

Econ 508B: Lecture 2

Lebesgue Measure and Lebesgue Measurable Functions

Hongyi Liu

Washington University in St. Louis

July 18, 2017

Outline

- 1 Review of Real Analysis
- 2 Lebesgue Outer Measure
- 3 Lebesgue Measure
- 4 Lebesgue Measurable Functions

Outline

- 1 Review of Real Analysis
- 2 Lebesgue Outer Measure
- 3 Lebesgue Measure
- 4 Lebesgue Measurable Functions

Definition 1.1

A *topological space* is a pair $(\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{C})$, where \mathbb{S} is a non-empty set and \mathcal{C} is a collection of subsets of \mathbb{S} such that

- $\emptyset, \mathbb{S} \in \mathcal{C}$,
- **(finite intersection:)** $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow C_1 \cap C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, and
- **(finite or infinite union:)** $\{C_k : k \in \mathbb{N}^+\} \subset \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+} C_k \in \mathcal{C}$

Definition 1.1

A *topological space* is a pair $(\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{C})$, where \mathbb{S} is a non-empty set and \mathcal{C} is a collection of subsets of \mathbb{S} such that

- $\emptyset, \mathbb{S} \in \mathcal{C}$,
- **(finite intersection:)** $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow C_1 \cap C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, and
- **(finite or infinite union:)** $\{C_k : k \in \mathbb{N}^+\} \subset \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+} C_k \in \mathcal{C}$

Remark 1.1

- The elements of \mathcal{C} are called open sets and the collection \mathcal{C} is called a topology on \mathbb{S} .
- Using *de Morgan's laws*, the above axioms defining open sets become axioms defining **closed sets**.

Definition 1.2

A sequence $\{C_k\}$ of sets is said to *increase* to $\cup_k C_k$ if $C_k \subset C_{k+1}$ for all k and to *decrease* to $\cap_k C_k$ if $C_k \supset C_{k+1}$ for all k ; we use the notations $C_k \nearrow \cup_k C_k$ and $C_k \searrow \cap_k C_k$ to denote these two possibilities.

If $\{C_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of sets, we define

$$\lim sup C_k = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} C_k \right), \quad \lim inf C_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcap_{k=j}^{\infty} C_k \right)$$

noting that

- $U_j = \bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} C_k$ and $V_j = \bigcap_{k=j}^{\infty} C_k$ satisfy $U_j \searrow \lim sup C_k$ and $V_j \nearrow \lim inf C_k$,
- $\lim inf C_k \subset \lim sup C_k$.

Norm on \mathbb{R}^N

- (1) $|x| \geq 0$ and $|x| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$,
- (2) $|\alpha x| = |\alpha||x|, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (3) $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (4) **(Cauchy-Schwarz inequality):** $|x \cdot y| \leq |x||y|$.

Proof for (4):

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, xy &\leq \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}y^2 \\ x \cdot y &= \sum x_k y_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum x_k^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum y_k^2 = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|y|^2 \\ x' &= \lambda x, y' = \frac{1}{\lambda} y, \lambda \neq 0 \text{ (to be chosen)}, x' \cdot y' = x \cdot y \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}|\lambda|^2|x|^2 + \frac{1}{2|\lambda|^2}|y|^2 = |x||y| \text{ (choose } \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{|y|}{|x|}}\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3

A *metric space* is a pair (\mathbb{S}, d) where \mathbb{S} is a nonempty set and d is a function from $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$ to \mathbb{R}^+ (d is called a metric on \mathbb{S}) satisfying

- (i) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{S}$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$,
- (iii) (**triangle inequality**): $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{S}$.

A *metric space* (\mathbb{S}, d) is a topological space where a set C is open if for all $x \in C$, \exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, \epsilon) \equiv \{y : d(y, x) < \epsilon\} \subset C$.

Any one of the following metrics defined on any Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , $1 \leq n < \infty$ is a metric space:

(1) For $1 < p < \infty$,

$$d_p(x, y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

(2)

$$d_\infty(x, y) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i - y_i|$$

(3) For $0 < p < 1$,

$$d_p(x, y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^p \right)$$

Question: why there does not exist power $\frac{1}{p}$ to (3)?

Outline

- 1 Review of Real Analysis
- 2 Lebesgue Outer Measure
- 3 Lebesgue Measure
- 4 Lebesgue Measurable Functions

Lebesgue Outer (Exterior) Measure

Define closed n -dimensional intervals $I = \{\mathbf{x} : a_j \leq x_j \leq b_j, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ and their volumes $v(I) = \prod_{j=1}^n (b_j - a_j)$. To define the outer measure of an arbitrary subset C of \mathbb{R}^n , cover C by a countable collection S of intervals I_k , and let

$$\sigma(S) = \sum_{I_k \in S} v(I_k)$$

The **Lebesgue outer measure** of C , denoted as $\mu^*(C)$, is defined by

$$\mu^*(C) = \inf \sigma(S)$$

where the infimum is taken over all covers S of C . Thus,
 $0 \leq \mu^*(C) \leq +\infty$

Properties of Outer Measure

- For an interval I , $\mu^*(I) = v(I)$.
- **Monotonicity:** If $C_1 \subset C_2$, then $\mu^*(C_1) \leq \mu^*(C_2)$.
- **Countable sub-additivity:** If $C = \cup C_k$ is a countable union of sets, then $\mu^*(C) \leq \sum \mu^*(C_k)$.
- **Empty set:** The empty set has outer measure zero, e.g., \mathbb{Q} .

Remark 2.1

In particular, any subset of a set with outer measure zero has outer measure zero and that the countable union of sets with outer measure zero has outer measure zero as shown by the example of \mathbb{Q} .

Moreover, there are sets with outer measure zero that are not countable, e.g., **Cantor Set**.

Theorem 2.1 (Outer Approximation)

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists an open set G s.t. $C \subset G$ and $\mu^*(G) \leq \mu^*(C) + \epsilon$. Hence,

$$\mu^*(C) = \inf \mu^*(G),$$

where the infimum is taken over all open sets G containing C .

Theorem 2.2

If $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, \exists a set H of type G_δ s.t. $C \subset H$ and $\mu^*(C) = \mu^*(H)$

Outline

- 1 Review of Real Analysis
- 2 Lebesgue Outer Measure
- 3 Lebesgue Measure**
- 4 Lebesgue Measurable Functions

'Measurable' & 'Measure'

Definition 3.1 (Lebesgue measurable)

A subset C of \mathbb{R}^n is defined to be **Lebesgue measurable**, or **measurable**, if given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists an open set G s.t.

$$C \subset G, \text{ and } \mu^*(G - C) < \epsilon$$

'Measurable' & 'Measure'

Definition 3.1 (Lebesgue measurable)

A subset C of \mathbb{R}^n is defined to be **Lebesgue measurable**, or **measurable**, if given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists an open set G s.t.

$$C \subset G, \text{ and } \mu^*(G - C) < \epsilon$$

Definition 3.2 (Measure)

If C is measurable, its outer measure is referred to as its **Lebesgue measure** or simply its **measure**, and denoted by $\mu(C)$ as previously illustrated:

$$\mu(C) = \mu^*(C), \text{ for measurable } C.$$

'Measurable' & 'Measure'

Definition 3.1 (Lebesgue measurable)

A subset C of \mathbb{R}^n is defined to be **Lebesgue measurable**, or **measurable**, if given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists an open set G s.t.

$$C \subset G, \text{ and } \mu^*(G - C) < \epsilon$$

Definition 3.2 (Measure)

If C is measurable, its outer measure is referred to as its **Lebesgue measure** or simply its **measure**, and denoted by $\mu(C)$ as previously illustrated:

$$\mu(C) = \mu^*(C), \text{ for measurable } C.$$

Example 3.1

- Every open set is measurable.
- Every set of outer measure zero is measurable.

Remark 3.1

Noting that the condition for measurability should not be confused with theorem 2.1, which only states that \exists an open set G containing C such that $\mu^*(G) \leq \mu^*(C) + \epsilon$. Since $G = C \cup (G - C)$ when $C \subset G$, which only implies that $\mu^*(G) \leq \mu^*(C) + \mu^*(G - C)$. However, we cannot obtain from $\mu^*(G) \leq \mu^*(C) + \epsilon$ that $\mu^*(G - C) < \epsilon$.

Properties of Measurable Set

- (**Countable subadditivity:**) The union $C = \cup C_k$ of a countable measurable sets is measurable and $\mu(C) \leq \sum \mu(C_k)$.
- Every closed set F is measurable.
- The complement of a measurable set is measurable.
- The intersection $C = \cap_k C_k$ of a countable measurable sets is measurable.
- If C_1 and C_2 are measurable, then $C_1 - C_2$ is measurable.
- The collection of measurable subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is σ -algebra.
- Every Borel set is measurable.

Properties of Lebesgue Measure

Lemma 3.1

Set C in \mathbb{R}^n is measurable if.f. given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists a closed set $F \subset C$ such that $\mu^*(C - F) < \epsilon$.

Theorem 3.1

If $\{C_k\}$ is a countable collection of disjoint measurable sets, then $\mu(\bigcup_k C_k) = \sum_k \mu(C_k)$.

Corollary 3.1

Suppose C_1 and C_2 are measurable, $C_2 \subset C_1$, and $\mu(C_2) < +\infty$. Then $\mu(C_1 - C_2) = \mu(C_1) - \mu(C_2)$.

Theorem 3.2

Let $\{C_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable sets.

- (1) If $C_k \nearrow C$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_k) = \mu(C)$.
- (2) If $C_k \searrow C$ and $\mu(C_k) < +\infty$ for some k , $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_k) = \mu(C)$.

Proof: (1) Assume that $\mu(C_k) < +\infty$ for all k , otherwise both $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_k)$ and $\mu(C)$ are infinite and the statement holds. Break C via

$$C = C_1 \cup (C_2 - C_1) \cup \dots \cup (C_k - C_{k-1}) \cup \dots$$

By theorem 3.1,

$$\mu(C) = \mu(C_1) + \mu(C_2 - C_1) + \dots + \mu(C_k - C_{k-1}) + \dots$$

By corollary 3.1,

$$\mu(C) = \mu(C_1) + (\mu(C_2) - \mu(C_1)) + \dots + (\mu(C_k) - \mu(C_{k-1})) + \dots = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_k).$$

Proof: (2) clearly assume that $\mu(C_1) < +\infty$. Write

$$C_1 = C \cup (C_1 - C_2) \cup \cdots \cup (C_k - C_{k+1}) \cup \cdots.$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(C_1) &= \mu(C) + (\mu(C_1) - \mu(C_2)) + \cdots + (\mu(C_k) - \mu(C_{k+1})) + \cdots \\ &= \mu(C) + \mu(C_1) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_k).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mu(C) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_k)$.

- Noting that the condition $\mu(C_k) < +\infty$ for some k is necessary.

Characterizations of Measurability

Theorem 3.3

- C is measurable if and only if $C = H - Z$, where H is of type G_δ and $\mu(Z) = 0$.
- C is measurable if and only if $C = H \cup Z$, where H is of type F_δ and $\mu(Z) = 0$.

Proof: (**sufficiency**) It is trivial that C is measurable because H and Z are both measurable sets.

(**necessity**): For the **first** one, suppose that C is measurable and choose an open set G_k such that $C \subset G_k$ and $\mu(G_k - C) < 1/k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $H = \bigcap_k G_k$, which is a set of type G_δ , $C \subset H$ and $H - C \subset G_k - C$ for every k . As $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\mu(H - C) = 0$.

Secondly, C is measurable, so is the complement set of C , denoted as C^c . Then applying the result of first one, we obtain that $C^c = \bigcap_k G_k - Z$, and using *de Morgan's laws* we have $C = (\bigcup_k G_k^c) \cup Z$.

Nonmeasurable set

Construct a nonmeasurable subset of \mathbb{R}^1 , and the construction in $\mathbb{R}^d, d > 1$ is similar. The construction of a nonmeasurable set uses the following axiom of choice and rests on equivalence relation among real numbers in $[0, 1]$.

Axiom 3.1 (Zermelo's Axiom:)

A family of arbitrary nonempty disjoint sets indexed by a set $A, \{C_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}, \exists$ a set consisting of exactly one element from each $C_\alpha, \alpha \in A$.

Lemma 3.2

Let C be a **measurable** subset of \mathbb{R}^1 with $\mu(C) > 0$. Then the set of differences $\{d : d = x - y, x \in C, y \in C\}$ contains an interval centered at the origin.

Vitali Theorem: There exist nonmeasurable sets.

Proof:

An **equivalence relation**, defined as $x \sim y$ on the real line if $x - y$ is rational, which can be formulated by $C_\alpha = \{\alpha + r : r \text{ is rational}\}$. It means that any two classes C_α and C_β are either identical or disjoint.

Hence, one equivalence class consists of all the rational numbers, and the other distinct classes are disjoint sets of irrational numbers.

Using *Zemelo's axiom*, construct the set C consisting of exactly one element from each distinct equivalence class, therefore, any two points of C must differ by an irrational number, which implies that the set $\{d : d = x - y, x \in C, y \in C\}$ cannot contain an interval. According to **Lemma 3.2**, it suffices that either C is not measurable or $\mu(C) = 0$. Let $C_r = C + r, r \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\cup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} C_r$ representing the union of the translation of C by every rational is \mathbb{R}^1 , \mathbb{R}^1 would have measure zero if C . Then it completes the proof that C is nonmeasurable.

Outline

- 1 Review of Real Analysis
- 2 Lebesgue Outer Measure
- 3 Lebesgue Measure
- 4 Lebesgue Measurable Functions

Definition 4.1 (Measurable function)

In general, let Ω_1 be a set with a σ -algebra \mathcal{C}_1 , and Ω_2 be a set with a sigma-algebra \mathcal{C}_2 , and T be a function from Ω_1 to Ω_2 . Say T is **$\langle \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \rangle$ -measurable** if the inverse image $\{x \in \Omega_1 : Tx \in C_2\} \in \mathcal{C}_1$ for each $C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2$.

In particular, if $\Omega_2 = \mathbb{R}$, \mathcal{C}_2 becomes the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 4.1

Recall the definition for *random variable* in the previous slide.

$\langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable

In particular, to establish $\langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurability of a map into the real line, it is simplified to check the inverse images of intervals of the form (a, ∞) as follows.

$\langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable

In particular, to establish $\langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurability of a map into the real line, it is simplified to check the inverse images of intervals of the form (a, ∞) as follows.

Definition 4.2

Let C be a measurable set in \mathbb{R}^n and f be a real-extended function (i.e., $f(\mathbf{x}) \in [-\infty, +\infty]$, $\mathbf{x} \in C$) defined on C . f is referred to as a **Lebesgue measurable** function on C or **measurable** function if for every finite a , the set

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in C : f(\mathbf{x}) > a\}$$

is a **measurable** subset of \mathbb{R}^n , which is often simply denoted as $\{f > a\}$. *why?*

$\langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable

In particular, to establish $\langle \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurability of a map into the real line, it is simplified to check the inverse images of intervals of the form (a, ∞) as follows.

Definition 4.2

Let C be a measurable set in \mathbb{R}^n and f be a real-extended function (i.e., $f(\mathbf{x}) \in [-\infty, +\infty]$, $\mathbf{x} \in C$) defined on C . f is referred to as a **Lebesgue measurable** function on C or **measurable** function if for every finite a , the set

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in C : f(\mathbf{x}) > a\}$$

is a **measurable** subset of \mathbb{R}^n , which is often simply denoted as $\{f > a\}$. *why?*

$$C = \{f = -\infty\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{f > -k\} \right)$$

Elementary properties of measurable functions

The definition for measurable function is equivalent to any of the following statements hold for finite a :

- (i) $\{f \geq a\}$ is measurable.
- (ii) $\{f < a\}$ is measurable.
- (ii) $\{f \leq a\}$ is measurable.

Corollary 4.1

$\{f > -\infty\}$, $\{f < +\infty\}$, $\{f = +\infty\}$, $\{a \leq f \leq b\}$, $\{f = a\}$, etc, are all measurable if f is measurable.

Theorem 4.1

The **finite-valued** function f is measurable if and only if $f^{-1}(G)$ is measurable for every open set G of \mathbb{R}^1 , and if and only if $f^{-1}(F)$ is measurable for every closed set F of \mathbb{R}^1 .

Theorem 4.2

Let A be a dense subset of \mathbb{R}^1 . Then f is measurable if $\{f > a\}$ is measurable for all $a \in A$.

Remark 4.1 (dense)

A set $C \subset C_1$ is said to be *dense* in C_1 if $\forall x_1 \in C_1$ and $\epsilon > 0, \exists$ a point $x \in C$ such that $0 < |x - x_1| < \epsilon$. Example: \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} .

almost everywhere or a.e.: A property or a statement holds in C except in some subset of C with measure zero. For instance, the statement “ $f = 0$ a.e. in C ” is abbreviated of $f(x) = 0$ in C with the exception of those x in some subset Z of C with $\mu(Z) = 0$.

almost everywhere or a.e.: A property or a statement holds in C except in some subset of C with measure zero. For instance, the statement “ $f = 0$ a.e. in C ” is abbreviated of $f(x) = 0$ in C with the exception of those x in some subset Z of C with $\mu(Z) = 0$.

Theorem 4.3

If f is measurable and if $g = f$ a.e., then g is measurable and $\mu(\{g > a\}) = \mu(\{f > a\})$.

Theorem 4.4

If f is continuous on \mathbb{R}^d , then f is measurable. If f is measurable a.e. in C , and Φ is continuous, then $\Phi \circ f$ or $\Phi(f)$ is measurable.

Remark 4.2

The cases that arise frequently are

$$\Phi(f) = |f|, |f|^p (p > 0), e^{cf}$$

are measurable if f is measurable.

Noting another special case is that of

$$f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}, \quad f^- = -\min\{f, 0\}$$

Operations on measurable functions

Suppose f and g are measurable, and $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of measurable functions, and λ is any real number, then so are

- $\{f > g\}$.
- $f + \lambda$ and λf .
- $f + g$.
- fg , and f/g if $g \neq 0$ a.e.
- $\sup_n f_n(x)$, $\inf_n f_n(x)$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$, and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$.

Plus, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ and $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a collection of measurable functions, then f is measurable.

Characteristic function or indicator function

Our attention to the objects that lie at the heart of integration theory: measurable functions. The starting point is the notion of a *characteristic function* or *indicator function* of a set C , which is defined by

$$\chi_C(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin C \end{cases}$$

Clearly, χ_C is measurable if and only if C is measurable. χ_C is an example of what is referred to as a **simple function** on \mathbb{R}^n : a simple function on a set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is one that is defined on C and supposes **only** a finite number of finite values on C . If f is a simple function on C taking distinct values a_1, \dots, a_N on disjoint subsets C_1, \dots, C_N of C , and $C = \bigcup_{k=1}^N C_k$, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \chi_{C_k}(x), \quad x \in C.$$

Theorem 4.5

- Every function can be represented as the convergence of a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of simple functions.
- If $f \geq 0$, the sequence can be chosen to increasingly converge to f , i.e. $f_k \leq f_{k+1}, \forall k$.
- If f is measurable, then $\{f_k\}$ can be chosen to be measurable.

We begin by approximating pointwise, non-negative measurable functions by simple functions.

Firstly, start with a truncation within $[0, k]$. Suppose $f \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, subdivide the values of f by partitioning $[0, k]$ into subintervals $[(j-1)2^{-k}, j2^{-k}]$, $j = 1, \dots, k2^k$. Then

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{j-1}{2^k} & \text{if } \frac{j-1}{2^k} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{j}{2^k}, j = 1, \dots, k2^k \\ k & \text{if } f(x) \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Then, $f_k(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as k goes to infinity for all x . Clearly, $f_k \leq f_{k+1}$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ because of $0 \leq f - f_k \leq 2^{-k}$ as k tends to infinity wherever f is finite and $f_k = k \rightarrow +\infty$ wherever $f = +\infty$. It completes the proof for the second theorem of nonnegative case. In fact,

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k2^k} \frac{j-1}{2^k} \chi_{\{\frac{j-1}{2^k} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{j}{2^k}\}} + k \chi_{\{f \geq k\}}$$

Approximation by simple function

For the first theorem, using the **decomposition** of the function f : $f = f^+ - f^-$. Since both f^+ and f^- are nonnegative, then it trivially yields to apply the above theorem twice.

Theorem 4.6

Suppose f is measurable on \mathbb{R}^d . Then \exists a sequence of simple function $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ that satisfies

$$|f_k(x)| \leq |f_{k+1}(x)|, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = f(x), \quad \forall x$$

In particular, $|f_k(x)| \leq |f(x)|, \forall x, k$.

Theorems of Egorov and Lusin

Theorem 4.7 (Egorov's Theorem)

Suppose $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of measurable functions defined on a measurable set C with $\mu(C) < \infty$ and assume that $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. on C . Given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists a closed set $F_\epsilon \subset C$ such that $\mu(C - F_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly on F_ϵ .

Theorem 4.8 (Lusin)

Suppose f is measurable and finite valued on C with $\mu(C) < \infty$. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, \exists a closed set F_ϵ with $F_\epsilon \subset C$ and $\mu(C - F_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon$ and such that $f|_{F_\epsilon}$ is continuous.