WU Faculty Work Life Survey Danforth Tenured and Tenure Track Faculty

Overall

By gender and year

		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							, 60	`				
	by year				2015					2011				
	2	015	2	011		Men	Women	Men	Women		Men	Women	Men	Women
	n	value	n	value		r	า	va	lue		r	1	val	lue
Overall satisfaction														
Satisfied being a faculty member	565	4.2	504	4.1		379	186	4.3	4.0		348	156	4.1	4.0
Satisfied with your life outside WU	515	4.2	471	4.2		346	169	4.2	4.1		325	146	4.2	4.0
Satisfied with work/life balance	514	3.8	467	3.8		342	172	4.0	3.4		322	145	4.0	3.6
Workload		I.			_									
Reasonableness of workload	562	3.5	507	3.5		377	185	3.5	3.7		350	157	3.4	3.6
Work week length in hours – academic year	555	56.6	491	56.3		375	180	56.9	56.0		336	155	57.0	54.8
Teaching - % work week	544	30%	496	32%		368	176	30%	31%		343	153	31%	33%
Meeting with students - % work week	544	15%	496	14%		368	176	15%	14%		343	153	14%	14%
Conducting research - % work week	544	35%	496	33%		368	176	36%	33%		343	153	35%	30%
Committee/admin. work - % work week	544	16%	496	17%		368	176	15%	18%		343	153	16%	19%
External paid consulting - % work week	544	1%	496	1%		368	176	1%	0%		343	153	1%	1%
Other work activities - % work week	544	3%	496	3%		368	176	3%	3%		343	153	3%	2%
Teaching during 2015-16 academic year?	558	95%	501	89%		373	185	96%	92%		346	155	90%	87%
Resources for Teaching-Satisfaction														
Overall teaching resources	523	4.1	445	4.0		353	170	4.2	4.0		311	134	4.0	3.9
Classroom space	520	4.1	446	4.0		352	168	4.2	4.1		311	135	4.1	3.8
Access to teaching assistants	466	3.6	386	3.5		318	148	3.6	3.6		274	112	3.6	3.1
Support for innovation in your teaching	484	3.7	404	3.6		322	162	3.8	3.7		282	122	3.7	3.4
Support for assessing and impr. student learning	472	3.7	398	3.5		312	160	3.7	3.7		269	129	3.6	3.3
Technology	505	3.8	(not	asked)		339	166	3.9	3.8			(not a	asked)	
Resources for Research-Satisfaction														
Resources to support research and scholarship	543	3.8	489	3.7		361	182	3.8	3.7		336	153	3.8	3.5
Start-up funds	360	3.7	335	3.6		237	123	3.8	3.4		219	116	3.6	3.6
Support for securing grants	448	3.4	407	3.4		296	152	3.4	3.5		277	130	3.5	3.2
Support for managing grants	420	3.5	385	3.6		277	143	3.6	3.5		266	119	3.8	3.3
Technical/research staff	407	3.4	363	3.3		274	133	3.4	3.3		243	120	3.4	3.3
Other resources	103	2.3	82	2.1		69	34	2.2	2.3		47	35	2.2	2.0

Colored shading indicates statistically significant differences at p < .05.:

Worse for women than men, or worse in 2015 than in 2011 Difference (unclear whether better or worse)

Detailed question wording and response Better for women than men, or better in 2015 than in 2011 categories can be found in the survey instrument.

Data not reported for cell sizes less than n = 5. Institutional Research & Analysis.

Most values in this report show the average response to a five-point satisfaction scale where

1 = Very dissatisfied and 5 = Very Satisfied.

Continued –
Danforth Campus
Tenured and Tenure Track Faculty

					1	Dy gondor and year								
Continued – Danforth Campus	Overall				By gender and year									
Tenured and Tenure Track Faculty	by year					2015				2011				
Tenarea and Tenare Track Tacart,	2015		2015 2011			Men	Women	Men	Women		Men	Women	Men	Women
			_	M	2	W		2	Š	2	×			
	n	value	n	value		ı	า	val	ue		r	1	val	ue
Committee and Administrative work														
# Departmental committees	524	2.3	469	2.4		348	176	2.2	2.7		319	150	2.3	2.6
# University/School/Divisional committees	501	1.5	436	1.6		332	169	1.4	1.8	-	298	138	1.5	1.7
# external committees/boards	467	1.9	414	2.0		306	161	1.8	2.1	-	282	132	2.0	2.0
WU Leadership role* - served within past 5 yrs		46%		45%				45%	48%	-			46%	43%
- only served 5+ yrs ago	506	9%	455	12%		332	174	10%	7%		312	143	13%	11%
- never served		45%		43%				45%	45%				41%	46%
Resources for admin & committee work – satisf.	451	3.5	419	3.4		295	156	3.7	3.3	-	289	130	3.5	3.3
Asked to serve in leadership position	521	2.0	480	2.0		347	174	2.0	2.0		329	151	2.1	1.9
Willing to serve in leadership position	521	3.8	480	3.8		347	174	3.7	3.8		329	151	3.8	3.8
Salary and Responsibilities-Satisfaction												l		
Salary	531	3.6	484	3.5		351	180	3.7	3.5		331	153	3.5	3.4
Teaching responsibilities	528	4.2	482	4.2		350	178	4.3	4.1		331	151	4.3	4.0
Advising responsibilities	512	4.0	461	4.0		338	174	4.1	3.9		319	142	4.0	3.9
Committee/administrative responsibilities	505	3.6	466	3.5		330	175	3.7	3.5		319	147	3.5	3.5
Resources to support your work					_									
Library resources and services	515	3.9	477	3.7		340	175	4.0	3.8		328	149	3.7	3.7
Clerical and administrative staff	515	3.8	466	3.8		341	174	4.0	3.6		319	147	3.8	3.8
Computer resources	522	3.7	480	3.7		346	176	3.8	3.6		328	152	3.8	3.7
Computing support staff	522	3.8	477	3.8		345	177	3.8	3.7		326	151	3.9	3.5
Quality of graduate students	487	3.5	447	3.5		322	165	3.4	3.7		308	139	3.4	3.7
Quality of students in professional programs	165	4.0	163	3.9		99	66	4.0	4.0		102	61	3.8	4.1
Time available for scholarly work	529	3.1	483	2.9		349	180	3.3	2.7		331	152	3.1	2.5
Extent of stress - work related:					-									
Teaching responsibilities	519	1.9	474	1.8		347	172	1.8	2.1		325	149	1.8	2.0
Advising responsibilities	504	1.6	456	1.5		338	166	1.6	1.6		316	140	1.5	1.5
Committee/admin. responsibilities	486	1.8	449	1.9		319	167	1.8	1.9		309	140	1.9	2.0
Timing of departmental meetings	503	1.6	460	1.6		331	172	1.5	1.7		315	145	1.6	1.7
Scholarly productivity	517	2.2	473	2.1		343	174	2.1	2.4		322	151	2.1	2.3
Securing funding for research	431	2.1	396	2.1		283	148	2.1	2.2		275	121	2.1	2.1
Managing a research group/grant	301	1.9	271	1.9		214	87	1.9	1.9		200	71	1.8	1.9
Review/promotion process	417	1.9	371	1.8		279	138	1.8	2.1		258	113	1.8	2.0

^{*}WU leadership roles asked about in this survey include department chair, dean, associate dean, assistant dean, director of undergraduate study, director of graduate study, and director of a center, program, or institute.

1.9

327

174

1.8

2.2

147

313

1.9

460

1.9

501

Departmental/campus politics

2.0

Continued -**Danforth Campus** Tenured and Tenure Track Faculty

Overall						
by year						
2	015	2	011			
n	value n value					

By gender and year								
	2	2015		2011				
Men	Women	Men	Women		Men	Women	Men	Women
	1	va	lue		r	1	va	ue

Climate					
Department/unit is supported/valued					
Creates supportive environment - Leadership					
Helps obtain resources - Leadership					
I affect decision-making/dept direction)				
Colleagues value my research/scholars	hip				
Satisfied with within-dept collaboratio	n				
Satisfied with cross-dept collaboration					
Interdisciplinary research-recognized/	rewarded				
Can navigate unwritten rules					
Department/unit is good fit					
Can raise personal/family obligations					
Attending to personal needs is frowne	d upon				
Feel excluded from informal network					
Work harder to be perceived as a scho	lar				
Feel comfortable raising gender bias issues					
Welcoming environment for women faculty					
Welcoming environment for minority faculty					
Comfortable in expressing various identities					
Experienced bias by leadership (% yes)					
Experienced bias by colleagues	(% yes)				
Experienced bias by students	(% yes)				
NAME 1					
WU sexual harassment policies/proced	lures –				
% appropriate and well communicated	<u> </u>				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced	<u> </u>				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures –	<u> </u>				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures – % appropriate & well-communicated	<u> </u>				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures – % appropriate & well-communicated WU racial bias policies/procedures –	<u> </u>				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures – % appropriate & well-communicated	<u> </u>				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures – % appropriate & well-communicated WU racial bias policies/procedures – %Inadequate & should be improved	<u> </u>				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures – % appropriate & well-communicated WU racial bias policies/procedures – %Inadequate & should be improved Mentoring	lures –				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures — % appropriate & well-communicated WU racial bias policies/procedures — %Inadequate & should be improved Mentoring Adequate mentoring	lures –				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures – % appropriate & well-communicated WU racial bias policies/procedures – %Inadequate & should be improved Mentoring Adequate mentoring Assigned formal mentoring helpful	lures – (% yes)				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures – % appropriate & well-communicated WU racial bias policies/procedures – %Inadequate & should be improved Mentoring Adequate mentoring Assigned formal mentoring helpful Chosen formal mentoring helpful	lures – (% yes)				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures – % appropriate & well-communicated WU racial bias policies/procedures – %Inadequate & should be improved Mentoring Adequate mentoring Assigned formal mentoring helpful Chosen formal mentoring helpful Informal mentoring helpful - WU ment	lures – (% yes)				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures — % appropriate & well-communicated WU racial bias policies/procedures — %Inadequate & should be improved Mentoring Adequate mentoring Assigned formal mentoring helpful Chosen formal mentoring helpful Informal mentoring helpful - WU ment Satisfaction with space	lures – (% yes)				
% appropriate and well communicated WU sexual harassment policies/proced % Inadequate & should be improved WU racial bias policies/procedures – % appropriate & well-communicated WU racial bias policies/procedures – %Inadequate & should be improved Mentoring Adequate mentoring Assigned formal mentoring helpful Chosen formal mentoring helpful Informal mentoring helpful - WU ment Satisfaction with space	lures – (% yes)				

524	3.4	475	3.2
522	4.0	472	3.8
507	3.8	458	3.6
520	3.7	474	3.6
520	4.0	471	4.0
506	3.9	457	3.8
491	3.9	447	3.7
509	3.7	458	3.7
514	4.1	458	4.1
522	4.1	474	4.1
505	4.0	454	3.9
483	2.0	(not	asked)
508	2.3	456	2.3
504	2.4	452	2.5
457	3.4	(not	asked)
505	3.8	456	3.9
479	3.6	389	3.7
512	3.1	466	3.1
485	29%	424	28%
486	29%	430	23%
485	24%	428	18%
493	47%	437	54%
493	9%	437	5%
488	43%	(not	asked)
488	15%	(not	asked)
		1	
455	62%	393	59%
126	3.8	114	3.7
92	4.2	88	4.4
349	4.5	290	4.4
	1	1	
505	3.7	465	3.7
522	4.0	475	3.9
274	3.6	231	3.6
67	2.0	16	2.2

l	1	value			
347	177	3.4	3.4		
344	178	4.1	3.9		
334	173	3.8	3.7		
343	177	3.8	3.6		
342	178	4.0	3.9		
335	171	3.9	3.8		
326	165	3.9	3.8		
338	171	3.7	3.6		
340	174	4.3	3.9		
346	176	4.2	3.8		
331	174	4.2	3.6		
319	164	2.0	2.2		
334	174	2.2	2.6		
334	170	2.1	2.9		
288	169	3.6	3.0		
330	175	4.0	3.5		
313	166	3.8	3.2		
339	173	3.3	2.9		
311	174	13%	58%		
313	173	13%	57%		
311	174	10%	49%		
329	164	54%	34%		
329	164	8%	10%		
329	159	54%	21%		
329	159	10%	24%		
292	163	67%	53%		
76	50	3.9	3.7		
63	29	4.2	4.3		
219	130	4.6	4.4		
	ı				
339	166	3.8	3.6		
347	175	4.1	3.9		
202	72	3.7	3.4		
45	22	3.0	2.2		

329	146	3.2	3.4
327	145	3.8	3.6
313	145	3.6	3.6
328	146	3.7	3.5
323	148	4.0	3.8
316	141	3.9	3.7
310	137	3.8	3.6
317	141	3.7	3.8
314	144	4.2	3.9
328	146	4.1	4.0
314	140	4.0	3.5
	(no	t asked)	
312	144	2.2	2.5
309	143	2.3	2.8
	(no	t asked)	
309	147	4.1	3.6
269	120	3.8	3.3
320	146	3.2	3.0
285	139	14%	55%
287	143	11%	46%
284	144	6%	42%
305	132	61%	37%
305	132	4%	10%
	(not	asked)	
	(not	asked)	
265	128	63%	51%

505	3.7	465	3.7	
522	4.0	475	3.9	
274	3.6	231	3.6	
67	2.8	46	2.3	
	•		•	

163	67%	53%	265	128	63%	51%
50	3.9	3.7	65	49	3.6	3.8
29	4.2	4.3	50	38	4.3	4.4
130	4.6	4.4	181	109	4.4	4.5

3.6	321	144	3.8	3.6
3.9	327	148	4.0	3.7
3.4	173	58	3.6	3.6
2.2	29	17	2.7	1.8

Continued -**Danforth Campus** Tenured and Tenure Track Faculty

Overall				
by year				
2	015	2011		
n	value	n	value	

By gender and year								
2015			2	2011				
Men	Women	Men	Women		Men	Women	Men	Women
1	n value n		1	va	lue			

Tenure and Promotion
Tenure criteria clearly communicated
Research/scholarly work - valued for tenure
Teaching contributions - valued for tenure
Admin./committee service - valued for tenure
Research/scholarly work - valued appropriately.
Teaching contrib valued appropriately
Admin./committee svcvalued appropriately
Received relief from duties for personal reasons
Department/unit supportive - relief
Tenure clock slowed for personal reasons
Dept/unit supportive - slowing tenure clock
Retention
Received outside job offer - last 5 years
Adjusted due to job offer:
Salary
Course load -
Administrative responsibilities
Leave time
Summer salary
Tenure clock
Equip./lab/research start-up
Spouse/partner employment
Other
None
Likely to leave WU in 3 years
Extent considered reasons to leave:
Increase salary
Improve prospects for tenure
Enhance career in other ways
Find more supportive work environment
Increase time for research
Change administrative responsibilities
Pursue nonacademic job
Reduce stress
Improve spouse/partner employment
Lower cost of living
Retirement
Child-related issues
Other

2	015	2	011	
n	value	n	value	
508	3.9	471	4.0	
517	2.9	471	3.0	
508	2.3	461	2.3	
488	1.7	445	1.7	
505	3.1	459	3.1	
500	2.7	450	2.6	
477	2.7	434	2.6	
523	22%	473	22%	
115	4.3	103	4.5	
517	10%	472	8%	
51	4.4	36	4.4	
518	16%	471	14%	
84	55%	68	60%	
84	7%	68	9%	
84	5%	68	10%	
84	11%	68	16%	
84	7%	68	4%	
84	1%	68	3%	
84	19%	68	16%	
84	6%	68	0%	
84	7% 35%	68 68	1% 32%	
519	2.5	473	2.6	
JIJ	۷.۵	4/3	2.0	
484	1.8	429	1.8	
307	1.3	252	1.3	
474	2.1	421	2.1	
473	1.7	425	1.8	
471	1.7	424	1.8	
414	1.4	387	1.5	
415	1.2	381	1.2	
457	1.6	416	1.5	
421	1.6	382	1.6	
437	1.1	402	1.0	
420	1.3	393	1.3	

341	167	4.0	3.7	
344	173	3.0	2.9	
337	171	2.2	2.3	
321	167	1.7	1.8	
339	166	3.1	3.2	
337	163	2.7	2.7	
317	160	2.7	2.5	
347	176	15%	36%	
52	63	4.3	4.3	
344	173	6%	18%	
21	30	4.3	4.4	
342	176	15%	18%	
53	31	57%	52%	
53	31	4%	13%	
53	31	2%	10%	
53	31	8%	16%	
53	31	4%	13%	
53	31	0%	3%	
53	31	17%	23%	
53	31	8%	3%	
53	31	9%	3%	
53	31	32%	39%	
344	175	2.4	2.7	
				_
325	159	1.8	1.9	
204	103	1.3	1.3	
316	158	2.0	2.2	
314	159	1.6	1.9	
312	159	1.7	1.9	
274	140	1.4	1.5	
280	135	1.2	1.2	
303	154	1.5	1.7	
285	136	1.6	1.6	
291	146	1.1	1.1	

280

268

140

128

29

1.3

1.2

2.3

1.3

1.1

2.3

Men	Wome	Men	Wome		
ı	า	value			
	1				
323	148	4.1	3.7		
326	145	3.0	3.0		
318	143	2.2	2.3		
308	137	1.6	1.8		
319	140	3.1	3.2		
314	136	2.6	2.6		
302	132	2.6	2.5		
325	148	14%	41%		
44	59	4.5	4.4		
328	144	4%	17%		
12	24	4.6	4.4		
325	146	14%	16%		
45	23	60%	61%		
45	23	9%	9%		
45	23	11%	9%		
45	23	11%	26%		
45	23	7%	0%		
45	23	4%	0%		
45	23	13%	22%		
45	23	0%	0%		
45	23	2%	0%		
45	23	33%	30%		
329	144	2.6	2.6		
295	134	1.8	1.8		
175	77	1.3	1.4		
289	132	2.1	2.1		
290	135	1.8	1.8		
290	134	1.7	2.0		
264	123	1.4	1.5		
265	116	1.1	1.2		
281	135 115	1.4	1.7		
267	115		1.7		
2/9	112	1.0	1.0		
259	103	1.4	1.2		
42	32	2.1	2.5		
+∠	JZ	2.1	2.5		

396

76

1.2

2.3

362

1.2

2.3