

# Econ 508B: Lecture 4

## Modes of Convergence and Important Inequalities

Hongyi Liu

Washington University in St. Louis

August 6, 2018

- 1 Convergence for measurable functions
- 2 Important inequalities

1 Convergence for measurable functions

2 Important inequalities

Recall the convergence of numbers: If one has a sequence  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots \in \mathbb{R}$  of real numbers  $x_n$ , it is unambiguous what it means for what a sequence converges to a limit  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Definition 1.1

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists$  an  $N$  such that  $|x_n - x| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ .

More generally, if one has a sequence of  $d$ -dimensional vectors  $v_n$  in a real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , it follows with a similar definition for that sequence to converge to a limit  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

Recall the convergence of numbers: If one has a sequence  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots \in \mathbb{R}$  of real numbers  $x_n$ , it is unambiguous what it means for what a sequence converges to a limit  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Definition 1.1

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists$  an  $N$  such that  $|x_n - x| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ .

More generally, if one has a sequence of  $d$ -dimensional vectors  $v_n$  in a real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , it follows with a similar definition for that sequence to converge to a limit  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

*Question: what about convergence of a sequence of random variables  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots\}$ , or in general, a sequence of measurable functions  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$*

# What different types of convergence are there?

Two basic *modes of convergence* shown in the undergraduate course:

## Definition 1.2 (Pointwise convergence)

$\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  *pointwise* if, **for every**  $x \in X$ ,  
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ . In other words, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x \in X$ ,  
 $\exists N$  (that depends on **both**  $\epsilon$  and  $x$ ) such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon$   
whenever  $n \geq N$ .

## Definition 1.3 (Uniform convergence)

$\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  *uniformly* if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N$  such that for every  $n > N$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon$  **for every**  $x \in X$ . In other words,  
 $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  *uniformly* if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{|f_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in X\} = 0$$

The difference between *uniform convergence* and *pointwise convergence* is that with the former one,  $N$  does not depend on  $x$ , but must instead be chosen uniformly in  $x$ .

The difference between *uniform convergence* and *pointwise convergence* is that with the former one,  $N$  does not depend on  $x$ , but must instead be chosen uniformly in  $x$ .

*Uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence, but not conversely.*

## Example 1.1

Let  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f_n(x) := x/n$  converge pointwise to  $f(x) := 0$ , but not uniformly if we pick  $x = n$  and  $\epsilon = 1/2$  while  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| = 1 > 1/2$ .



## More notions

To discuss some modes of convergence that arise from measure theory, the domain space  $X$  is equipped with the triplet, measure space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , and the functions  $f_n$  (and their limit  $f$ ) are measurable with respect to this space. In this context, we have the following additional *modes of convergence*:

### Definition 1.4 (Pointwise Almost Everywhere Convergence)

$\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  *pointwise almost everywhere* if, for  $\mu$ -almost everywhere  $x \in X$ ,  $f_n(x)$  converges to  $f(x)$ , denoted by  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e.  $\mu$ . In other words, if  $\exists$  a set  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mu(X \setminus Y) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in Y$ .

### Remark 1.1

In probability theory, when  $f_n$  and  $f$  are random variables, *pointwise convergence almost everywhere* is called **almost sure convergence**.

## Definition 1.5 (Convergence in $L^\infty(\mu)$ )

$\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^\infty(\mu)$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_\infty = 0,$$

where for any  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function  $g$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ ,

$$\|g\|_\infty = \inf\{K : K \in (0, \infty), \mu(\{|g| > K\}) = 0\}.$$

### Definition 1.5 (Convergence in $L^\infty(\mu)$ )

$\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^\infty(\mu)$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_\infty = 0,$$

where for any  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function  $g$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ ,

$$\|g\|_\infty = \inf\{K : K \in (0, \infty), \mu(\{|g| > K\}) = 0\}.$$

### Definition 1.6 (almost uniformly)

$\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  almost uniformly if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists$  a set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mu(A) < \epsilon$  and on  $A^c$ ,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly, i.e.,  $\sup\{|f_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in A^c\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Convergence in $L^p(\mu)$

## Definition 1.7 (Convergence in $L^p(\mu)$ )

Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . Then,  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^p(\mu)$ , denoted by  $f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} f$ , if  $\int |f_n|^p d\mu < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\int |f|^p d\mu < \infty$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_n - f|^p d\mu = 0.$$

# Convergence in $L^p(\mu)$

## Definition 1.7 (Convergence in $L^p(\mu)$ )

Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . Then,  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^p(\mu)$ , denoted by  $f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} f$ , if  $\int |f_n|^p d\mu < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\int |f|^p d\mu < \infty$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_n - f|^p d\mu = 0.$$

## Remark 1.2

if  $f \in L^p$ , then  $(\int |f|^p)^{1/p} < \infty$ ; e.g. if  $f \in L^1$ , then  $\int |f| d\mu < \infty$ .

*Convergence in  $L^p$*  is equivalent to  $\|f_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where for any  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function  $g$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $\|g\|_p = (\int |g|^p d\mu)^{\min\{\frac{1}{p}, 1\}}$

- For  $p = 1$ , when  $f_n$  and  $f$  are random variables, *convergence in  $L^1$*  is called *convergence in mean*.
- For  $p = 2$ , this is referred to as *convergence in mean square*.

# Convergence in measure

## Definition 1.8 (Convergence in measure)

$\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  in measure, denoted by  $f_n \xrightarrow{m} f$ , if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{|f_n - f| > \epsilon\}) = 0.$$

when  $f_n$  and  $f$  are random variables, *convergence in measure* is often referred to as **convergence in probability** such as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}) = 0.$$

- Another mode of convergence is *convergence in distribution*, we will cover it later on the lecture of asymptotic theory.
- Students or readers are highly encouraged to draw a diagram that summarize the logic between the above modes of convergence.

1 Convergence for measurable functions

2 Important inequalities

# Markov's inequality

## Theorem 2.1

Let  $f$  be a non-negative measurable functions on a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ . Then for any  $0 < t < \infty$ ,

$$\mu(\{f \geq t\}) \leq \frac{\int f d\mu}{t}$$

*Proof:* Let  $f$  be non-negative,

$$\int f d\mu \geq \int_{(\{f \geq t\})} f d\mu \geq \int_{(\{f \geq t\})} t d\mu = t\mu(\{f \geq t\})$$



## Corollary 2.1

Let  $X$  be a random variable on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . For  $r > 0, t > 0$ ,

$$P(|X| \geq t) \leq \frac{E|X|^r}{t^r}$$

When  $r = 2$ , it is called *Chebyshev's inequality*:  $P(|X - E(X)| \geq t) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{t^2}$ .

*Proof:*  $\{|X| \geq t\} = \{|X|^r \geq t^r\}$  for all  $t > 0, r > 0$ .

## Example 2.1

Suppose  $E(|X_n - c|) \rightarrow 0$  for some finite  $c$ . By *Markov's inequality*,

$$P(|X_n - c| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{E(|X_n - c|)}{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus,  $X_n$  converges in probability to  $c$ .

## Corollary 2.2

Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be non-decreasing. For any random variable  $X$  and  $0 < t < \infty$ ,

$$P(|X| \geq t) \leq \frac{E\phi(|X|)}{\phi(t)}$$

*Proof:* Use *Markov's inequality* and the fact that  $P(|X| \geq t) = P(\phi(|X|) \geq \phi(t))$ .

## Example 2.2

For i.i.d Bernoulli trials with success probability  $p = 1/2$ , let  $T_n$  denote the number of times in the first  $n$  trials that a success is followed by a failure.

- Denote  $I_i = I\{\textit{i}th \textit{ trial is a success and } (i + 1)\textit{st trial is a failure}\}$ ,  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I_i$ , then prove that  $\frac{T_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{1}{4}$ . (Homework)

# Jensen's inequality

## Theorem 2.2

Let  $f$  be a measurable function on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with  $P(f \in (a, b)) = 1$  for some interval  $(a, b)$ ,  $-\infty < b \leq \infty$  and let  $\phi : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex. Then

$$\phi\left(\int f dP\right) \leq \int \phi(f) dP,$$

provided  $\int |f| dP < \infty$  and  $\int |\phi(f)| dP < \infty$ .

## Corollary 2.3

In terms of random variables  $X$ , we have  $\phi(EX) \leq E\phi(X)$ , provided  $E|X| < \infty$  and  $E|\phi(X)| < \infty$ .

If  $\phi$  is a concave function, then the *Jensen's inequality* just change the direction of inequality.

# Application of Jensen's inequality

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer.

- (1) Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  be real and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  be positive numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \exp(x_i) \geq \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i x_i\right).$$

- (2) Let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  be non-negative numbers and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  be as in (1). Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i y_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^k y_i^{p_i},$$

In particular, when  $p_i = 1/k$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{k} y_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^k y_i^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

### Example 2.3

Let  $X \in S \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a discrete random variable. Let  $p$  be the p.d.f of  $X$  and  $q$  be any other p.d.f. defined on  $S$ . Consider the likelihood functions  $p(X)$  and  $q(X)$ . Noting that these are random variables, we have that

$$E\left[\log \frac{p(X)}{q(X)}\right] \geq 0$$

### Example 2.3

Let  $X \in S \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a discrete random variable. Let  $p$  be the p.d.f of  $X$  and  $q$  be any other p.d.f. defined on  $S$ . Consider the likelihood functions  $p(X)$  and  $q(X)$ . Noting that these are random variables, we have that

$$E\left[\log \frac{p(X)}{q(X)}\right] \geq 0$$

*Proof:* W.L.O.G. assume that  $p(x) > 0, q(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in S$  (why?).

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\log \frac{p(X)}{q(X)}\right] &= \sum_{x \in S} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = - \sum_{x \in S} p(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \\ &= -E\left[\log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right] \geq -\log E\left[\frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right] \quad (\text{Jensen}) \\ &= -\log\left[\sum_{x \in S} q(x)\right] = -\log(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Hölder inequality

- Hölder's inequality is an important tool relating the expected value of a product to the product of expected values.

## Theorem 2.3

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  and  $g \in L^q(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Then

$$\int |fg| d\mu \leq \left( \int |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \left( \int |g|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q},$$

i.e.,  $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$ . If  $\|fg\|_1 \neq 0$ , then equality holds if  $|f|^p = c|g|^q$  for some  $c \in (0, \infty)$ .

*Question: How to prove it? Young's inequality.*

# Young's inequality

## Lemma 2.1

Let  $a, b \geq 0$ , and  $1 < p, q < \infty$  be such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}b^q \geq ab.$$

with equality if.f.  $a^p = b^q$ .

*Proof:* W.L.O.G., assume that  $a, b > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= \exp(\log a + \log b) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \log a^p + \frac{1}{q} \log b^q\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \exp(\log a^p) + \frac{1}{q} \exp(\log b^q) && \text{(Jensen)} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} a^p + \frac{1}{q} b^q \end{aligned}$$



# Proof of Hölder's inequality

Consider  $X, Y$  are random variables such that  $E|X|^p, E|Y|^q < \infty$ .  
Then the target is to prove

$$|E(X, Y)| \leq E|XY| \leq \|X\|_p \|Y\|_q$$

Define

$$a = \frac{|X|}{\|X\|_p}, b = \frac{|Y|}{\|Y\|_q}$$

Then applying *Young's inequality* and taking expectations on both sides, we obtain

$$1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{E|XY|}{\|X\|_p \|Y\|_q}$$

When  $p = q = 2$ , it becomes *Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality*.

# Minkowski's inequality

## Theorem 2.4

Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $f, g \in L^p$ . Then

$$\left(\int |f + g|^p d\mu\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int |f|^p d\mu\right)^{1/p} + \left(\int |g|^p d\mu\right)^{1/p}$$

i.e.

$$\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.$$

## Corollary 2.4

Given random variables  $X, Y$  and  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$(E|X + Y|^p)^{1/p} \leq (E|X|^p)^{1/p} + (E|Y|^p)^{1/p}$$