Phonon Influence on Bulk Photovoltaic Effect in the Ferroelectric Semiconductor GeTe
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The shift current (SHC) has been accepted as the primary mechanism of the bulk photovoltaic effect (BPVE) in ferroelectrics, which is much different from the typical p-n junction-based photovoltaic mechanism in heterogeneous materials. In the present work, we use first-principles calculations to investigate the SHC response in the ferroelectric semiconductor GeTe, which is found possess a large SHC response due to its intrinsic narrow band gap and high covalency. We explore the changes of SHC response induced by phonon vibrations, and analytically fit current versus vibrational amplitude to reveal the quantitative relationships between vibrations and the SHC response. Furthermore, we demonstrate the temperature dependence of the SHC response by averaging the phonon vibration influence in the Brillouin zone. Our investigation provides an explicit experimental prediction about the temperature dependence of BPVE and can be extended to other classes of noncentrosymmetric materials.
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Introduction.—The bulk photovoltaic effect (BPVE) refers to the generation of a steady photocurrent and above-band-gap photovoltage in a single-phase homogeneous material lacking inversion symmetry [1–3]. In the BPVE, a spontaneous direct short-circuit photocurrent is generated when electrons are continuously excited to quasiparticle coherent states that have intrinsic momentum, avoiding the need for an interface to separate the charge. Ferroelectric materials with spatial symmetry breaking are a primary focus of the BPVE research [4–28]. An early report related to BPVE demonstrated steady-state photovoltage and photocurrent in single-crystal BaTiO₃ in 1956 [1]. Subsequently, this effect was further characterized in LiTaO₃ [2], LiNbO₃ [2,3] and in ShS (Br⁻Br⁻) [29]. More recently, BiFeO₃ has become the most popular single-phase multiferroic and has also attracted intense interest for its photovoltaic application. Large open-circuit photovoltages in BiFeO₃ films resulting from the BPVE have been experimentally observed [15–17], and an increase in the power conversion efficiency of ferroelectric-based solar cells has been reported [16]. As for the BPVE mechanism, models based on asymmetric scattering centers [30], asymmetry in the electrostatic potential, and the relativistic Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit coupling [31] have been proposed. More recently, Young and Rappe [32,33] reformulated the shift current (SHC) theory [34], enabling accurate BPVE predictions from first-principles calculations. This approach has been successfully applied to BiFeO₃ [32], BaTiO₃ (PbTiO₃) [33], and polar materials BiTe [35] and CsPbI₃ [35], etc., providing mechanistic insights and numerical results consistent with experimental measurements.

A wide variety of modified single-phase ferroelectric materials have been proposed to increase BPVE efficiency by reducing band gaps [36–38]. Alloys of PbTiO₃ doped with Ni²⁺ were proposed [39,40], leading to successful demonstration of Ni²⁺ incorporation in (K,Ba)(Ni,Nb)O₃–δ (KBNNO), the first report of a visible-light absorbing ferroelectric photovoltaic [37]. In 2015, Young et al. studied several ferroelectrics with the LiNbO₃ structure, i.e., PbNiO₃, Mg₁/₂Zn₁/₂PbO₃, and LiBiO₃, which use electronegative cations for B site to raise the valence band, lower the band gap and increase the bulk photovoltaic response [38]. In addition, reducing band gap to enhance the SHC response has been theoretically reported in the nanolayered ferroelectric oxide system (PbNiO₃)(PbTiO₃)₁₋ₓ [41] and experimentally observed in ferroelectric complex oxide Bi₁₋ₓLa₀.₇₅Ti₃O₁₂ doped by Fe and Co [42].

In order to enhance the SHC response both by lowering the band gap and by increasing the covalent bonding [33], we turn our attention to polar semiconductors. In 2014, Brehm et al. theoretically examined the polar semiconductors LiAsS₂, LiAsSe₂, and NaAsSe₂, and determined that they should offer 40 times higher photocurrent than BiFeO₃ under broadband illumination [43]. In 2016, Tan et al. investigated the SHC response in the polar layered compounds BiTeI and CsPbI₃, which have a narrow and tunable band gap [35]. They obtained a large SHC response and found that the direction of BPVE can be reversed due to the band inversion at the topological phase transition induced by the strain [35]. In 2017, Rangel, Fregoso, and Cook et al., optimized the SHC theory and reported the large
BPVE in single-layer monochalcogenides [44–46]. Recently, ferroelectric semiconductor GeTe has attracted renewed research attention due to its giant bulk Rashba spin-orbit coupling [47,48], and it has become the prototype of a new class of multifunctional materials, i.e., ferroelectric Rashba semiconductors [49]. With its dispersive \(s^p\)-character conduction and valence bands, narrow band gap (0.6–0.7 eV), strong ferroelectric polarization (60–70 \(\mu\)C/cm\(^2\)), and high ferroelectric transition temperature (670–720 K) [50,51], GeTe could be a good candidate for photovoltaic devices with the BPVE. Furthermore, having only two atoms in the primitive unit cell, GeTe is undoubtedly the simplest ferroelectric, through which we can conveniently demonstrate the phonon influence on the SHC response, and help understand the temperature dependence of the BPVE in experiments.

Computational details.—The plane-wave density functional theory (DFT) package QUANTUM-ESPRESSO (QE) is used to perform the structural relaxations and electronic structure calculations, with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) exchange-correlation functional[52]. All elements are represented by norm-conserving, optimized nonlocal pseudopotentials, with valence electrons of Ge and Te described by the configurations \(4s^24p^2\) and \(5s^25p^1\) [53,54], respectively. For the structural relaxation, self-consistent and non-self-consistent calculations, \(k\)-point grids of \(10 \times 10 \times 10, 14 \times 14 \times 14\) and \(54 \times 54 \times 54\) are used to ensure a well-converged SHC response. The phonon vibrational properties of GeTe are calculated using density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT) [55], and the dynamical matrices are calculated based on the linear response. Spin-orbit coupling is included in all the calculations.

Our previously developed approach, implemented in our in-house code, is used to calculate the SHC response. As was shown in Ref. [56], the SHC response is a second-order optical effect, which gives the current density,

\[
\sigma_{\text{rel}}(\omega) = \pi e \left( \frac{e}{m \hbar} \frac{1}{\omega} \right)^2 \sum_{n',n} \int d\mathbf{k} \langle \mathbf{n}' \mathbf{k}| \mathbf{f}| \mathbf{n} \mathbf{k} \rangle \langle \mathbf{n} \mathbf{k}| \mathbf{f}| \mathbf{n}' \mathbf{k} \rangle 
\times \delta(\omega_n(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_{n'}(\mathbf{k}) + \omega),
\]

where \(n\) and \(n'\) index the bands, \(\mathbf{k}\) is the wave vector, \(\omega_n(\mathbf{k})\) is the energy of the \(n\)th band, and \(\sigma_{\text{rel}}\) is a third-rank tensor giving current density \(\mathbf{J}\) as a response to the electromagnetic field \(\mathbf{E}\). The expression is composed of a transition intensity multiplied by the so-called shift vector

\[
\mathbf{R}_q(n',n'',\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\partial \phi_{n''}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial q} - \chi(n''\mathbf{k}) - \chi(n\mathbf{k}),
\]

where \(\chi\) is the Berry connection for band \(n\), and \(\phi_{n''}(\mathbf{k})\) is the phase of the momentum matrix element between bands \(n\) and \(n''\). The shift vector describes, on average, the displacement of coherent carriers during their lifetimes.

Ferroelectric GeTe belongs to the space group \(R3\bar{m}\), corresponding to the \(C_3\) point group. With the rhombohedral lattice vectors in terms of Cartesian coordinates \(A_1 = (a/2,-a/2\sqrt{3},c/3)\), \(A_2 = (0,-a/\sqrt{3},c/3)\), \(A_3 = (-a/2,-a/2\sqrt{3},c/3)\), the ferroelectric polarization is along the \(z\) direction, and the shift current response tensor has the form

\[
\sigma = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{yz} & -\sigma_{y'z'} \\
-\sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{yy} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma_{xz} & \sigma_{yz} & \sigma_{zz} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Results and discussion.—Shown in Fig. 1(a) are the primitive unit cell and the first Brillouin zone of distorted GeTe, with the ferroelectric polarization along the \(z\) direction. The lattice parameter \(a\), the angle \(\alpha\), and the high symmetry \(k\) points \(\Gamma\), \(Z\), \(L\), and \(U\) are indicated. The relative shift of the Ge and Te sublattices is reported as a function of the wave vector \(k\). The transition intensity multiplied by the so-called shift vector

\[
\mathbf{R}_q(n',n'',\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{\partial \phi_{n''}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial q} - \chi(n''\mathbf{k}) - \chi(n\mathbf{k}),
\]

where \(\chi\) is the Berry connection for band \(n\), and \(\phi_{n''}(\mathbf{k})\) is the phase of the momentum matrix element between bands \(n\) and \(n''\). The shift vector describes, on average, the displacement of coherent carriers during their lifetimes.

Ferroelectric GeTe belongs to the space group \(R3m\), corresponding to the \(C_3\) point group. With the rhombohedral lattice vectors in terms of Cartesian coordinates \(A_1 = (a/2,-a/2\sqrt{3},c/3)\), \(A_2 = (0,-a/\sqrt{3},c/3)\), \(A_3 = (-a/2,-a/2\sqrt{3},c/3)\), the ferroelectric polarization is along the \(z\) direction, and the shift current response tensor has the form

\[
\sigma = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{yz} & -\sigma_{y'z'} \\
-\sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{yy} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma_{xz} & \sigma_{yz} & \sigma_{zz} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
to the ferroelectric field, a large Rashba spin splitting is obtained, as has been reported by Sante et al. [47].

Based on the relaxed structure, we calculate the phonon vibrations at the Γ point. No imaginary phonon modes are found, confirming the stability of the ferroelectric structure. The primitive unit cell of GeTe contains two atoms, which gives rise to six phonon vibration modes. The three modes of zero frequency at the Γ point are the translational modes, for which both Ge and Te atoms move with the same displacement. These translational modes have no influence on the SHC response. For each of the three optic modes, Ge and Te atoms vibrate along the same axis but with opposite direction. Since both the x- and y-axis vibrations are perpendicular to the ferroelectric polarization, and they have the same influence on the SHC response; we only show the phonon mode along the x axis in Fig. 2(a). In Fig. 2(b), we show the phonon vibration parallel to the ferroelectric polarization and refer to it as “ferroelectric vibration.” For a nonzero phonon momentum, there is a phase difference between the atomic displacements in the different unit cells. Therefore, a supercell is required to accommodate all atoms vibrating with different phases to retain the periodicity. For the phonons at Z(0.5, 0.5, 0.5), we use a 2 x 2 x 2 supercell to include the π phase. In particular, we consider the vibrational mode that has all the atoms moving along the ferroelectric direction; however, in one unit cell, the ferroelectric polarization is enhanced by the vibration, and in its counterpart unit cell, the ferroelectric polarization is decreased, as shown in Fig. 2(c). Compared with the ferroelectric vibration at the Γ point, this phonon vibration at the Z point is called the “antiferroelectric mode”.

To evaluate the phonon vibrational amplitude, we apply the equipartition theorem in terms of the temperature effect, i.e., each phonon mode will acquire an energy of $\frac{1}{2} k_B T$. We use the formula $\frac{1}{2} \sum_i m_i \omega_i^2 \nu_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} k_B T$, in which $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature, $\nu$ is phonon vibration frequency, $m_i$ is the mass of the i-th atom in the unit cell, $\nu_i$ is defined as the phonon vibration amplitude, $u_i^2 \nu_i^2$ is the normalized (unitless) phonon eigenvector component for the i-th atom with $\sum_i |u_i^2 \nu_i^2| = 1$. It is clear that the higher the vibration frequency is, the smaller the vibrational amplitude is. At the room temperature ($T = 300$ K), we get the vibrational amplitude $\nu \sim 0.07$ Å for the ferroelectric vibration mode ($\nu = 127$ cm$^{-1}$), $\nu \sim 0.10$ Å for the perpendicular vibration mode ($\nu = 83$ cm$^{-1}$), and $\nu \sim 0.03$ Å for the antiferroelectric vibration mode ($\nu = 172$ cm$^{-1}$). The calculated phonon frequencies are consistent with the previous report.

Taking the phonon vibration into account, the SHC response can be described in terms of vibrational amplitude $\lambda$: $C(\omega, \lambda) = C_0(\omega) + C_1(\omega) \lambda + C_2(\omega) \lambda^2 + C_3(\omega) \lambda^3 + O(\lambda^4)$, where $\omega$ is the photon frequency and $C_0(\omega)$ indicates the SHC response without phonon vibration. For the ferroelectric vibration, the linear term $C_1(\omega) \lambda$ dominates for small vibrational amplitudes, and the nonlinear effect becomes important for relatively large vibrational amplitudes. For the perpendicular vibration, the linear term $C_1(\omega) \lambda$ is zero, and only even terms survive. For the general vibration, which includes both x/y and z movement, both the linear and nonlinear items should be included.

The calculated SHC coefficient $\sigma_{zz}$ under different ferroelectric vibration amplitudes is shown in Fig. 3(a), in which positive or negative amplitudes mean that the ferroelectric displacement is decreased or increased by the phonon vibration. Without the phonon vibration [$\lambda = 0$ in Fig. 3(a)], we find that the $\sigma_{zz}$ coefficient of GeTe is about 5 times larger than that of BiFeO$_3$ (~3.5 x 10$^{-4}$ A/W) [32]. It is known that the ferroelectric polarization of BiFeO$_3$ is about 90 \( \mu \)C/cm$^2$, and its fundamental band

![FIG. 2. Three kinds of phonon vibrations: the phonon vibration along the (a) x and (b) z direction in the primitive unit cell, and (c) the antiferroelectric vibration mode in the 2x2x2 supercell.](image)

![FIG. 3. (a) The shift current response ($\sigma_{zz}$) of GeTe versus photon energy, and its dependence on the ferroelectric vibrational amplitude. (b) The change of the shift current response versus the vibrational amplitude, with the photon energy $\hbar \omega = 0.9$ and 1.1 eV, respectively. The squares and triangles in (b) are the results from the first-principles calculations, and the lines in black and red are the fitting curves from the polynomial $C_1(\omega) \lambda + C_2(\omega) \lambda^2$.](image)
The vibration amplitude. In Fig. 4(b), we plot the dependence of the SHC and the vibration amplitudes should be described by a polynomial only with the even orders of the SHC response, the relation between the change of the SHC response and the vibration amplitudes is not linearly dependent on the change of the ferroelectric displacement. This is because the band structure is also influenced by the ferroelectric phonon vibrations. The direct effect of the soft-mode phonon on SHC and its indirect effect via band-gap change have different contributions to the SHC response and will surely result in a nonlinear relationship between the integrated SHC response change and the ferroelectric vibration amplitude.

To further investigate the asymmetrical SHC change induced by the positive and negative ferroelectric vibrations, we select the photon energies of 0.9 and 1.1 eV, and plot the change of the SHC response versus the vibration amplitude in Fig. 3(b). The solid triangles and squares indicate the results from the first-principles calculations, and the lines represent the fitting from the polynomial \( C_1(\omega)\lambda + C_2(\omega)\lambda^2 \). For \( \hbar\omega = 0.9 \) eV, we get \( C_1(\omega) = 0.006 \text{ A}/\text{ÅW} \), \( C_2(\omega) = -0.012 \text{ A}/\text{Å}^2 \text{ W} \), and for \( \hbar\omega = 1.1 \) eV, we get \( C_1(\omega) = 0.008 \text{ A}/\text{ÅW} \), \( C_2(\omega) = -0.040 \text{ A}/\text{Å}^2 \text{ W} \). We can see that for different photon energies, the parameters \( C_1(\omega) \) and \( C_2(\omega) \) are quite different, and the polynomial with both the first and second order of the vibrational amplitude can well fit the first-principles SHC calculations. If we continue to increase the vibration amplitude, higher orders must be considered.

We next investigate the influence of the perpendicular phonon vibrations [see Fig. 4(a)] on the SHC response. As the vibrational amplitude is increased from zero to 0.10 Å, we observe a gradual decrease of the SHC response. Compared with the ferroelectric vibration shown in Fig. 3, we notice that this vibration mode can decrease the SHC response more significantly, since the vibrations decrease the ferroelectric polarization and increase the band gap, both of which help to decrease the SHC response. Since the positive and negative vibrations make no difference for the SHC response, the relation between the change of the SHC and the vibration amplitudes should be described by a polynomial only with the even orders of the vibration amplitude. In Fig. 4(b), we plot the dependence of the SHC on the vibrational amplitude. We select the photon energies \( \hbar\omega = 1.0, 1.2 \) eV, and use the polynomial \( C_2(\omega)\lambda^2 \) to fit the first-principles calculations. It can be clearly seen that the first-principles results can be well fitted by the parabolic formula. For \( \hbar\omega = 1.0 \) eV, we find the SHC response \( \sigma \) is about 2.58 eV [32]. Although the polarization of GeTe is smaller than that of BiFeO\(_3\), its SHC response is larger, suggesting that the narrow band gap and the covalency play more critical roles than the precise polarization magnitude. The SHC response of bulk GeTe has a broad peak around the energy range 0.9–1.2 eV, and thus significant BPVE can be excited by visible and near-IR light. In addition, we note that while increasing (decreasing) the ferroelectric displacement does increase (decrease) the SHC response, the change of the SHC response is not linearly dependent on the change of the ferroelectric displacement.

FIG. 4. (a) The shift current response (\( \sigma_{zz} \)) versus the photon energy, and its dependence on the perpendicular phonon vibrational amplitude. (b) The change of the shift current response versus the amplitude \( \lambda \), with the photon energy \( \hbar\omega = 1.0 \) and 1.2 eV, respectively. The squares and triangles in (b) are the results from the first-principles calculations, and the lines in black and red are the fitting curves from the polynomial \( C_2(\omega)\lambda^2 \).

FIG. 5. (a) The shift current response (\( \sigma_{zz} \)) versus the photon energy, and its dependence on the antiferroelectric phonon vibrational amplitude. (b) The change of the shift current response versus the amplitude \( \lambda \), with the photon energy \( \hbar\omega = 0.9 \) and 1.1 eV, respectively. The squares and circles in (b) are the results from the first-principles calculations, and the lines in black and red are the fitting curves from the parabola \( C_2(\omega)\lambda^2 \).
We consider a uniform response. However, to make explicit predictions of vibration modes have different contributions to the SHC response can be increased by this antiferroelectric mode at the finite phonon wave vector, we explore the phonon influence on the SHC response. By using analytic fits, we reveal the quantitative relationships between vibrational amplitudes and the SHC response. Furthermore, we explicitly present the experimental prediction about the temperature dependence of the SHC response by averaging the phonon vibration influence in the Brillouin zone. Our investigation can help understand the temperature dependence of the BPVE in experiments and can be extended to other classes of noncentrosymmetric materials.
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![FIG. 6. (a) The shift current response ($\sigma_{zzz}$) versus the photon energy for different temperatures. (b) Temperature dependence of the shift current response ($\sigma_{zzz}$) with the photon energy $\hbar\omega = 0.8$, 0.9, 1.0, and 1.1 eV.](image)


