

□ 01 INCOMPLETENESS: finite graph theory 1
 by
 Harvey M. Friedman
 January 30, 2006

In this abstract, all digraphs are simple; i.e., have no loops or multiple edges. The results remain unaffected if loops are allowed (but not if multiple edges are allowed).

For digraphs G , we write $V(G)$ for the set of all vertices of G , and $E(G)$ for the set of all edges of G . A digraph on a set E is a digraph G where $V(G) = E$.

A dag is a directed acyclic graph; i.e., a digraph with no cycles.

Let G be a digraph, and $A \subseteq V(G)$. We write G_A for the set of all tails of edges in G whose heads lie in A . I.e.,

$$G_A = \{y \in V(G) : (\exists x \in A) ((x, y) \in E(G))\}.$$

We begin by quoting a well known theorem about dags. We call it the complementation theorem.

COMPLEMENTATION THEOREM (finite dags). For all finite dags G there is a unique $A \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G_A = V(G) \setminus A$.

We can look at the Complementation Theorem in terms of a large independent set. We say that $A \subseteq V(G)$ is independent in G if and only if there is no edge connecting elements of A .

COMPLEMENTATION THEOREM (finite dags). Every finite dag has a unique independent set A such that $V(G) \setminus A \subseteq G_A$.

We will focus on digraphs on sets of the form $[1, n]^k$. Here $k, n \geq 1$ and $[1, n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

An upgraph on $[1, n]^k$ is a digraph on $[1, n]^k$ such that for all $(x, y) \in E(G)$, $\max(x) < \max(y)$.

The following is an immediate consequence of the Complementation Theorem (finite dags), since upgraphs are obviously dags.

COMPLEMENTATION THEOREM (finite upgraphs). For all $k, n \geq 1$, every upgraph on $[1, n]^k$ has a unique independent set A such that $V(G) \setminus A \subseteq GA$.

Our development relies on what we call **order invariant digraphs** on $[1, n]^k$. These are the digraphs G on $[1, n]^k$ such that the determination of whether (x, y) is an edge depends only on the relative order of the coordinates of the vector $(x, y) \in [1, n]^{2k}$.

More formally, let $u, v \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}^p$. We say that u, v are order equivalent if and only if for all $1 \leq i, j \leq p$,

$$u_i < u_j \text{ iff } v_i < v_j.$$

Let G be a digraph on $[1, n]^k$. We say that G is order invariant if and only if the following holds. For all $x, y, z, w \in [1, n]^k$, if (x, y) and (z, w) are order equivalent (as $2k$ tuples), then

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \iff (z, w) \in E(G).$$

Note that an order invariant digraph on $[1, n]^k$ is completely determined, among digraphs on $[1, n]^k$, by its subdigraph induced by $[1, 2k]^k$ - regardless of how large n is. Thus the number of order invariant digraphs on $[1, n]^k$ is bounded by $(2k)^k$.

Let G be a digraph and $A, B \subseteq V(G)$. We say that A, B are G isomorphic if and only if the subdigraph of G induced by A is isomorphic to the subdigraph of G induced by B . I.e., there is a bijection $h: A \rightarrow B$ such that for all $x, y \in A$,

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \iff (hx, hy) \in E(G).$$

Let m be a positive integer. A power of m is a number m^i , where i is a nonnegative integer.

A vector power of m is a vector (of any finite length) all of whose coordinates are powers of m .

We say that c does not appear in a set of vectors if and only if c is not a coordinate of any vector in that set.

PROPOSITION A. For all $n, k, r \geq 1$, every order invariant upgraph G on $[1, n]^k$ has an independent set A such that every $\subseteq r$ element subset of $V(G) \setminus A$ is G isomorphic to a subset of

GA , with the same vector powers of 2, in which the integer $2^{(4kr)^2} - 1$ does not appear.

Here is a weakening of Proposition A where only a single vector is excluded.

PROPOSITION B. For all $n, k, r \geq 1$, every order invariant upgraph G on $[1, n]^k$ has an independent set A such that every r element subset of $V(G) \setminus A$ is G isomorphic to a subset of GA , with the same vector powers of 2, in which the diagonal vector $(2^{(4kr)^2} - 1, \dots, 2^{(4kr)^2} - 1)$ does not appear.

Here are two alternative Propositions.

PROPOSITION C. For all $n, k, r \geq 1$, every order invariant upgraph G on $[1, n]^k$ has an independent set A such that every r element subset of $V(G) \setminus A$ is G isomorphic to a subset of GA , with the same vector powers of $(8kr)!$, in which the integer $(8kr)! - 1$ does not appear.

PROPOSITION D. For all $n, k, r \geq 1$, every order invariant upgraph G on $[1, n]^k$ has an independent set A such that every r element subset of $V(G) \setminus A$ is G isomorphic to a subset of GA , with the same vector powers of 2, in which the diagonal vector $((8kr)! - 1, \dots, (8kr)! - 1)$ does not appear.

Propositions A-D can be proved with large cardinals but not in ZFC (assuming ZFC is consistent). Note that Propositions A-D are explicitly Σ_1 .

Note that if we remove the exclusionary clauses in Propositions A-D, then we obtain trivial consequences of the Complementation Theorem (finite upgraphs), which is provable in EFA (exponential function arithmetic). What a difference a single integer or vector makes!

Here is more detailed information.

Let $MAH = ZFC + \{\text{there exists a strongly } n\text{-Mahlo cardinal}\}_n$.

Let $MAH^+ = ZFC + \text{"for all } n \text{ there exists a strongly } n\text{-Mahlo cardinal"}$.

THEOREM 1. MAH^+ proves Propositions A-D. However, none of Propositions A-D are provable in any consistent fragment of MAH that derives $Z =$ Zermelo set theory. In particular,

none of Propositions A-D are provable in ZFC, provided ZFC is consistent. These facts are provable in RCA_0 .

THEOREM 2. $\text{EFA} + \text{Con}(\text{MAH})$ proves Propositions A-D.

THEOREM 3. It is provable in ACA that Propositions A-D are each equivalent to $\text{Con}(\text{MAH})$.