

Erratum to: Melts of garnet lherzolite: experiments, models and comparison to melts of pyroxenite and carbonated lherzolite

Timothy L. Grove · Eva S. Holbig · Jay A. Barr ·
Christy B. Till · Michael J. Krawczynski

Published online: 23 November 2014
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Erratum to: Contrib Mineral Petrol (2013) 166:887–910 DOI 10.1007/s00410-013-0899-9

An unfortunate coding error led to erroneous predicted abundances of Na₂O in the melting models presented in Table 5 of Grove et al. (2013). The source of this error has been discovered and corrected; the cause of the discrepancy was an error in assignment of the partition coefficient expressions used for orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene. The values presented in a corrected Table 5 are the ones obtained using the appropriate partition coefficients for Na₂O. Our models of garnet lherzolite melts now have Na₂O abundances that are similar to the melts predicted by Longhi (2002) and the experimentally produced melt of Walter (1998).

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s00410-013-0899-9.

T. L. Grove (✉) · E. S. Holbig · J. A. Barr
Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences,
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139,
USA
e-mail: tlgrove@mit.edu

C. B. Till
US Geological Survey, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

M. J. Krawczynski
Department of Earth, Environmental and Planetary Sciences,
Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

Table 5 Comparison of garnet lherzolite melting model of Longhi and test of model

	Primitive H&Z 10 and 1 % melts				Depleted H&Z 10 and 1 % melts			
	10 % ^a	L '02	1 %	L '02	10 %	L '02	1 %	L '02
SiO ₂	45.7	46.2	46.0	45.6	45.8	46.0	45.4	44.9
TiO ₂	1.14	0.98	2.09	1.43	1.04	0.97	2.09	1.61
Al ₂ O ₃	13.0	13.0	14.9	15.1	12.8	12.8	13.1	14.3
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.18	0.32	0.13	0.22	0.18	0.34	0.15	0.29
FeO	10.8	9.60	9.66	9.60	10.6	9.70	10.6	10.1
MgO	17.4	18.1	14.3	15.3	18.0	18.5	16.6	17.2
CaO	9.58	9.76	7.85	6.91	9.86	10.1	8.48	8.34
Na ₂ O	1.96	1.57	2.82	2.68	1.80	1.32	2.93	1.96
K ₂ O	0.29	0.28	2.27	2.13	0.07	0.07	0.59	0.54
	40.07 ^b				Model ^c			
SiO ₂					45.6			
TiO ₂					0.89			
Al ₂ O ₃					11.1			
Cr ₂ O ₃					0.16			
FeO					10.9			
MgO					20.0			
CaO					9.70			
Na ₂ O					0.94			
K ₂ O					0.65			
T (°C)					1,624			

Model calculation at 3 GPa and 10 and 1 % melting from this study and Longhi (2002)

^a Melts of Hart and Zindler primitive (Hart and Zindler 1986) and depleted (Kinzler and Grove 1992) mantle compositions

^b 40.07 shows the composition and temperature of a Lherzolite melt from Walter (1998) at 4 GPa and 13 wt% melting

^c Forward model of melting using the Walter (1998) lherzolite composition and 13 % melting at 4 GPa. Temperature is that predicted by the model

References

- Grove TL, Holbig ES, Barr JA, Till CB, Krawczynski MJ (2013) Mantle melting in the garnet stability field: experiments and predictive models. *Contrib Mineral Petrol* 166:887–910. doi:[10.1007/s00410-013-0899-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-013-0899-9)
- Hart SR, Zindler A (1986) In search of a bulk-Earth composition. *Chem Geol* 57(3–4):247–267
- Kinzler RJ, Grove TL (1992) Primary magmas of midocean ridge basalts 2. Applications. *J Geophys Res* 97(B5):6907–6926
- Longhi J (2002) Some phase equilibrium systematics of lherzolite melting: I. *Geochem Geophys Geosyst* 3:art. no.-1020
- Walter MJ (1998) Melting of garnet peridotite and the origin of komatiite and depleted lithosphere. *J Petrol* 39(1):29–60