Example Problem: Photoelectric Effect

Physics 1251 TA: Brian Clark 11/30/2015

- 1. A piece of metal has a cutoff wavelength of $\lambda_{cutoff} = 450 \,\mathrm{nm}$. Consider illuminating this piece of metal with two different wavelengths of light: a $\lambda_1 = 500 \,\mathrm{nm}$ beam and a $\lambda_2 = 400 \,\mathrm{nm}$ beam. For each of the two beams, find:
 - (a) The maximum kinetic energy of ejected electrons.

We can only eject an electron if the illuminating beams are energetic enough. Because $E = hf = hc/\lambda$, we can only eject an electron if $\lambda_{illuminating} \leq \lambda_{cutoff}$. So, for λ_1 , which is greater than λ_{cutoff} , we eject no electrons.

However, for λ_2 , which is *less* than λ_{cutoff} , we do eject electrons. The energy of these electrons is given by:

$$E = hf - \phi = hc/\lambda - \phi$$

That is, we take the incoming energy of the photon (hc/λ) , subtract off the energy required to bind the electron (ϕ , the work function) and we are left with the kinetic energy of the electron.

$$E = hf - \phi = \frac{hc}{\lambda_2} - \frac{hc}{\lambda_{cutoff}} = hc \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{cutoff}}\right)$$

= $(6.626 \times 10^{-34} \,\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{s})(3 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{m/s}) \left(\frac{1}{400 \times 10^{-9}} - \frac{1}{450 \times 10^{-9}}\right)$
 $\implies E_2 = 5.21 \times 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{J} = 0.344 \,\mathrm{eV}$

(b) What is their speed?

Because λ_1 never ejects electrons, it does not make sense to speak of their speed. For λ_2 , we will simply solve using our kinetic energy formula from 1250:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \Longrightarrow v = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 5.21 \times 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{J}}{9.11 \times 10^{-31} \,\mathrm{kg}}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{v_2 = 3.48 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{m/s}}$$

(c) What is their de Broglie wavelength?

Again, because λ_1 never ejects electrons, it does not make sense to speak of their de Broglie wavelength. For λ_2 , we can apply the de Broglie wavelength formula

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \,\mathrm{J \cdot s}}{9.11 \times 10^{-31} \,\mathrm{kg} \cdot 3.48 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{m/s}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{\lambda_{2,dB} = 2.09 \times 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{m} = 2.09 \,\mathrm{nm}}$$

2. An electron, a proton, and a photon each have a wavelength of 0.24 nm. For each one, find the momentum, the energy, and, where relevant, the accelerating voltage needed to achieve that wavelength:

The momentum calculation is the same for all three particles. We employ the de Broglie relation:

$$p = \frac{h}{\lambda} \Longrightarrow p_p = p_e = \frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \,\mathrm{J \cdot s}}{0.24 \times 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{m}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{p_p = p_e = p_\gamma = 2.76 \times 10^{-24} \,\mathrm{kg \,m/s}}$$

Now, let's specialize to the massive particles first. For a massive particle, we can apply that p = mv and $E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$, to find: $E = \frac{1}{2}\frac{p^2}{m}$. So:

$$\begin{split} E_e &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_e^2}{m_e} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(2.76 \times 10^{-24} \,\mathrm{kg} \,\mathrm{m/s})^2}{9.11 \times 10^{-31} \,\mathrm{kg}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{E_e = 4.044 \times 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{J} = 25.24 \,\mathrm{eV}} \\ E_p &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_p^2}{m_p} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(2.76 \times 10^{-24} \,\mathrm{kg} \,\mathrm{m/s})^2}{1.67 \times 10^{-27} \,\mathrm{kg}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{E_p = 2.206 \times 10^{-21} \,\mathrm{J} = 0.014 \,\mathrm{eV}} \end{split}$$

Because these are massive particles, they can be brought to this energy by an accelerating potential, given by $E = q\Delta V$:

$$|\Delta V_e| = \frac{E_e}{q_e} = \frac{4.044 \times 10^{-18} \,\mathrm{J}}{1.602 \times 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{C}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{V_e = 25.24 \,\mathrm{V}}$$
$$|\Delta V_p| = \frac{E_p}{q_p} = \frac{2.206 \times 10^{-21} \,\mathrm{J}}{1.602 \times 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{C}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{V_e = 0.013 \,\mathrm{V}}$$

For the photon, the *massless* particle, the calculation is more straightforward:

$$E_{\gamma} = hf = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{(6.626 \times 10^{-34} \,\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{s})(3 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{m/s})}{0.24 \times 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{m}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{E_{\gamma} = 8.28 \times 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{J} = 5.68 \,\mathrm{keV}}$$

(Which is termed a "soft x-ray" in the astrophysics community, by the way.)

3. What is the de Broglie wavelength of an electron that has 2.0 keV of kinetic energy? What about an electron with 200 keV of kinetic energy? The second one requires relativity–why?

For the 2 keV electron, we can apply:

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{m \cdot v} = \frac{h}{m \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2E}{m}}} = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2Em}} = \frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \,\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{s}}{\sqrt{2 \cdot (3.2 \times 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{J}) \cdot (9.11 \times 10^{-31} \,\mathrm{kg})}}$$
$$\implies \lambda_{2 \, keV} = 2.74 \times 10^{-11} \,\mathrm{m}$$

We must be more careful for the 200 keV electron, because its velocity is, to first order:

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 3.204 \times 10^{-14} \,\mathrm{J}}{9.11 \times 10^{-31} \,\mathrm{kg}}} \approx 2.65 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{m/s}$$

which is very near the speed of light.

So, to be relativistically correct, we must apply the relativistic kinetic energy formula to get p:

$$E = \sqrt{(mc^2)^2 + p^2c^2} - mc^2 \Longrightarrow p = \frac{1}{c}\sqrt{(E + mc^2)^2 - (mc^2)^2}$$
$$p = \frac{1}{c}\sqrt{[3.204 \times 10^{-14} \,\text{J} + (9.11 \times 10^{-31} \,\text{kg}) \cdot (3 \times 10^8 \,\text{m/s})^2]^2 - [(9.11 \times 10^{-31} \,\text{kg})(3 \times 10^8 \,\text{m/s})^2]^2}$$
$$\Longrightarrow p = 2.64 \times 10^{-22} \,\text{kg m/s}$$

Now, we can plug this correct momenta into the de Broglie equation

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \,\mathrm{J \cdot s}}{2.64 \times 10^{-22} \,\mathrm{kg \,m/s}} \Longrightarrow \boxed{\lambda_{200 \,keV} = 2.51 \times 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{m}}$$