

Accepted for publication in:  
*Applied Economics Perspectives and Policy*  
Special Issue on COVID-19

## **The Impact of COVID-19 on Consumer Food Waste**

Brian E. Roe\*, Kathryn Bender and Danyi Qi

\*corresponding author, Ohio State University, 2120 Fyffe Road, Columbus, OH 43210, email: [roe.30@osu.edu](mailto:roe.30@osu.edu), phone: 614-688-5777

Submitted: July 15, 2020

Accepted: 12 August 2020

JEL Codes: D1, Q1

Key Words: food waste; COVID-19; consumers; household

Editor: Craig Gundersen

Acknowledgements: Roe recognizes research support from the Van Buren program of Ohio State University; the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center (Award #OHOA1632); and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (2017-6702326268). The authors thank editor Craig Gundersen and an anonymous reviewer for suggestions to improve the manuscript. All remaining errors are those of the authors.

## **Abstract**

Perhaps no phenomenon has so quickly and radically altered household production parameters and daily food patterns as the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. We contemplate the immediate and longer-term implications of this public health crisis on the amount of food wasted by consumers. We conclude that the pandemic and its aftermath may improve household skills and management practices in a manner that reduces day-to-day household food waste. However, pandemic-driven disruptions may induce larger intermittent purges of food due to changes in work patterns and foodservice and food retailing availability. We recommend several steps to reduce waste as the pandemic unfolds.

## **Introduction**

Perhaps no phenomenon has so quickly and radically altered household production parameters and daily food patterns in the United States as the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. Soon after the national emergency declaration, key inputs into household production shifted massively, with the amount of time spent outside the home declining by more than 20%, consumer spending declining by more than 30%, and employment rates and earnings among low-income Americans declining by more than 35% (Chetty et al. 2020).

Food acquisition patterns were also fundamentally shifted compared to pre-COVID levels (Restrepo et al. 2020). Consumer spending at restaurants and hotels declined by more than 60% with the onset of COVID-19 (Chetty et al. 2020). Grocery spending spiked at a 70% increase during mid-March compared to pre-COVID levels and has featured a sustained increase of around 10% through April, May and June of 2020 (Chetty et al. 2020). In early April of 2020, 50% of consumers reported shopping less in person than compared with the pre-COVID period, with 37% purchasing more at each visit, 16% reporting initiation of grocery delivery services, and 13% reporting an increase in the frequency of grocery deliveries (IFIC 2020a).

The content of purchases also changed after COVID-19, with consumers reporting increases in purchases of pantry items (37% reported an increase), frozen items (31%), and packaged foods (22%), and decreases in purchases of fresh produce items (15%) (IFIC 2020a). Remarkably, only 11% of consumers reported no changes in shopping habits during early April of 2020, and only 19% reported no changes in eating habits (IFIC 2020a), suggesting few American households were untouched by the turbulence of the COVID-19 pandemic. Indeed, many households have struggled to maintain food security since the onset of the pandemic (Ahn and Norwood 2020, Gundersen et al. 2020, Ziliak 2020).

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic has drawn considerable attention to food movement through key harvest, processing and distribution pinch points, with news coverage capturing graphic incidents of upstream food waste (e.g., piglet euthanasia, farm-level milk dumping, foodservice distribution channel waste; see Yaffe-Bellany and Corkery 2020). However, we must remember that consumers are the final and arguably most critical link in the food supply chain, as consumption of food is the *raison d'etre* for the entire food supply chain. Indeed, all effort expended to reduce upstream food supply chain waste is rendered a costly folly should the consumer then waste the food.<sup>1</sup> By some estimates, this is considerable: e.g., 83% of food waste occurs at consumer-facing businesses (40%) and in homes (43%) according to ReFED (2016). Further, in the aggregate this waste can create system-wide spillovers through increased prices, decreased availability of food, and increased environmental and resource pressures, all of which creates particularly harsh burdens for lower income consumers.

In this article, we explore how the COVID-19 pandemic may affect consumer food waste in developed economies such as the United States. While not deriving an explicit model, we draw upon concepts from the household production literature and note that several authors have used such a framework to derive implications of various household production parameters for the generation of food waste (Ellison et al. 2020, Hamilton and Richards 2019, Katare et al. 2017, Lusk and Ellison 2017, Qi 2018). Comparative statics from these efforts suggest food waste may decline under circumstances stimulated by the pandemic: more time available for household production; accumulated experience and knowledge with home food provisioning and meal preparation; less income available to purchase meal inputs; and higher prices for food. However,

---

<sup>1</sup> See, for example, Gillman, Campbell and Spang (2019) who calculate that the accumulated life cycle impact from wasting food at the final consumer level is so great that, for some crops, welfare is improved by allowing excess food to spoil in the field rather than enter the supply chain.

comparative statics are insufficient to provide the entire picture, as such analyses ignore key transitional aspects of behavior that arise from rapid shocks such as those experienced during this pandemic.

We organize our article around changes in stylized facts caused by the pandemic that will perturb household production parameters and then conjecture how this will alter the amount of food waste created by consumers both in the short and long run, with citations to supporting research and data if they exist. We end with some overall predictions about the net effect that the COVID-19 pandemic will have on consumer food waste and recommendations on short-term steps that could help reduce waste due to the pandemic.

## **Post-Pandemic Changes**

### *Shifts in home food provisioning*

An initial and highly visible impact of the COVID-19 pandemic was that of empty grocery store shelves. While the supply chains supporting many food products endured great stress due to multiple disrupting forces (Hobbs 2020, Richards and Rickard 2020), the store shelves for many food items were empty or featured limited selection in part due to panic buying in which fears of food shortages at an individual level led to a self-fulfilling prophecy on aggregate (Kuruppu and DeZoysa 2020). In April of 2020, WRAP (2020) reported 37% of UK adults were concerned about supermarkets running out of food during the coronavirus situation while IFIC reported 28% of US consumers were concerned about stores running out of staple items (IFIC 2020a). Even in July of 2020, our own (along with several other colleagues) survey work with more than 500 respondents from throughout the United States suggests about 25% of US consumers feared certain items would be in short supply at grocery stores (Bender et al. 2020). Such relatively high levels

of concern, though slightly lower than the ones reported in April, may be associated with several regional spikes of confirmed COVID-19 cases in July when consumers were relatively more experienced in post-COVID food sourcing compared to immediately after the onset of the pandemic in March.

A fundamental and unanswered question is whether panic-purchased foods will be wasted at greater rates than normally acquired foods. Our intuition is that this is likely. Panic purchases were in larger quantities (Chetty et al. 2020 note a 78% increase in grocery expenditures in mid-March 2020), which may lead to suboptimal storage, particularly for perishables (though we note that in April 2020, 15% of consumers reported purchasing less fresh produce according to IFIC (2020a)). However, even for non-perishable items, Wansink et al. (2000) document frequent “cabinet castaways,” i.e., shelf-stable items that were never utilized for their intended purpose and persisted in home storage until discovered and discarded. Panic-purchased foods may also have been items that were not of the preferred size, formulation, or brand, and hence require the consumer to be flexible or creative during preparation and consumption, all of which may increase the likelihood of waste.

While panic purchasing has largely passed, other grocery purchasing patterns persist, with mixed implications for likely waste. IFIC (2020a) found that in April of 2020, 50% of consumers reported shopping less in person and 37% of consumers reported buying more groceries each time they went shopping. IFIC (2020b) noted that 36% of consumers in May of 2020 reported an increase in purchases of packaged foods. Larger, less frequent food shopping is likely to exacerbate waste, all else equal, as this requires better meal planning and storage practices to ensure less waste. For example, Lee (2018) found that per capita food waste was greater among South Korean consumers who shopped less frequently. However, increased reliance on packaged

foods may reduce waste as these goods can be stored for longer periods (non-perishables) or utilized with little preparation effort (perishables), both of which should decrease the risk of waste.

Online grocery shopping has also increased with 16% of consumers in the IFIC (2020a) study reporting the initiation of grocery delivery after COVID-19. Again, we see mixed implications for consumer food waste. Online shopping can largely reduce the perceived cost of taking a trip into the grocery store where social distance is more difficult to maintain. With fewer perceived costs of shopping, online grocery shopping may limit panic shopping and mitigate the necessity of food stockpiling, though many online grocery attempts immediately after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic went unfulfilled due to service providers who were unable to adjust capacity to the spike in demand (Zumbach 2020). Online food ordering may also temper impulse purchases of food (Pitts et al. 2018) that may seem desirable in the store but not find a use and be wasted once at home. Further, online interfaces may limit acquisition of perishable produce due to consumer hesitancy concerning quality as they no longer can choose individual produce items (Pitts et al. 2018). On the other hand, increased reliance upon online shopping can increase a consumer's "psychological distance" to food, which has been shown to increase the tendency to waste food (Ilyuk 2018). That is, when consumers have less attachment to the food (i.e., don't physically connect to items by actively choosing the item and placing it in an actual cart), it may become easier for them to waste those items.

The onset of COVID-19 in the United States also corresponded with many local growing seasons. This timing, along with consumer concern about the safety and reliability of the food supply chain, resulted in increased interest in homegrown and locally grown foods (Walljasper and Polansek 2020, Shilton 2020). In our July 2020 study of US consumers, about 30% indicated

at least a portion of their food was homegrown or obtained locally from either a farmer's market or community-supported agriculture (Bender et al. 2020). However, the implications for waste are again mixed. Growing your own food or acquiring it via direct marketing channels suggests more involvement with the food, which reduces psychological distance and could reduce the amount wasted. However, perishable produce, particularly when received in bulk, may be at risk for waste. Respondents to an April 2020 study of households in the US and China reported fruits and vegetables as the most common foods thrown away during the pandemic (Dou et al. 2020). This is unsurprising given the perishable nature of fruits and vegetables combined with the reported decrease in frequency of food shopping trips.

In response to simultaneous supply chain disruptions (Hobbs 2020) and an increase in household food insecurity spurred by the COVID-19 pandemic (Ahn and Norwood 2020, Gundersen et al. 2020, Ziliak 2020), the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) introduced the Farmers to Families Food Box program that distributed more than 32 million food boxes during May and June of 2020, with additional distributions planned for the summer of 2020 (USDA 2020). Boxes contain an assortment of produce, dairy, and/or meat chosen by participating vendors and delivered to interested consumers through familiar touchpoints in the emergency food system (food banks, food pantries, churches, and other non-profits). The launch of this program likely reduced waste at the farm and distributor level, as the reduction in demand from foodservice channels yielded surpluses that may have otherwise resulted in items being discarded or used for purposes other than human nutrition (Yaffe-Bellany and Corkery 2020).

However, distribution of boxes where the assortment of foods is selected by the vendor rather than by the patron may increase waste compared to emergency food outlets that permit patrons to select their own combination of items. For example, Pruden et al. (2020) note that

emergency food clients who receive fixed bundles of food (i.e., bundles chosen by the local agency) report using 12 percentage points less of the distributed food than clients who were permitted autonomy of food choice. Hence, actions by USDA and participating emergency food providers that increase the variety or customizability of these boxes to meet patron preferences may ensure fewer items are wasted in patron homes.

Other temporary measures are being taken to reduce barriers for direct-to-consumer sales and donations in the midst of COVID-induced supply chain disruptions. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) relaxed regulations around package labeling so that foods intended for preparation in restaurants and by food manufacturers can be sold directly to consumers instead. These packages are often larger and may lack consumer-facing labels such as nutrition labels and handling instructions. The FDA is temporarily allowing these unlabeled packages to be sold so long as the information is displayed at the point of purchase (FDA 2020). As with the Farmers to Families Food Box program, these measures will likely reduce upstream waste but could increase consumer waste. Previous research has shown that package size, ease of use, and date labeling all contribute to consumer food waste (Williams et al. 2012, Roe et al. 2018). While a lack of date labels could reduce waste (Roe et al. 2018a), larger and bulkier packages will likely lead to a net increase in consumer waste (Williams et al. 2012).

### *Shifts to at-home meal preparation*

As the COVID-19 pandemic spread and stay-at-home orders went into effect across the United States, consumer behavior changed drastically. One well documented behavior change is the shift to at-home meal preparation and consumption, with 60% of consumers reporting cooking at home more as a result of COVID-19 (IFIC 2020c). Prior research has shown minimal plate

waste when people prepare meals at home compared to meals provided in a foodservice setting (Roe et al. 2018b), suggesting that a greater reliance on home prepared meals can reduce food waste created at the end of meals.

However, plate waste is only one source of food waste in homes and foodservice. Ongoing research by two of the authors finds that plate waste constitutes less than 40% of all waste created by a sample of US households on a daily basis, with the remaining waste created during food preparation (e.g., trimming and tossing broccoli stalks) and food clean out settings (e.g., tossing out uneaten leftovers from the refrigerator), which is similar to figures documented by Quedstedt and Murphy (2014) in the UK. We are unaware of any published research in foodservice settings that documents the amount of plate waste (i.e., front-of-house waste) relative to preparation and storage waste (i.e., back-of-house waste) in the same study. For one foodservice setting – K-12 cafeterias – when we compare across disparate studies, it appears that plate waste exceeds back-of-house waste on a per-meal basis. Specifically, Prescott et al. (2019) measure about 44 grams of back-of-house food waste for each meal produced in several Colorado K-12 cafeterias while Bergman et al. (2004) find plate waste of 157 to 223 g/meal (Bergman et al. 2004) and Adams et al. (2007) find produce waste alone of 46 to 81 g/meal.

Though no similar data exists in other foodservice settings to document the relative waste between front-of-house and back-of-house sources, we note that, compared to home-prepared meals, restaurants and foodservice entities likely waste less food than home cooks during preparation as many foodservice ingredients are packaged to minimize waste (e.g., pre-portioned items in quick service restaurant formats) or prepared by skilled professionals under an explicit cost-minimizing objective that seeks to maximize back-of-house efficiency (e.g., reducing waste by careful preparation, meal planning, and storage practices in full-service restaurant settings).

Hence, we predict that shifting to more meals prepared at home in place of meals from foodservice is likely to create less plate waste but more waste during preparation and food management. The net effect of this shift on overall food waste is unknown, but in the short run (e.g., March and April of 2020), a net increase in waste was likely as consumers refined their home food management and cooking skills – a topic we touch on in the section on dynamic implications of the COVID-19 pandemic.

#### *Dynamic and longer run considerations*

While the changes spurred by the onset of COVID-19 occurred quickly, some effects may be long lasting through dynamics that unfold over the months and years to follow. One change that has been hypothesized is that of consumer home production capability with respect to food. That is, with the additional reliance on home-prepared meals and, for some, additional in-home time due to fewer work and commuting hours, consumers may have increased their effectiveness as a home chef and meal planner, which could yield longer term reductions in waste (Graham-Rowe 2014, WRAP 2007).

For example, in April of 2020, WRAP (2020) found that the average UK consumer reported undertaking six new food management behaviors that support reductions in food waste (e.g., pre-shop planning) more often since the onset of COVID-19 lockdowns, while nearly 80% reported undertaking at least one of those behaviors more often. The authors found that at least a third of respondents to a July 2020 survey assess that their cooking skills have improved during the three-plus months since the onset of COVID-19 while even more report an improvement in their own food management skills (Bender et al. 2020).

These may be home production skills that persist and yield long-term reductions in waste, though skills learned may also be forgotten (Benkard 2000), particularly in areas where restaurant and foodservice facilities resumed operations rapidly after initial lockdowns. The likelihood of long-term adoption of food management practices and in-home cooking may, in fact, depend on the course of the pandemic itself; the longer stay-at-home orders are in place, or the more frequently they are reinstated over the next months and years, the more likely long-term adoption of these new habits will occur.

Another feature of the lockdown was a shift in household size and composition, with about one-fifth of Americans having relocated or knowing someone who has relocated due to COVID-19, often creating larger households in the process (Pew Foundation 2020). This includes about 10% of adults between 18 and 29 years of age changing residences as a result of the pandemic, with many of them returning to homes of parents and relatives (Pew Foundation 2020). Most analyses of household food waste suggest that per-capita waste is lower in larger households as there are simply more people and hence opportunities for acquired food to be used for nutrition prior to quality decay and because package sizes often favor medium to large households over single-person households (Hebrok and Boks 2017; Schanes, Dobernig and Gozet 2018; Thyberg and Tonjes 2016).

While this may hold across established households, to our best knowledge the effect of transitions in household size on food waste are not documented. We predict that transitions, for example, where students pre-maturely returned home from college, may temporarily increase food waste as items may have been left to decay at the students' school residences, while provisioning in the absorbing household may have taken several weeks to adjust appropriately with a greater

chance of waste from overprovisioning or acquisition of items not favored by new and returning household members.

Just as household membership may have shifted during lockdown, so too may have household food storage capacity. We found in July 2020 that more than a quarter of respondents had increased refrigerated storage capacity and more than 10% had increased frozen storage capacity since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic (Bender et al. 2020). These are durable investments that will likely increase long-term storage of refrigerated and frozen items. Indeed, in the same July 2020 survey, more than 40% of respondents reported an increased amount of refrigerated or frozen food in storage due to the COVID-19 pandemic, with more than a quarter reporting increases in both refrigerated and frozen food.

If consumers continue to waste refrigerated and frozen items at the same (pre-COVID) rate, then a higher carrying capacity will mean higher total waste. Put another way, consumers will have to enact improvements in their refrigerated and frozen storage efficiency in order to ensure total waste from refrigerated and frozen sources does not increase. Larger refrigerators and freezers can mean more territory in which items can become lost, though increased freezer capacity in particular can reduce waste if used properly.

## **Conclusions**

Some of the household changes precipitated by the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic hold the potential to enhance the efficiency of the household food production process and reduce the overall amount of food wasted by consumers. For example, with more time available as hours worked and commuting time have decreased, many US consumers report an improvement in their cooking and food management skills (Bender et al. 2020). Decreasing employment and earnings

along with higher food prices can heighten the incentive for tighter home management, which may include less food waste, outcomes consistent with comparative statics from household production models of food waste (Ellison et al. 2020, Hamilton and Richards 2019, Katare et al. 2017, Lusk and Ellison 2017, Qi 2018). In fact, a past review of the literature concludes that employment is generally correlated with higher levels of consumer food waste than unemployment (Schanes et al. 2018).

Indeed, when WRAP (2020) surveyed consumers from around the UK in April of 2020 using food waste tracking questions consistently administered over the past several years, respondents reported the waste rate for key items such as bread, milk, chicken and potatoes declined to 14% from a November 2019 high of 24%. Respondents were also asked if they were discarding more or less food than usual in the past month, and 36% said less than usual, with only 4% reporting more than usual. These findings are consistent with empirical work conducted by Landry and Smith (2019) using data from the late 1970's in the United States. They identified food waste as a luxury output of the household production process that was elastic to the price of food; hence the higher food prices and diminished incomes prevalent in the post-COVID United States should translate to less food waste.

While COVID-19 may provide a silver lining in terms of the everyday creation of food waste, the figures from the UK and the 1970's data used in Landry and Smith likely miss intermittent food purges, which Parizeau et al. (2015) note represent large and critical elements of overall food waste figures. Also, it is unclear if the trends documented in the UK and in 1970's United States homes translate to the modern US household experience.

While a survey similar to the WRAP (2020) study has not been conducted in the United States, the IFIC (2020a) study, which was also conducted in April 2020, reveals some differences

between US and UK food purchasing habits, which may challenge simple translation of UK trends to the United States. For example, in the United States frozen food purchases increased by 31% while 15% reported purchasing fewer fresh fruits and vegetables. In the UK, frozen food purchases increased much less (from a 3% increase in fruit to a 19% increase in vegetables) while fresh fruit and vegetable consumption increased. If COVID-induced changes in food purchasing patterns differed between the UK and US, so too might COVID-induced changes in food waste patterns.

Our conjecture is that the multiple stresses caused by the COVID-19 pandemic have stimulated improvements in household efficiency and resulted in less food waste as part of “the new daily routine” that so many households have created in response to changes. However, the onset of COVID-19 (and the likely re-emergence of such disruptions until a vaccine is widely adopted) may induce more transitions, ranging from panic-buying at the onset of stay-at-home orders, to changes in work schedules, living arrangements, food storage capacity, and foodservice outlet availability. These transitions likely stimulate more waste of food that may only appear during intermittent clean outs or purges of home food storage areas. For non-perishable and frozen items, these clean outs might not occur for many months or even years.

We believe several immediate opportunities exist to combat some of the consumer-level COVID-related food waste issues highlighted above. First, we recommend that local agencies devise campaigns that urge households to scan their stocks of non-perishable shelf-stable items and donate or share items purchased (or in the case of home gardening, planted) in panic but, in retrospect, do not work well for their household. Second, we would urge USDA and local emergency food agencies to work to further customize contents of food boxes to ensure a match to the preferences of patrons. This works both to reduce food waste as well as to enhance the

autonomy of those who find themselves dependent upon the emergency food system. Finally, with more consumers cooking at home and limited dine-out options, educational efforts focused on food management, cooking and preservation skills may be better received and retained now more than ever.

## References

- Adams, M.A., R.L. Pelletier, M. M. Zive, and J.F. Sallis. 2005. Salad Bars and Fruit and Vegetable Consumption in Elementary Schools: A Plate Waste Study. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 105 (11):1789-1792.
- Ahn, S., and B. Norwood. 2020. Measuring Food Insecurity During the Covid-19 Pandemic of Spring 2020. *Applied Economics Policy and Perspectives*, this issue.
- Bender, K., Y. Shu, A. Badiger, D.R. Heldman, D. Qi, and B.E. Roe. 2020. The State of the American Refrigerator: July 2020. Technical Report, Ohio State University Food Waste Collaborative, available online at: <https://cpb-us-w2.wpmucdn.com/u.osu.edu/dist/9/40885/files/2020/07/The-State-of-the-American-Refrigerator-2020-PDF.pdf> (accessed 7 August 2020).
- Benkard, C.L. 2000. Learning and Forgetting: The Dynamics of Aircraft Production. *American Economic Review* 90 (4): 1034-1054.
- Bergman, E.A., N.S. Buerger, T.F. Englund, and A. Femrite. 2004. The Relationship of Meal and Recess Schedules to Plate Waste in Elementary Schools. *Journal of Child Nutrition & Management* 28 (2): 1-10.
- Chetty, R., J.N. Friedman, N. Hendren, and M. Stepner. 2020. Real-Time Economics: A New Platform to Track The Impacts of COVID-19 on People, Businesses, and Communities Using Private Sector Data. Working paper no. w27280, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Dou, Z., D. Stefanovski, D. Galligan, M. Lindem, P. Rozin, T. Chen, T., and A.M. Chao. 2020. The COVID-19 Pandemic Impacting Household Food Dynamics: A Cross-National Comparison of China and the U.S. Working paper, May 23. Available online at: <https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/64jwy> (accessed 14 July 2020).

- Ellison, B., B. McFadden, B. Rickard, and N. Wilson. 2020. Food Loss and Waste in the United States during COVID-19. In CAST Commentary: Economic Impacts of COVID-19 on Food and Agricultural Markets. Available online at: <https://www.cast-science.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/06/QTA2020-3-COVID-Impacts.pdf> (accessed 7 August 2020).
- Gillman, A., D.C. Campbell, and E.S. Spang. 2019. Does On-farm Food Loss Prevent Waste? Insights from California Produce Growers. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 150, p.104408.
- Graham-Rowe, E., D.C. Jessop, and P. Sparks. 2014. Identifying Motivations and Barriers to Minimising Household Food Waste. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 84: 15-23.
- Gundersen, C., M. Hake, A. Dewey, and E. Engelhard. 2020. Food Insecurity during COVID-19. *Applied Economics Policy and Perspectives*, this issue.
- Hamilton, S.F. and T.J. Richards. 2019. Food Policy and Household Food Waste. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 101 (2): 600-614.
- Hebrok, M., and C. Boks. 2017. Household Food Waste: Drivers and Potential Intervention Points for Design – An Extensive Review. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 151: 380-392.
- Hobbs, J. E. 2020. Food Supply Chains During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie*. [Available online at: https://doi.org/10.1111/cjag.12237](https://doi.org/10.1111/cjag.12237) (accessed 14 July 2020).
- Ilyuk, V. 2018. Like Throwing a Piece of Me Away: How Online and In-Store Grocery Purchase Channels Affect Consumers' Food Waste. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* 41: 20-30.

- International Food Information Council (IFIC) 2020a. COVID-19: Impact on Food Purchasing, Eating Behaviors and Perceptions of Food Safety. Available online at: <https://foodinsight.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/COVID-19-Consumer-Research.April2020.pdf> (accessed 7 July 2020).
- International Food Information Council (IFIC) 2020b. COVID-19: May 2020, a Second Look at COVID-19's Impact on Food Purchasing, Eating Behaviors and Perceptions of Food Safety. Available online at: <https://foodinsight.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/IFIC-COVID-19-May-2020.pdf> (accessed 7 July 2020).
- International Food Information Council (IFIC) 2020c. 2020 Food & Health Survey. Available online at: <https://foodinsight.org/2020-food-and-health-survey/> (accessed 7 July 2020).
- Katare, B., D. Serebrennikov, , H.H. Wang, and M. Wetzstein. 2017. Social-optimal Household Food Waste: Taxes and Government Incentives. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 99 (2): 499-509.
- Kuruppu, G. N., and A. De Zoysa. 2020. COVID-19 and Panic Buying: An Examination of the Impact of Behavioural Biases. Working paper SS-HO-D-20-00393. Available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3596101> (accessed 7 July 2020).
- Landry, C.E., and T.A. Smith. 2019. Demand for Household Food Waste. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* 41(1): 20-36.
- Lee, K.C., 2018. Grocery Shopping, Food Waste, and the Retail Landscape of Cities: The Case of Seoul. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 172: 325-334.
- Lusk, J.L., and B. Ellison. 2017. A Note on Modelling Household Food Waste Behaviour. *Applied Economics Letters*, 24(16):1199-1202.
- Parizeau, K., M. von Massow. and R. Martin. 2015. Household-level Dynamics of Food Waste

- Production and Related Beliefs, Attitudes, and Behaviours in Guelph, Ontario. *Waste Management* 35: 207-217.
- Pew Foundation. 2020. About a Fifth of U.S. Adults Moved Due to COVID-19 or Know Someone Who Did. July 6. Available online at: <https://pewrsr.ch/2Z4sqP3> (accessed 7 July 2020).
- Pitts, S.B.J., S.W. Ng, J.L. Blitstein, A. Gustafson, and M. Niculescu. 2018. Online Grocery Shopping: Promise and Pitfalls for Healthier Food and Beverage Purchases. *Public Health Nutrition* 21 (18): 3360-3376.
- Prescott, M.P., C. Herritt, M. Bunning, and L. Cunningham-Sabo. 2019. Resources, Barriers, and Tradeoffs: A Mixed Methods Analysis of School Pre-Consumer Food Waste. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics* 119 (8): 1270-1283.
- Pruden, B., L. Poirier, B. Gunen, R. Park, S. Hinman, L. Daniel, Y. Gu, N. Katragadda, J. Weiss, and J. Gittelsohn. 2020. Client Choice Distribution Model Is Associated with Less Leftover Food in Urban Food Pantries. *Current Developments in Nutrition* 4 (Supplement 2): 266-266.
- Qi, D., 2018. Three Essays on the Economics of Food Waste. Doctoral dissertation, The Ohio State University.
- Quested T, and L. Murphy. 2014. Household Food and Drink Waste: A Product Focus. United Kingdom: Banbury (UK): Waste and Resources Action Programme. Available online at: [https://www.wrap.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/Product-focused%20report%20v5\\_3.pdf](https://www.wrap.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/Product-focused%20report%20v5_3.pdf) (accessed 14 July 2020).
- ReFED, A., 2016. A Roadmap to Reduce US Food Waste by 20 Percent. Boston. Available online at: [https://www.refed.com/downloads/ReFED\\_Report\\_2016.pdf](https://www.refed.com/downloads/ReFED_Report_2016.pdf) (accessed on 7 July 2020).

- Restrepo, B., M. Rabbit, and C. Gregory, 2020. The Effect of Unemployment on Food Spending and Adequacy: Evidence from Coronavirus-Induced Firm Closures. *Applied Economics Policy and Perspectives*, this issue.
- Richards, T.J., and B. Rickard. 2020. COVID-19 Impact on Fruit and Vegetable Markets. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue Canadienne d'Agroeconomie*. Available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/cjag.12231> (accessed 7 July 2020).
- Roe, B.E., J.W. Apolzan, D. Qi, H.R. Allen, and C.K. Martin. 2018b. Plate Waste of Adults in the United States Measured in Free-Living Conditions. *PLoS ONE* 13 (2), p.e0191813.
- Roe, B.E., D.M. Phinney, C.T. Simons, A.S. Badiger, K.E. Bender, and D.R. Heldman. 2018a. Discard Intentions are Lower for Milk Presented in Containers without Date Labels. *Food Quality and Preference* 66: 13-18.
- Schanes, K., K. Dobernig, and B. Gözet. 2018. Food Waste Matters - A Systematic Review of Household Food Waste Practices and Their Policy Implications. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 182: 978-991.
- Shilton, A.C. 2020. Here's Why CSAs are Thriving During the Pandemic. *The Counter*, April 28. Available online at: <https://thecounter.org/csa-sales-struggling-before-coronavirus-covid-19/> (accessed 11 July 2020).
- Thyberg, K.L., and D.J. Tonjes. 2016. Drivers of Food Waste and Their Implications for Sustainable Policy Development. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 106: 110-123.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2020. USDA Farmers to Families Food Box. Available online at: <https://www.ams.usda.gov/selling-food-to-usda/farmers-to-families-food-box> (accessed 8 July 2020).
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Temporary Policy Regarding Nutrition Labeling of

- Certain Packaged Food During the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency. March 26. Available online at: <https://www.fda.gov/media/136469/download> (accessed 10 July 2020).
- Walljasper, C., and T. Polansek. 2020. Home Gardening Blooms around the World During Coronavirus Lockdowns. *Reuters, Sustainable Business*, April 20. Available online at: <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-gardens/home-gardening-blooms-around-the-world-during-coronavirus-lockdowns-idUSKBN2220D3> (accessed 10 July 2020).
- Wansink, B., S.A. Brasel, and S. Amjad. 2000. The Mystery of The Cabinet Castaway: Why We Buy Products We Never Use. *Journal of Family and Consumer Sciences*, 92 (1): 104 - 108.
- Williams, H., F. Wikström, T. Otterbring, M. Löfgren, and A Gustafsson. 2012. Reasons for Household Food Waste with Special Attention to Packaging. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 24: 141-148.
- Waste and Resources Action Programme (WRAP). 2007. Brook Lyndhurst. Food Behavior Consumer Research: Quantitative Phase. Available online at: <https://www.wrap.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/Food%20behaviour%20consumer%20research%20quantitative%20jun%202007.pdf> (accessed 13 July 2020).
- Waste and Resources Action Programme (WRAP). 2020. The COVID-19 Lockdown - Food Purchasing, Management and Waste. Banbury. Available online at: [https://wrap.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/Citizen\\_responses\\_to\\_the\\_Covid-19\\_lockdown\\_0.pdf](https://wrap.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/Citizen_responses_to_the_Covid-19_lockdown_0.pdf) (accessed 13 July 2020).
- Yaffe-Bellany, D., and M Corkery, M. 2020. Dumped Milk, Smashed Eggs, Plowed Vegetables:

Food Waste of the Pandemic. *New York Times*, April 11.

Ziliak, J.P. 2020. Food Hardship during the Covid-19 Pandemic and Great Recession. *Applied Economics Policy and Perspectives*, this issue.

Zumback, L. 2020. Online Shopping Won't Get You the Hard-to-Find Items During

Coronavirus. *Chicago Tribune*, March 24. Available online at:

<https://www.chicagotribune.com/coronavirus/ct-coronavirus-online-grocery-orders-spike-20200324-xzno3osegrh3jkjvhtlevx2vva-story.html> (accessed 8 August 2020).