

Theorem. Let I and J be sets, let Y be a metric space, and let $w: I \times J \rightarrow Y$. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be filter bases on I and J respectively. Suppose that

$$w(i, j) \rightarrow u(i) \text{ in } Y \text{ as } j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \text{ for each } i \in I.$$

Suppose also that

$$w(i, j) \rightarrow v(j) \text{ in } Y \text{ uniformly for } j \in J \text{ as } i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}.$$

Then:

(a) If either of the iterated limits

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}} u(i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}} \lim_{j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}} w(i, j) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}} v(j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}} \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}} w(i, j)$$

exists in Y , then so does the other, and they are equal.

(b) If the metric space Y is complete, then both of the limits in part (a) do exist (and so by part (a), they are equal).

Proof. First suppose $u(i) \rightarrow y \in Y$ as $i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Now for each $i \in I$ and each $j \in J$, we have

$$d(v(j), y) \leq d(v(j), w(i, j)) + d(w(i, j), u(i)) + d(u(i), y). \quad (1)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $w(i, j) \rightarrow v(j)$ uniformly for $j \in J$ as $i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, there exists $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for each $i \in A_1$, for each $j \in J$, $d(w(i, j), v(j)) \leq \varepsilon/3$. Since $u(i) \rightarrow y \in Y$ as $i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, there exists $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for each $i \in A_2$, $d(u(i), y) \leq \varepsilon/3$. Since \mathcal{A} is a filter base on I , there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$. Also since \mathcal{A} is a filter base, $A \neq \emptyset$. Fix $i \in A$. Since $w(i, j) \rightarrow u(i)$ as $j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for each $j \in B$, $d(w(i, j), u(i)) \leq \varepsilon/3$. Let $j \in B$. By (1), since $i \in A_1$, $j \in B$, and $i \in A_2$, we have $d(v(j), y) \leq \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon$. Thus for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for each $j \in B$, $d(v(j), y) \leq \varepsilon$. Therefore $v(j) \rightarrow y$ as $j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. This proves half of (a).

Conversely, suppose $v(j) \rightarrow y \in Y$ as $j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Now for each $i \in I$ and each $j \in J$, we have

$$d(u(i), y) \leq d(u(i), w(i, j)) + d(w(i, j), v(j)) + d(v(j), y). \quad (2)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $w(i, j) \rightarrow v(j)$ uniformly for $j \in J$ as $i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for each $i \in A$, for each $j \in J$, $d(w(i, j), v(j)) \leq \varepsilon/3$. Let $i \in A$. Since $w(i, j) \rightarrow u(i)$ as $j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, there exists $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for each $j \in B_1$, $d(w(i, j), u(i)) \leq \varepsilon/3$. Since $v(j) \rightarrow y \in Y$ as $j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, there exists $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for each $j \in B_2$, $d(v(j), y) \leq \varepsilon/3$. Since \mathcal{B} is a filter base, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$. Also since \mathcal{B} is a filter base, $B \neq \emptyset$. Fix $j \in B$. By (2), since $j \in B_1$, $i \in A$, and $j \in B_2$, we have $d(u(i), y) \leq \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon$. Thus for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for each $i \in A$, $d(u(i), y) \leq \varepsilon$. Therefore $u(i) \rightarrow y$ as $i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. This proves the other half of (a).

Now suppose Y is complete. In view of (a), to prove that both of the limits in (a) exist in Y , it suffices to prove that one of them does. We claim that $u(i)$ converges in Y as $i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Since Y is complete, it suffices to prove that $u(i)$ is Cauchy in Y as i runs along \mathcal{A} . Now for all $i, i' \in I$ and for each $j \in J$,

$$d(u(i), u(i')) \leq d(u(i), w(i, j)) + d(w(i, j), v(j)) + d(v(j), w(i', j)) + d(w(i', j), u(i')). \quad (3)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $w(i, j) \rightarrow v(j)$ uniformly for $j \in J$ as $i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for each $i \in A$, for each $j \in J$, $d(w(i, j), v(j)) \leq \varepsilon/4$. Let $i, i' \in A$. Since $w(i, j) \rightarrow u(i)$ as $j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, there exists $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for each $j \in B_1$, $d(u(i), w(i, j)) \leq \varepsilon/4$. Similarly, since $w(i', j) \rightarrow u(i')$ as $j \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, there exists $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for each $j \in B_2$, $d(w(i', j), u(i')) \leq \varepsilon/4$. Since \mathcal{B} is a filter base, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$. Also since \mathcal{B} is a filter base, $B \neq \emptyset$. Fix $j \in B$. By (3), since $j \in B_1$, $i, i' \in A$, and $j \in B_2$, we have $d(u(i), u(i')) \leq \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 = \varepsilon$. Thus for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $i, i' \in A$, $d(u(i), u(i')) \leq \varepsilon$. Therefore $u(i)$ is Cauchy in Y as i runs along \mathcal{A} . This proves (b). ■

Example. Let X be a topological space, let Y be a metric space, let f, f_1, f_2, \dots be functions from X to Y , and let $a \in X$.

- (c) If f_n is continuous at a for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and if $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then f is continuous at a .
- (d) If $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise on X as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and if $\{f_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is equicontinuous at a , then f is continuous at a .

Proof. First let's prove part (a). Suppose each f_n is continuous at a and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let \mathcal{B} be the filter base of nhds of a in X . Since each f_n is continuous at a , $f_n(x) \rightarrow f_n(a)$ as $x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Now $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(a)$ exists in Y and is equal to $f(a)$. Therefore by the theorem, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists in Y and is equal to $f(a)$ too. In other words, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}} f(x) = f(a)$, so f is continuous at a .

Now let's prove part (b). So suppose instead that $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$, and that $\{f_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is equicontinuous at a . Again, let \mathcal{B} be the filter base of nhds of a in X . To say that $\{f_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is equicontinuous at a means that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a nhd B of a in X such that for each $x \in B$, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $d(f_n(x), f_n(a)) < \varepsilon$. Thus $f_n(x) \rightarrow f_n(a)$ uniformly for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ as $x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Now $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(a)$ exists in Y and is equal to $f(a)$. Therefore by the theorem, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists in Y and is equal to $f(a)$ too. In other words, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}} f(x) = f(a)$, so f is continuous at a . ■

Example. Let Y be a metric space and let (f_n) be a sequence of functions from \mathbf{R} to Y which converges uniformly on \mathbf{R} to a function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow Y$.

- (e) Let $a \in \mathbf{R}$. Suppose that each f_n is right-continuous at a . Then f is right-continuous at a . Similarly for left-continuous.
- (f) Let $a \in [-\infty, \infty)$. Suppose that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, the right limit $f_n(a+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a+} f_n(x)$ exists in Y . If either of the limits

$$f(a+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a+} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(a+)$$

exists in Y , then both of them do and they are equal. If in addition, Y is complete, then both of these limits do exist in Y (and so they are equal). Similarly for limits as $x \rightarrow b-$, where $b \in (-\infty, \infty]$.

Example. Let (ξ_k) be a sequence of distinct points in \mathbf{R} . Any such sequence will do, but this example will be the most interesting if we choose this sequence so that its range $B = \{\xi_k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is dense in \mathbf{R} . For instance, (ξ_k) might be an enumeration of the rationals. Let $A = \mathbf{R} \setminus B$. For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, let $u_k, v_k, w_k \in \mathbf{R}$, define $g_k: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$g_k(x) = \begin{cases} u_k & \text{if } x < \xi_k, \\ v_k & \text{if } x = \xi_k, \\ w_k & \text{if } x > \xi_k, \end{cases}$$

and let $M_k = \max\{|u_k|, |v_k|, |w_k|\}$, so that for each $x \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $|g_k(x)| \leq M_k$. Suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k < \infty$. Then the series $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k$ converges uniformly on \mathbf{R} . In other words, the sequence of its partial sums $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k$ converges uniformly to f on \mathbf{R} . It clearly follows from the previous example that f is continuous at each point of A , that f has left and right limits at each point of B , and since the points ξ_k are distinct,

$$f(\xi_k+) - f(\xi_k) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (g_{\ell}(\xi_k+) - g_{\ell}(\xi_k)) = g_k(\xi_k+) - g_k(\xi_k) = w_k - v_k$$

and

$$f(\xi_k) - f(\xi_k-) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (g_{\ell}(\xi_k) - g_{\ell}(\xi_k-)) = g_k(\xi_k) - g_k(\xi_k-) = v_k - u_k.$$

This construction thus provides us with a function f which has fairly arbitrary prescribed jumps at each point of a given countable set $B \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ but which is continuous at each point of $A = \mathbf{R} \setminus B$.