample or sample window from elsewhere in the vacuum system. We do not observe any new organic absorption features being created, nor any features decreasing in strength (relative to other C-H absorptions) that would be indicative of bulk compositional changes. Also, the sample holder is the warmest component in the system and thus not likely to “cold-trap” organics in the vacuum system. Hence, there appear to be no major changes in the organic compounds within the sample. This also means that the organic compounds appear stable during the heating and vacuum runs. Therefore, of the three suggested explanations, the first one is the most likely explanation for the appearance of organics in both group 2 and Ivuna.

**Amino Acids**

Among the organic compounds in carbonaceous chondrites are amino acids, which are building blocks of life (e.g., Botta and Bada 2002; Pizzarello et al. 2006). Relative concentrations of amino acids in carbonaceous chondrites have been used to understand the nature of aqueous alteration processes experienced on the parent body (e.g., Botta et al. 2007). Glavin et al. (2011) found that carbonaceous chondrites with higher degrees of aqueous alteration have lower abundance of amino acids, suggesting that alteration influenced their formation. Furthermore, Monroe and Pizzarello (2011) suggested that repeated aqueous alteration processes on parent bodies might have altered or destroyed the original organic inventory of carbonaceous chondrites.

The amino acids exhibit diversity in their molecular structures and concentrations among carbonaceous chondrites. Botta et al. (2007) found that the abundance of amino acids in CM1 chondrites is significantly lower than in CM2s. LAP 02277 (CM1), also studied here (group 3), shows a unique amino acid distribution that cannot be related to any of the other classes studied by Botta et al. (2007). In addition, Ehrenfreund et al. (2001) showed that the amino acids in CIs, including Ivuna, are distinct from those in CM2s, and suggested that these classes had different parent bodies and were processed under different physical and chemical conditions. Oxygen isotope analyses also showed that CI and CM chondrites were altered at different temperatures and water/rock ratios (Clayton and Mayeda 1984, 1999). It is therefore likely that CM and CI chondrites have had distinct organic precursor compounds and thus their parent bodies were different, in agreement with the results of Eiler and Kitchen (2004), who suggested that CI and CM meteorites represented alteration of distinct parent materials.

Changes of aliphatic organic compounds in CM and CI carbonaceous chondrites with heating above room temperature are not well documented, but Cronin and Pizzarello (1983) noted a change in amino acids. The abundance of some amino acids, such as β-alanine, increased, while the abundance of others, such as γ-aminobutyric acid, decreased. We found no N-H absorptions at approximately 3.1 μm in any of our spectra, nor any C-H absorptions specifically attributable to amino acids (Fig. 9).
Magnetite

Magnetite has been identified in many CM and CI chondrites as framboidal aggregates with polygonal morphology (Jedwab 1971; Kerridge et al. 1979; Tomeoka and Buseck 1985). Magnetite was probably deposited in the matrix after the migration of Fe, S, and Ni from serpentine-tochilinite intergrowths (Tomeoka and Buseck 1985). McSween (1979a) also noted that magnetite abundance probably increases with alteration.

Using high-resolution SEM, we searched for magnetite in the ten CM and CI chondrites. We identified relatively abundant framboidal magnetite grains in three samples of group 2: Bells (subtype = 2.1 and MAI = 0.41), Cold Bokkeveld (subtype = 2.2 and MAI = 1.21), and MAC 02606 (subtype = 2.1 and MAI = 0.35), in addition to Ivuna. Framboidal magnetite grains found in CM chondrites are similar to those in Ivuna with the same polygonal morphology (Fig. 10). We also note that the broad adsorbed water feature at approximately 3.1 μm (in ambient spectra) and the sharper aliphatic organics feature (in dry spectra) are present in all of these four samples. This suggests that magnetite forms in an environment that enables the adsorption of water.

CONCLUSIONS

We have measured reflectance spectra of nine CM and one CI chondrites under dry and vacuum
conditions to minimize adsorbed water and mimic the space environment. These chondrites are classified into three groups, mainly on the basis of the 3 μm band center. Group 1, which is characterized by 3 μm band centers at 2.76–2.80 μm, is consistent with the endmember Fe-serpentine (cronstedtite). Group 3, which is characterized by 3 μm band centers at approximately 2.72 μm, is consistent with the endmember Mg-serpentine (antigorite). Group 2 represents an intermediate mineralogy between the two endmembers. Ivuna, which is the only CI chondrite analyzed in the present study, has a unique 3 μm band centered approximately 2.71 μm, consistent with lizardite and chrysotile. This diversity in the 3 μm band suggests distinct pre-terrestrial aqueous alteration conditions for these chondrites, which provide clues to parent body alteration.

We applied two previously published alteration scales by Browning et al. (1996) and Rubin et al. (2007) to nine CM carbonaceous chondrites. We found good agreements between the petrologic and geochemical parameters, and spectral characteristics of these chondrites. The petrologic subtype in group 1 varies from 2.6 to 2.3 (least altered group) and in group 3 from 2.1 to 2.2 (most altered) in agreement with the spectral analyses. Geochemical analysis of matrix also shows that QUE 97990 (group 1), which is the least altered sample, is consistent with cromstedtite. More spectral analyses on the intermediate phases between endmembers Fe-serpentine and Mg-serpentine are needed to better characterize the mineralogy in group 2.

For group 2 and Ivuna, the organic absorptions became more pronounced at elevated temperatures, possibly because the adsorbed water, before it is minimized by heating, spectrally masks the organic feature. Hence, both group 2 and Ivuna are characterized by adsorptive surfaces. Magnetite abundance is relatively higher in chondrites that show the broad adsorbed water feature at approximately 3.1 μm (in ambient spectra) and the pronounced aliphatic organics feature (in dry spectra). These results suggest that magnetite forms in an environment that enables adsorption of volatiles. CM and CI chondrite spectra show no evidence for amino acids, probably due to their low abundance. The presence of aliphatic organics and magnetite in group 2 and Ivuna further supports that these meteorites experienced moderate aqueous alteration processes.

This study has direct implications for the interpretation of ground-based telescope spectra of outer Main Belt asteroids (Takir and Emery 2012). The consistency between petrologic and geochemical parameters, which were determined using electron microprobe and microscope analyses, and the spectral properties of CM meteorites indicates that distinct parent body aqueous alteration environments can be distinguished using reflectance spectroscopy. High-quality ground-based telescopic observations of outer Main Belt asteroids can potentially reveal not just whether an asteroid is hydrated, but also the nature and degree of its aqueous alteration.

This study may also have some implications for: (1) the interpretation of the dark material on Vesta, which is thought to be from the infall of carbonaceous volatile-rich material (McCord et al. 2012); (2) the interpretation of spectra of Ceres that will be visited by the Dawn spacecraft in 2015; (3) the interpretation of spectra and the returned sample from the carbonaceous asteroid Bennu that will be visited by the OSIRIS-REx spacecraft (Lauretta et al. 2010); and (4) the interpretation of the dark material in the Saturn system (Clark et al. 2012).
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SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Fig. S1:** Spectra of CM and CI carbonaceous chondrites measured at different temperatures and pressures. Several spectra were averaged for each temperature step.

**Fig. S2:** Ternary diagrams of matrix compositions in nine CM chondrites and one CI carbonaceous chondrite.