American Foreign Policy
Summer Session I 2018
Christopher Chiego
Class Meeting times:
MW: 5:30-9:20 PM
McNeill 169

Office Hours:
In-Person: ________________
Online (via Gchat): _____________
Office Hours will be held at: ____________
Email for an appointment at any other time:
chiego@sas.upenn / cchiego@gmail.com

[Note that this syllabus is current as of 5/21]

Course Overview:
How should the United States of America interact with foreign countries? This question has been on
the mind of presidents and policymakers from the very beginning of the United States. In this
course, we will embark first on a fast-paced tour of the historical roots of America’s foreign policy
and see what influences shaped America’s initial engagement with the rest of the world and how that
engagement changed over time. We will then discuss several theories that purport to explain how
American Foreign Policy is made and evaluate each of those theories with respect to the historical
record. The course concludes by surveying several contemporary issue areas and regions where the
United States is currently facing a number of foreign policy challenges. Along the way, we will
engage with a number of broad debates such as:

- Is the United States an “exceptional” nation in terms of foreign policy?
- What is the “national interest” of the United States? How has the view of that interest changed
  over time?
- How much of a role should the opinion of the American public play in formulating US foreign
  policy?
- Should the United States continue to participate in international political institutions?
- How should the United States engage today with rising powers such as China and old foes such as
  Russia?

Prerequisites:
There are no formal course prerequisites. General knowledge of American history should be helpful
as well as a keen interest in current events.

Required Books:
Walter Russell Mead, Special Providence: American Foreign Policy and How it Changed the World
Walter A. McDougall, Promised Land, Crusader State: The American Encounter with the World Since 1776
Graham Allison and Philip Zelikow, Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis (2nd Edition)
[All of these books should be available used and in paperback; you may also be able to find a copy of them in the Penn library]

The remainder of the readings will be available on CANVAS or will have links posted directly to them here on the syllabus.

**Grading:** [see final page for more details]
20% Class Engagement
35% Final Exam
15% Response Paper 1 [750-1000 words]
15% Response Paper 2 [750-1000 words]
15% Final Simulation and Position Paper

**Academy Honesty**
Do not cheat, do not plagiarize. We will discuss this in more detail to ensure that any questions are answered. Please consult the Student Handbook or the appropriate webpage as well: www.college.upenn.edu/academic-integrity

**Accomodations:**
I am more than happy to accomodate any needs, but do need to know earlier rather than later what those might entail. Please make arrangements as necessary through the Office of Student Disabilities Services as soon as possible.

**Daily Course Outline**

*Monday 5/21*

**Goals:** Introductions, overview of the course, discussion and modification of the syllabus as needed. Also discussion of advice for preparing for the readings, assignments, and exams. Then, debate the definition of the “national interest” and the origins and institutions of American Foreign Policy

**Required Readings:**

*Wednesday 5/23*

**Goals:** Introduction to what a “theory” of foreign policy might constitute; discussion of the early Mead and McDougall readings with a focus on their main arguments and the distinction between Mead’s “schools” and McDougall’s “traditions”

**Required Readings:**
Introduction and Chapter 1 of Mead (pp. xv-29)
Introduction and Chapters 1-2 of McDougall (pp. 1-56)

Monday 5/28
Memorial Day (no class)

Wednesday 5/30
**Goals:** Understand American Foreign Policy in the 19th Century and main concepts like the Monroe Doctrine, Manifest Destiny, and Imperialism. Why did the United States try to expand its role in the world at the end of the 19th century?

**Required Readings:**
Chapters 2-4 of Mead (pp. 30-131)
Chapters 3-5 of McDougall (pp. 57-121)

Monday 6/4
**Goals:** Understand Mead’s Four “Schools” of US Foreign Policy as well as the reasons for the rise and fall of both Wilsonian Internationalism and Isolationism

**Required Readings:**
Chapters 5-7 of Mead (pp. 132-263) [yes, this is long; read for the main idea of each school]
Chapter 6 of McDougall (pp. 122-146)

Wednesday 6/6
**Goals:** Understand the importance of containment, the reasons for the rise of the Cold War, and the origins of the Cuban Missile Crisis.

**Required Readings:**
Introduction and Chapters 2 and 4 of Allison and Zelikow (pp. 1-11, 77-129, and 197-242) [It’s okay if you don’t have all of Chapter 4 finished by Wed., but do keep reading if you can]
Kennan, George. (1947). “The Sources of Soviet Conduct.” Foreign Affairs (pp. 566-582)
Chapter 7 of McDougall (pp. 147-171)

*First Response Paper Due By Today 6/6*

Monday 6/11
**Goals:** Understand how to apply theories of foreign policy to a specific event, especially the bureaucratic politics and governmental processes models. Begin discussion of the Vietnam War and the role of public opinion in U.S. Foreign Policy.
Required Readings:
Chapter 6 plus first part of conclusion of Allison and Zelikow (pp. 325-366, 379-389)
Krasner, Stephen. (1972). “Are Bureaucracies Important? Or, Allison Wonderland” Foreign Policy (pp. 159-178)
Holsti, O. R. (1992). “Public opinion and foreign policy: Challenges to the Almond-Lippmann consensus.” International Studies Quarterly (pp.440-461) [Read for the main argument and the specific myths they engage with]

Wednesday 6/13
Goals: Understand how the end of the Cold War brought about major changes in American Foreign Policy and how the United States dealt with the aftermath of the 9/11 attacks.
Required Readings:
Chapter 8 in Mead (pp. 264-309)
Chapter 8 in McDougall (pp. 172-198)
Jimmy Carter Speech on Human Rights at Notre Dame
http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/?pid=7552
George W. Bush West Point Speech in 2002

Monday 6/18
Goals: Survey contemporary issues in American Foreign Policy and apply the lessons and lens of of the past to better understand them. First address the current force deployments of the United States around the world and counterterrorism efforts, then discuss potential future conflict arenas in robotics and cyberspace. Conclude with a discussion of climate change as it applies to American national security.
Required Readings:
Horowitz et. al (2016). Separating fact from fiction in the debate over drone proliferation. International Security pp. (7-42) [Read for the main argument in the first few pages, then skim]
*Second Response Paper Due By Today 6/18*

**Wednesday 6/20**

**Goals:** Understand current American Foreign Policy in critical regions and conflicts and assess the likelihood of changes given the change in presidential leadership

**Required Readings:**
- Haddad and Polyakova. “Don’t Rehabilitate Obama on Russia” March 2018. [https://www.brookings.edu/blog/order-from-chaos/2018/03/05/dont-rehabilitate-obama-on-russia/](https://www.brookings.edu/blog/order-from-chaos/2018/03/05/dont-rehabilitate-obama-on-russia/)

*Final EXAM in 2nd half of class (90 minutes)*

**Monday 6/25**

**Goals:** Debate the future of American Foreign Policy using the insights that we have derived from the past. What will it look like? What *should* it look like? Introduce simulation.

**Required Readings:**

Posen, Barry. (2013). “Pull back: The case for a less activist foreign policy.” *Foreign Affairs*

*Wednesday 6/27*

**Final Paper Due By Start of Class***

*Simulation on topic TBD*

**Grading Breakdown**

**Class Engagement (20%)**
A classroom works better when we have a variety of views and contributions. Since this is a time-condensed course, we'll need all hands on deck every day to help sort through the material and keep up with the readings. There are many options to contribute to this grade; some students may feel more comfortable contributing more thoughtful YourTakes, sharing relevant articles or videos to the rest of the class, or leading a structured discussion. (YourTakes are writing exercises that ask simply for your own take on a major question; we will use these to help kick off discussions at the start of classes and after returning from in-class breaks.) Completing all the YourTakes, taking part in class activities, completing any in-class content quizzes (graded solely for completion to help provide feedback), leading one structured discussion and presenting one current event article, and participating in class discussions by asking questions, building on others’ contributions, and contributing thoughtful opinions will all be considered in this grade.

**Final Exam (35%)**
The final exam will cover all parts of the course up to but not including the material covered earlier on the day of the final exam and will last approximately 90 minutes. There will be both a content and analysis component to the exam. All concepts that might be tested will be indicated by bolded words and similar types of questions on the daily class handouts that will help guide your study.

**Response Papers (15% each)**
750-1000 words (about 3-4 pages, double spaced). Full details will be passed out on the first day of the class, but essentially these papers will require you to synthesize, compare, and analyze some of the readings for a given class day. Each response paper must have a clear argument; this is an analytical paper, not a summary. These are designed to be succinct and will likely require careful revising to make your ideas clear. These papers are comparable to a brief prepared for a policymaking organization.
Simulation (15%)  
[60%] 600-750 word position paper explaining your official's area of expertise and point of view. What are your goals? How might they be relevant to the other officials in this situation? Use the theories of American Foreign Policy that we’ve discussed throughout this class to illuminate your official's mindset and likely response to this situation (note that there is not necessarily a “right” answer here for all officials; rather, the key here is to make a plausible argument that incorporates both real-world facts about your official and content from this course). This will require a bit of outside research and we will discuss more details about all aspects of it closer to the end of the course.  
[30%] Participation during the simulation.  
[10%] Brief reflection paper written at the end of the simulation.