Mapping of the Functional Interconnections Between Thalamic Reticular Neurons Using Photostimulation
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Lam, Ying-Wan, Christopher S. Nelson, and S. Murray Sherman. Mapping of the functional interconnections between thalamic reticular neurons using photostimulation. J Neurophysiol 96: 2593–2600, 2006. First published July 19, 2006; doi:10.1152/jn.00555.2006. The thalamic reticular nucleus is strategically located in the axonal pathways between thalamus and cortex, and reticular cells exert strong, topographic inhibition on thalamic relay cells. Although evidence exists that reticular neurons are interconnected through conventional and electrical synapses, the spatial extent and relative strength of these synapses are unclear. To address these issues, we used uncaging of glutamate by laser-scanning photostimulation to provide precisely localized and consistent activation of reticular cell bodies and dendrites in an in vitro slice preparation from the rat as a means to study reticulo-reticular connections. Among the 47 recorded reticular neurons, 29 (62%) received GABAergic axodendritic input from an area immediately surrounding each of the recorded cell bodies, and 8 (17%) responded with depolarizing spikelets, suggesting inputs through electrical synapses. We also found that TTX completely blocked all evoked IPSCs, implying that any dendrodendritic synapses between reticular cells either are relatively weak, have no nearby glutamatergic receptors, or are dependent on back-propagation of action potentials. Finally, we showed that the GABAergic connections between reticular cells are weaker than those from reticular cells to relay cells. Our results suggest that the GABAergic axodendritic synapse is the dominant form of reticulo-reticular connectivity, and because they are much weaker than the reticulo-relay cell synapses, their functional purpose may be to regulate the spatial extent of the reticular inhibition on relay cells.

INTRODUCTION

The thalamic reticular nucleus is strategically located in the axonal pathways between thalamus and cortex, and reticular cells receive inputs from collaterals of both thalamocortical and corticothalamic axons. These reticular cells, in turn, provide a GABAergic, inhibitory input to thalamic relay cells (reviewed in Sherman and Guillery 2006). These relationships allow the thalamic reticular nucleus to play a crucial role in the thalamic relay of information to cortex (reviewed in Guillery and Harting 2003; Guillery et al. 1998; Pinault et al. 1997; Yen et al. 1985); and electrical synapses through gap junctions (Landisman and Connors 2005; Landisman et al. 2002). While these forms of interconnectivity seem well established, the extent and strength of the interconnections remain unclear, especially for the chemical synapses involving GABA (Landisman and Connors 2005; Landisman et al. 2002; Pinault et al. 1995a,b). Our goal in this experiment was to use the technique of local activation of reticular cells by the method of uncaging of glutamate through laser photostimulation to determine the extent and strength of these local intrareticular connections. We studied these connections in the region of the rat’s thalamic reticular nucleus associated with the relay of somatosensory information.

METHODS

Preparation of thalamic slices

All animal procedures followed the animal care guidelines of the University of Chicago. All experiments were performed on thalamic slices taken from young rats (10–12 days postnatal, except for those in relay cells of the lateral geniculate nucleus, which were 15–20 days postnatal). To obtain the slices, each animal was deeply anesthetized by inhalation of isoflurane, and its brain was quickly removed and chilled in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF), which contained (in mM) 125 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 1 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2, 25 NaHCO3, and 25 glucose. Tissue slices (400 μm) were cut using a vibrating tissue slicer, transferred to a holding chamber containing oxygenated physiological saline maintained at 30°C, and incubated for 1 h before recording. The slices were either cut in the horizontal plane or in the plane appropriate for an intact thalamocortical slice (Agmon and Connors 1991; Reichova and Sherman 2004). Slices containing the lateral geniculate nucleus (Fig. 4) were prepared in a similar way and cut in coronal sections.

Physiological recording

Whole cell recordings were performed using a visualized slice setup (Cox and Sherman 2000; Lam and Sherman 2005). Recording pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries and had tip diameters between 1 and 2 μm. For electrophysiological experiments, GABAergic input to reticular neurons was ascertained by evoking IPSCs using a depolarizing current pulse while recording simultaneously with a second patch from a nearby cell. The GABAergic nature of the IPSCs was inferred from the reversal potential of the IPSC being close to the chloride equilibrium potential, and the GABAergic origin of the IPSCs was confirmed by block with the GABA-A antagonist bicuculline. The differences between the results presented here and previous results (Sherman and Guillery 2006) can be explained by the difference in the type of preparation, the use of a local uncaging technique, and the differences in results obtained for the lateral geniculate (Lam and Sherman 2005) and thalamic reticular nucleus. The preparation of slices was performed in a similar manner to previous studies (Cox and Sherman 2000; Lam and Sherman 2005). The data were analyzed with pClamp 10.2 software.
resistances of 4–8 MΩ when filled with solution (termed hereafter the pipette solution). For most cells, this solution was in (mM) 117 Cs-glucuronate, 13 CsCl, 2 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 2 Na₂-ATP, and 0.3 Na-GTP. Biocytin (0.4%) was included in the pipette in a few experiments for histological characterization of the reticular neurons. We typically recorded in voltage-clamp mode, but occasionally used current-clamp recordings. In most cases involving voltage-clamp recording, the K⁺ channel blocker, Cs⁺, was used in the pipette solution to suppress I_k,leak and thus help maintain the holding voltage at 0 mV. In other cases, we replaced the Cs⁺ with K⁺ or Na⁺ (final concentration in mM: 135 K-gluconate, 7 NaCl, 2 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 2 Na₂-ATP, and 0.3 Na-GTP). The pH of the pipette solution was adjusted to 7.3 with CsOH (or KOH in cases where we used K⁺ or Na⁺ instead of Cs⁺) or gluconic acid, and the osmolality was 280–290 mOsm.

Recordings were obtained using an Axopatch 200B (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). The amplitudes of IPSCs were maximized by holding the cells at 0 mV (Cox and Sherman 2000; Lam and Sherman 2005). The access resistance of the cells was constantly monitored throughout the recordings (>1 h for most experiments), and recordings were limited to neurons with a stable access of <30 MΩ throughout the experiment. Effects of antagonists (SR 95531, CGP 46381, TTX, and carbenoxolone) were assayed by bath-application of the drugs. The GABA antagonists SR 95531 (gabazine) and CGP 46381 were purchased from Tocris (Ellisville, MO). All the other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Photostimulation

We used our previously described methods for photostimulation (Lam and Sherman 2005). Data acquisition and photostimulation were controlled by a program written in Matlab (MathWorks, Natllick, MA) developed in the laboratory of Karel Svoboda (Shepherd et al. 2003). Nitroindolinyl (NI)-caged glutamate (Sigma-RBI; Canepari et al. 2001) was added to recirculating ACSF to a concentration of 0.39 mM during recording. Focal photolysis of the caged glutamate was accomplished by a pulsed UV laser (355 nm wavelength, frequency-tripled Nd:YVO₄, 100-kHz pulse repetition rate, DPSS Laser, San Jose, CA). A schematic illustration of the optics: the laser beam was directed into the side port of an Olympus microscope (BX50WI) using UV-enhanced aluminum mirrors (Thorlabs, Newton, NJ) and a pair of mirror galvanometers (Cambridge Technology, Cambridge, MA) and focused onto the brain slice using a low-magnification objective (4x0.1 Plan, Olympus). Angles of the galvanometers were computer controlled and determined the position stimulated by the laser. The optics was designed to generate a nearly cylindrical beam in the slice to keep the mapping two dimensional (Shepherd et al. 2003). The Q-switch of the laser and a shutter (LS3-ZM2, Vincent Associate, Rochester, NY) controlled the timing of the laser pulse for stimulation. A variable neutral density wheel (Edmund, Barrington, NJ) controlled the power of the laser at different levels during experiments by attenuating the intensity of the laser. A thin microscope coverslip in the laser path reflected a small portion of the laser onto a photodiode. The current output from this photodiode was amplified, acquired by the computer, and used to monitor the laser intensity during the experiment. Photodiode output was calibrated to laser power when we set up the optical equipment: the laser power at the back focal plane of the objective was measured using a power meter (Thorlabs).

Figure 1A is a schematic diagram of the optics of the laser-scanning photostimulation setup used in this study. B: photomicrograph of the slice preparation superimposed with a diagram of photostimulation pattern. We recorded from neurons of the thalamic reticular nucleus in horizontal (shown here) or thalamocortical slices of rat. Semitransparent bands seen in this and other photomicrographs are threads used to tether the slice. Each red circle in the rectangular array indicates a location at which the laser is focused during the mapping trials. Spot locations are stimulated in a distributed manner, and positions of the 1st 5 trials are indicated. Dotted lines in this and other photomicrographs indicate borders of thalamic reticular nucleus, with ventral posterior lateral nucleus to the left and internal capsule to the right. C: anatomical relationships of relevant nuclei shown schematically at smaller scale (VPM, ventral posterior medial nucleus; VPL, ventral posterior lateral nucleus; TRN, thalamic reticular nucleus; IC, internal capsule). Area shown in photomicrographs in B and D is indicated by the red rectangle. D: spatial specificity of photostimulation. Responses of a neuron in current-clamp mode to photostimulation at 128 positions are arranged in an 8 × 16 matrix. In this example, photostimulation evoked action potentials in an elliptical area surrounding the soma of the recorded neuron. Laser power was 15 mW at the back focal plane of the microscope objective. As in B, dotted lines indicate position of thalamic reticular nucleus.
during experiments. The standard stimulation pattern for mapping the reticular input consisted of 128 positions in an 8 × 16 array, with 50 μm between adjacent rows and columns (Fig. 1B, red circles). To avoid receptor desensitization, local caged-glutamate depletion, and excitotoxicity, stimulation of these positions were arranged in a sequence that maximized the distance between consecutive trials (Fig. 1B). The light stimulus was 2 ms long, which consisted of 200 laser pulses. The time interval between photostimuli was 5 s. The laser power used (measured at the back-focal plane of the objective) ranged from 7 to 25 mW. We did not see any change of the recording quality during experiments that suggested damage from the photostimulation.

Data analysis

Responses were analyzed using programs written in Matlab. For presentation of the data, traces of 200 ms recording immediately after the photostimulation were superimposed on a photomicrograph of the slice and recording pipette (see RESULTS). The photomicrographs were taken without using differential infrared-contrast (DIC) and therefore brain regions including an extensive fiber representation, such as the internal capsule or ventral posterior lateral nucleus, appeared dark because of the high contrast settings of the video camera as shown in a number of figures below. The above-mentioned traces were arranged into a 8 × 16 array and placed where the laser was focused during the stimulation, so that the reticular area that projected to the recorded neuron could be visualized as that with a large upward current (IPSCs) in these traces. Differences between the IPSCs evoked were compared using Student’s t-test.

RESULTS

We recorded from a total of 47 neurons of the thalamic reticular nucleus. For 35 neurons, the slice was cut in the horizontal plane, and in the other 12, we used the plane appropriate for thalamocortical connections as described by Agmon and Connors (1991; see also Reichova and Sherman 2004). We saw no major differences resulting from the different planes of section.

Spatial specificity of photostimulation

We were initially interested in the spatial extent of the region from which photostimulation could elicit direct depolarization of a cell. Figure 1C shows a typical experiment, showing the response in current clamp of a reticular neuron to photostimulation at the laser power commonly used (15 mW at the back focal plane of the microscope objective). Photostimulation of spots in a roughly elliptical region around the cell body elicited large depolarizations and long trains of action potentials. We estimated the size of the region from which direct responses were elicited in the recorded neuron by visually identifying traces with detectable depolarization; the average dimensions from a total of three experiments were 233 ± 76 × 100 ± 0 (SD) μm, suggesting a high spatial specificity of photostimulation even with the relatively high laser powers we used.

Response of reticular neurons to photostimulation within the thalamic reticular nucleus

The middle column of Fig. 2 shows 200 ms of the recorded responses immediately after photostimulation arranged in an 8 × 16 array and superimposed on a photomicrograph of the slice at the location where the laser is focused. These responses are moderately enlarged in the right column in the area immediately surrounding the soma, and the left column shows full traces at selected locations. As expected from the preceding paragraph, with voltage-clamp recording, photostimulation near the soma of reticular neurons evoked prominent inward currents (Fig. 2A, left column, blue trace). However, in 29 of the 47 (61%) recorded neurons, somewhat more eccentric photostimulation evoked biphasic responses that consisted of a late outward (hyperpolarizing) current after an early inward (depolarizing) current (Fig. 2A, left column, black trace). More eccentric photostimulation evoked an outward current with little or no preceding inward current (Fig. 2A, left column, red trace). The outward currents were judged to be GABAAergic inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) because they were

FIG. 2. Responses in voltage-clamp mode to direct photostimulation of reticular neuron. Laser power used in these experiments was 15 mW. Middle column: photomicrograph of slice preparation, and superimposed on it are responses to photostimulation at 128 positions, arranged in an 8 × 16 array. Dotted lines indicate location of thalamic reticular nucleus. Right column: responses within dotted rectangles of middle column at a larger scale. Left column: at an even larger scale, recordings of selected trials indicated by arrows from middle column. A: control photostimulation, which evoked biphasic depolarization/hyperpolarization responses in an elliptical area surrounding the cell body. B: outward (hyperpolarizing) currents eliminated by application of GABA_A antagonist, SR 95531. C: return of outward currents after washing out GABA_A antagonist.
experiments carried out in the two different planes of section—where the IPSCs were large and the direct depolarization was detectable effect on the remaining responses in four of these experiments.

As can be seen in the right column of Fig. 2, the region in which synaptic responses could be evoked by photostimulation generally consisted of a small elliptical area immediately around the recorded neuron. The region in which IPSCs could be evoked was visually identified, and the size was measured for each experiment. IPSCs were detected in 22 of 35 (63%) experiments using horizontal slices, and the areas measured 366 ± 21 (SE) μm medio-laterally and 180 ± 11 μm dorso-caudally. IPSCs were detected in 7 of the 12 (58%) experiments using thalamocortical slices, and the average size of the areas in which they could be evoked was 350 ± 22 and 200 ± 19 μm. The areas were not significantly different for the experiments carried out in the two different planes of sectioning (Student’s t-test, df = 27, P > 0.68 for the long axis and P > 0.35 for the short axis).

Comparison of reticulo-reticular and reticular-relay cell synapses

We had previously used photostimulation to assess certain properties of the synapses between these reticular cells and relay cells of the ventral posterior lateral nucleus (Lam and Sherman 2005). After comparing those IPSCs with those evoked in this study, it seemed clear that the synaptic coupling between neighboring reticular neurons is weaker than that between reticular and relay neurons. Figure 3 provides a comparison of the two pathways, and Fig. 3, A and B, shows how data were selected for comparison. First, for reticulo-reticular IPSCs, we measured and averaged the peak values of the IPSCs evoked by photostimulation as described above on both sides ~100 μm mediolaterally from the recording site, where the IPSCs were large and the direct depolarization was relatively small (Fig. 3A, left, arrows for the photostimulation sites and red star for the recording site); these average IPSC amplitudes were plotted against the laser power used (Fig. 3, C and D, △). For comparison with our previously published results on the reticular to relay cell IPSCs, we include present data only from the 35 reticular cells (including those from which we failed to detect any evoked IPSCs) for which we used the same horizontal plane of sectioning as previously (Lam and Sherman 2005). Data from 52 relay neurons of the ventral posterior lateral nucleus were taken from Lam and Sherman (2005). The centroids (Fig. 3B, left, blue stars) of the reticular input footprint to these relay neurons were calculated as previously described (Lam and Sherman 2005). The peak values of the IPSCs evoked by photostimulation either at the
Effects of TTX on photostimulation responses

Electron microscopic examination of the thalamic reticular nucleus has suggested the presence of dendrodendritic synapses between reticular neurons (Deschênes et al. 1985; Pinault et al. 1997; Yen et al. 1985). Dendrodendritic synapses also exist in the lateral geniculate nucleus between the dendrites of interneurons and relay cells in the rat and cat (reviewed in Jones 1985; Sherman and Guillery 2006). Those synapses can be activated in the presence of TTX, implying that action potentials are not needed to produce transmitter release, provided local depolarization of the presynaptic element occurs (Cox and Sherman 2000; Lam et al. 2005). We sought to determine whether dendrodendritic synapses in the thalamic reticular nucleus could also be activated in the presence of TTX. Figure 4 shows our ability to observe TTX-independent IPSCs in relay cells of the lateral geniculate nucleus using photostimulation. Geniculate neurons were recorded in voltage-clamp mode and photostimulated at 128 positions in an 8 × 16 array (Fig. 4, middle) roughly centered on the recording site. Photostimulation evoked a late outward (hyperpolarizing) current that was not completely blocked by 1 μM TTX (Fig. 4, right). Selected traces are also shown in larger scale (Fig. 4, left). A similar TTX-independent outward current was observed in all five geniculate neurons tested. In the context of our earlier studies of this effect, we interpret these outward currents as GABAergic IPSCs derived from the dendrodendritic F2 terminals.

In contrast to IPSCs evoked from geniculate relay cells, the IPSCs evoked in reticular neurons by reticular photostimulation were totally blocked (or undetectable) after bath-application of 1 μM TTX. Figure 5 shows the result of one such experiment, and here the photomicrograph of the slice and centroid (Fig. 3B, left, arrow 1), and on both sides ~100 μm mediolaterally to the centroid (Fig. 3B, left, arrows 2 and 3) were measured and plotted in Fig. 3, C and D, respectively for comparison (●). The average peak IPSCs evoked by photostimulation in the reticular neurons (90 ± 21 pA, red triangles) were significantly smaller than the average IPSCs of the relay neurons in both cases (Fig. 3C; 517 ± 54 pA, t = 6.30, P < 0.0001; Fig. 3D: 244 ± 35 pA, t = 3.37, P < 0.005; red circles), even though higher laser powers were used for the reticulo-reticular stimulation.

Reponses through electrical synapses

In 8 of the 47 experiments, we observed sharp depolarizing spikelets characteristic of electrical synapses in addition to the slower direct depolarizing and hyperpolarizing responses. Figure 6 shows voltage-clamp recordings from an example in which the IPSCs were blocked by SR95531. A photomicrograph and 200-ms traces after photostimulation show the spatial extent in which the spikelets could be evoked (Fig. 6, middle and right), and this extent, which is similar to the evoked region for direct depolarization and evoked IPSCs, consisted of a small elliptical area around the recorded neuron. Figure 6A shows the unfiltered traces, and Fig. 6B shows the spikelets more clearly after the traces were high-pass filtered. We tested the effects of the gap-junction blocker, carbenoxolone, on the spikelets in two experiments. Bath application of 200 μM carbenoxolone completely inhibited the spikelets without any effect on the hyperpolarization component from GABAergic synapses (Fig. 7, right).
CONNECTIONS BETWEEN RETICULAR CELLS. Our data indicate GABAergic connections electrically synapses could change with age. It is therefore possible that the relative importance of chemical and animals (Long et al. 2004), although quantitative comparisons of experiments. Electrical synapses are reported to persist in adult calyxically different dendritic structures from what we observed in our reticular nucleus (Pinault et al. 1995a,b) do not indicate dramati- histological studies of neurons from the adult rat’s thalamic reticular nucleus. This difference in GABAergic response may be caused by species or nuclear differences or it may well reflect a false negative in our data. That is, perhaps the GABA_B response was simply too small to be detected using our methods or activation of reticulo-reticular GABA_B responses might require a much higher rate of firing among reticular cells than could be activated by the photo stimulaton we employed (however, see Fig. 1).

We were able to provide a spatial map of the synaptic connections between reticular neurons (Fig. 2). Each reticular neuron receives inhibitory input from its neighbors in an area of only ~350 × 200 μm. This area is similar to the size of the dendritic arbors of reticular cells we labeled with biocytin (data not shown). It is also consistent with anatomical data indicating that axon collaterals of reticular cells extend for a short distance within the thalamic reticular nucleus (Cox et al. 1996; Liu and Jones 1999). It is possible that axons cut in the slice reduced the effective afferent area somewhat, but we did find comparable afferent areas with both slice orientations used (22 horizontal and 7 thalamocortical slices).

COMPARISON WITH RETICULO-THALAMIC CONNECTIONS. We used the same techniques to study IPSCs in relay cells evoked by reticular photostimulation (Lam and Sherman 2005). We measured and averaged the peak values of the IPSCs evoked by photostimulation on both sides ~100 μm medially from the recording site, where the IPSCs were large and the direct through GABAergic axodendritic synapses. We detected GABAergic IPSCs in 29 of 47 recorded reticular neurons. This number could be an underestimate for several reasons. For instance, there is always the possibility that some of the connections between cells are severed in the slice; also, small IPSCs could be easily concealed by the large depolarization evoked directly from photostimulation.

We have also tried the experiment without using Cs⁺ in the recording pipette to detect GABA_B responses. In such cases, we were able to completely block the evoked IPSCs with antagonists to the GABA_A receptor and thus were unable to show a GABA_B response component (data not shown). Although negative results are hard to interpret, the fact that, using similar techniques, we readily evoked GABA_B responses in the reticular to relay cell pathway adds weight to this negative result. Nonetheless, Sanchez-Vives et al. (1997) did report GABA_B response components in IPSCs elicited between cells of the ferret’s perigeniculate nucleus, which is regarded as a region of the thalamic reticular nucleus. This difference in GABA_B response may be caused by species or nuclear differences it may well reflect a false negative in our data. That is, perhaps the GABA_B response was simply too small to be detected using our methods or activation of reticulo-reticular GABA_B responses might require a much higher rate of firing among reticular cells than could be activated by the photostimulation we employed (however, see Fig. 1).

In comparison with the more traditional means of electrical stimulation, this technique of neuronal activation has the advantages that fibers of passage are not activated and that strong stimuli can be delivered in spatially precise patterns. We were able to document connections between nearby reticular neu rons, both chemical (GABAergic) and electrical, and estimated the strength and spatial extent of these interconnections. We also showed that the GABAergic connections between reticular cells are weaker than those from reticular to relay cells.

Our experiments were conducted using young animals (10–12 days of age), because the poor visibility in slices from older animals impairs visualization and thus compromises successful patch-clamp recording. It is plausible that the animal’s age could affect the size of the dendritic and axonal arbors of reticular neurons and thus the spatial extent by which they interconnect, but histological studies of neurons from the adult rat’s thalamic reticular nucleus (Pinault et al. 1995a,b) do not indicate dramatically different dendritic structures from what we observed in our experiments. Electrical synapses are reported to persist in adult animals (Long et al. 2004), although quantitative comparisons of their distribution across stages of development are unavailable. It is therefore possible that the relative importance of chemical and electrical synapses could change with age. GABAergic connections

CONNECTIONS BETWEEN RETICULAR CELLS. Our data indicate that reticular neurons are interconnected predominantly

FIG. 6. Evidence for gap junctions between reticular cell. Recordings were performed in voltage-clamp mode, and laser power was 10 mW. Columnar organization as in Fig. 2. Dotted lines indicate location of thalamic reticular nucleus. A: photostimulation in area around soma of a reticular cell evoked fast depolarizing spikelets characteristic of gap junctions. B: high-pass filtering of traces to show spikelets more clearly.

In comparison with the more traditional means of electrical stimulation, this technique of neuronal activation has the advantages that fibers of passage are not activated and that strong stimuli can be delivered in spatially precise patterns. We were able to document connections between nearby reticular neurons, both chemical (GABAergic) and electrical, and estimated the strength and spatial extent of these interconnections. We also showed that the GABAergic connections between reticular cells are weaker than those from reticular to relay cells.

Our experiments were conducted using young animals (10–12 days of age), because the poor visibility in slices from older animals impairs visualization and thus compromises successful patch-clamp recording. It is plausible that the animal’s age could affect the size of the dendritic and axonal arbors of reticular neurons and thus the spatial extent by which they interconnect, but histological studies of neurons from the adult rat’s thalamic reticular nucleus (Pinault et al. 1995a,b) do not indicate dramatically different dendritic structures from what we observed in our experiments. Electrical synapses are reported to persist in adult animals (Long et al. 2004), although quantitative comparisons of their distribution across stages of development are unavailable. It is therefore possible that the relative importance of chemical and electrical synapses could change with age.

GABAergic connections

CONNECTIONS BETWEEN RETICULAR CELLS. Our data indicate that reticular neurons are interconnected predominantly

FIG. 7. Inhibition of spikelets by gap-junction antagonist. Recordings are in voltage-clamp mode, and laser power was 16 mW. Dotted lines indicate location of thalamic reticular nucleus. A: photomicrograph of slice. Soma of the reticular cell is indicated with a star, and site of photostimulation, with a closed circle. B: top trace shows that photostimulation induced an outward synaptic current (hyperpolarizing) and fast, multiple spikelets. Bottom trace shows application of gap-junction antagonist, carbenoxolone (200 μM), blocks spikelets without any effect on synaptic response.
depolarization was relatively small (Fig. 3A). These values were compared with the maximum IPSCs evoked in relay cells by photostimulation at the centroid of their reticular input footprint (Fig. 3C). We found that the IPSCs evoked in reticular cells were much smaller than those in relay cells, even when the laser power was higher (Fig. 3C). We also considered the possibility that the IPSCs evoked 100 μm medio-lateral from the recording site might not reflect the full strength of reticulo-reticular synapses. We therefore compared the reticulo-reticular IPSCs to the reticulo-relay cells IPSCs evoked 100 μm medio-lateral from the centroid of their reticular input footprint. Again, the reticulo-relay cells IPSCs were larger despite the lower laser powers used (Fig. 3D).

Two other factors might also work against this difference: first, the longer distance in the reticulo-thalamic path means a greater chance of severing axons; and second, reticular synapses on relay cells are on distal dendrites, which might reduce their impact at the soma, although we do not know where reticulo-reticular synapses are located.

Strictly speaking, because photostimulation only excites presynaptic neurons within a limited illuminated region, presynaptic cells that are scattered about as opposed to clustered spatially would be interpreted as possessing a “weaker” set of connections. It is therefore theoretically possible that our results can be explained simply by the reticulo-relay cell connections being spatially more concentrated than the inhibitory connections within the thalamic reticular nucleus. This scenario, however, is unlikely because it would require that reticulo-reticular IPSCs can be evoked in a much larger area than the reticulo-relay cells (IPSCs). We did not see such a difference.

The difference of synaptic strength could be explained by a smaller number of functional synapses, as suggested by anatomic observations (Cox et al. 1996; Scheibel and Scheibel 1966; Yen et al. 1985) and/or weaker synapses (Zhang et al. 1997). A similar difference in synaptic strength was reported for the IPSCs in relay cells and reticular neurons evoked by bipolar electrodes, using minimal stimulation, although it was suggested that the weaker synapses between reticular neurons could be compensated by the slow decay time of their IPSCs (Zhang et al. 1997). Data shown here indicate that when a larger (and probably more biological) number of reticular neurons were activated using photostimulation, the difference between the two pathways is even more pronounced.

**DENDRODENDRITIC SYNAPSES.** Electron microscopic examination of the thalamic reticular nucleus has suggested the presence of dendrodendritic synapses between reticular neurons (Deschénes et al. 1985; Pinault et al. 1997; Yen et al. 1985). Similar synapses between interneurons and thalamic relay cells in the lateral geniculate nucleus can be activated by photostimulation in the presence of TTX (Fig. 4), suggesting action potentials are not needed for GABAergic release, and this GABA release seems to result from the direct activation of the dendrodendritic terminals by uncaged glutamate molecules (Cox and Sherman 2000; Lam et al. 2005). In contrast, the response evoked in reticular neurons by local photostimulation is completely blocked by TTX (Fig. 5). There are several possible explanations for this. 1) The dendrodendritic synapses between reticular neurons are very weak and hard to detect functionally. 2) They require a much larger depolarization for activation, perhaps involving back-propagation of action potentials, than we can deliver with TTX present. 3) There are no glutamate receptors near these synapses to produce a sufficiently large local depolarization through photostimulation; we know, for example, that the presynaptic dendritic terminal in the lateral geniculate nucleus does have glutamatergic receptors (Cox and Sherman 2000; Godwin et al. 1996).

**Electrical synapses.**

Because photostimulation depolarizes (presynaptic) reticular neurons strongly and evokes a long train of action potentials (Fig. 1), electrical synapses can be detected by the depolarizing spikelets in the response of reticular neurons to photostimulation (Fig. 6). The specific inhibition of these spikelets by a gap-junction blocker further confirms their electrical nature (Fig. 7). We detected such responses indicative of electrical synapses in 8 of 47 neurons (17%). The percentage is smaller than the roughly comparable with that revealed from paired recording of rat reticular neurons (31%; Landisman et al. 2002). We found electrical synapses only between neighboring reticular neurons, in agreement with previous results (Landisman et al. 2002; Long et al. 2004). An important difference between studies, however, is that our data indicate a large proportion of reticular neurons receive axodendritic synaptic inputs from other reticular neurons, whereas Landisman et al. (2002) could not find pairs connected with detectable chemical synapses. This may simply mean that photostimulation, which activates a group of inputs to a cell can thus provide a larger combined IPSC, whereas the IPSC from input from a single neuron during paired recording is too small to be detected.

**Functional implications.**

Our main conclusion is that reticular cells receive a relatively weak GABAergic input from nearby neighbors. The obvious implication is that a strong activation of a focus of reticular neurons will produce a surrounding region of mild inhibition. Because reticular cells inhibit relay cells, strong activation of a focal region of reticular cells would serve to disinhibit their target relay cells. To fully understand the implication of this, we need a more detailed understanding of the connections between relay and reticular cells in both directions.

Our previous study of the reticulo-thalamic limb of this system (Lam and Sherman 2005) indicates that the afferent footprint has a relatively simple structure with increasing strength of connection near its center. One might thus imagine that any strong focus of activation of a group of reticular cells would produce an area of reticular activity that, in turn, would inhibit relay cells. The presence of local, reticulo-reticular inhibition would serve to sharpen the focus of the activated reticular zone, and in this sense, the pattern of reticular interconnectivity we have described may serve to sharpen functional connections in the reticulo-thalamic pathway.

**GRANTS.**

This research was supported by National Eye Institute Grant EY-03038 to S. M. Sherman and a Howard Hughes Undergraduate Fellowship to C. S. Nelson.
REFERENCES


