

INTRODUCTION TO ARCHAEOBOTANY



2020

Syllabus

Schedule: TBC, Room TBC

A course with Dr Jennifer Bates, Postdoctoral Researcher

Jennifer's Office Hours: Monday's 2-4pm

Office: TBC

Email: jenbates@sas.upenn.edu

Course Description:

Archaeobotany, the study of the use of plants by people in the past, examines archaeological plant remains. It is an inherently interdisciplinary sub-field of archaeology that integrates botany, ecology and social theory to explore a broad range of topics. This course will introduce both the debates in archaeobotany, from the discussion surround 'domestication' to the use of archaeobotanical remains in reconstructing 'diet' and 'food', and integrate these debates in practical lab-based classes. Whilst primarily focused on macrobotanical identification, seminars will explore the integration of multiproxy approaches and lab sessions introducing the identification of phytoliths, starch, spherulites and pollen will ensure hands-on experience with the diversity of materials that archaeobotanists interact with.

The practical classes will introduce you not only to archaeobotanical identifications, but also to current and emerging trends in archaeobotanical research. You will be introduced to the lab equipment, microscopy and digital imaging tools that are shaping the field, and discuss in seminars how these are changing the way archaeobotany is carried out today. Please note that as this is a practical lab based

class as well as a seminar course you are expected to invest time beyond class hours to carry out lab work. You are expected to spend a minimum of 2-3 hours additional to the lab practical hours a week working on your identification key and final project.

Learning Outcomes:

Through taking this course you will:

1. Understand and be prepared to assist in archaeobotanical sampling in the field and in the lab
2. Be able to discuss and debate historical and current trends in archaeobotanical research and understand the social and archaeological relevance of this work
3. Critically assess published research and reports for research design, choice of methods, data presentation and interpretive decisions
4. Correctly use a range of lab equipment including microscopy and digital imaging tools
5. Design and execute a research project
6. Prepare research results/data for sharing with academic/non-academic audiences

Class Structure:

Classes will be run twice a week, involving a Tuesday seminar and Thursday lab practical. Core readings relating to the weekly theme and readings and handouts for the lab practical will be uploaded to Canvas or (in the case of books for self-selected readings) held at the Library (see below).

Course Texts:

There is no single key text for this course, but there are a wide range of readings listed in the course syllabus. You do **NOT** have to purchase these for yourself, the relevant articles/chapters for the course have been scanned and uploaded to Canvas, or in the case of books which you should be reading selectively from have been held at the Library for use in the library.

While there is no single key reading for this course, there are a range of useful texts for reference listed below. Again, you do NOT have to purchase this, and these have either been uploaded to Canvas or reserved for use in the Library for this course.

As well as the readings for the lectures, there is a vast literature available out there. A bibliography of additional literature is included in this syllabus. This is extensive but by no means all inclusive, and for extra suggestions pop by my office hours.

Recommended introductory reading for course:

Hastorf, C. and Popper V. (Eds) 1989. *Current Paleoethnobotany*. University of Chicago Press.

Jacomet, S., 2006. *Identification of Cereal Remains from Archaeological Sites*, 2nd ed. IPAS, Basel.

Nesbitt, M., 2006. *Identification Guide for Near Eastern Grass Seeds*. Institute of Archaeology, University College London, London.

Marston, J., d'Alpoim Guedes, J., and Warinner, C. (Eds). 2014. *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*. University of Colorado Press.

Pearsall, D. 2015. *Paleoethnobotany: A Handbook of Procedures*. Left Coast Press: Walnut Creek.

Canvas:

The Canvas course page will be the main tool for checking on announcements, view set weekly readings, view assignments, and post and respond to questions. Please make sure you have set yourself

as receiving announcements for the course page and make sure you check it regularly for important information like rooms changes etc.

A Note on Reading and Note-Taking:

Your success in this course will depend in no small part upon completing the assigned readings for the lectures thoroughly and on time, as well as attending the lectures and completing the assignments. Readings for the basis for the course lectures and class discussions, as well as the written assignments (detailed below). Read the papers, make notes and discussion will flow.

Referencing and Resources:

The preferred referencing style is **Harvard**, which is the most common style used in Archaeology and Anthropology. These consist of in text citation (author, date, page numbers) followed by a detailed citation of the publication in the bibliography.

You are also reminded that using libraries is **essential**. Key readings are listed in the syllabus and will be made available online, but it is also important you expand your reading into other works and scholars. A useful tip is to use the bibliographies of the provided readings but be aware of the dates of publications.

In addition, online resources like JStor and WebofKnowledge for finding articles, academia.edu and researchgate are important repositories of scholarly research. Students are encouraged to use these sites to broaden their reading and familiarize themselves with looking up scholars and their research.

I will be uploading a **pdf entitled ‘Useful Resources’ on Canvas**. Please look at it as this has a guide to referencing styles, bibliography building and places you can go to look for articles, books and chapters.

Course Requirements and Expectations:

Over 16 weeks, you will spend 3 hours per week in class (48 hours total). Required reading is expected to take up approximately 5 hours per week (65 hours total). In addition, research and writing for the final assessment is estimated at total of approximately 30 hours over the course of the term.

Assessment:

The breakdown of course assessment is as follows:

- Attendance and participation (10%)
- Lab notebook (20%)
- Archaeobotanical ‘key’ (20%)
- Final Project (50%)

Lab Notebook

You must purchase a suitable A4 lab notebook and graph paper for the course. Your lab notebook is a critical document and this coursework is designed to get you into the habit of keeping good notes of all lab practices. The lab notebook should consist of handouts, notes on the nature of the practical, observations of the materials, annotated drawings (essential) of modern reference materials as well as archaeological samples, and descriptions of actions taken. It should also contain a record of all actions taken in relation to project work. Your lab book should be left in the classroom on Friday evening for feedback over the weekend by the instructor. Photocopies can be left in place of the original if work over the weekend is to be permitted.

Archaeobotanical ‘key’

This assessment is designed to integrate observations about modern reference material and archaeological samples. Archaeologically relevant keys are rare – the majority of keys are related to

modern botanical reference material and feature characteristics that may not survive the myriad taphonomic pathways present at archaeological sites. You will pick a family/genus (e.g.: Asteraceae or *Brassica*) and describe the general features of taxa for a specific region (e.g.: South Asia, East Coast USA), and develop an archaeologically relevant key for charred remains.

Final Project

The bulk of your grade will be placed on the production of a report on the archaeobotanical remains you have analysed throughout the course of the semester. This semester material will be provided from the sites of Sheri Khan Teraki, Taraki Qila and Acre in Pakistan (Neolithic -Bronze Age). If you have another collection that you would like to analyse, please arrange to meet to discuss with the instructor. You will write up a report which details how the seeds were identified and analysed, summarize basic patterns and present a quantified interpretation of the data. This should be followed by an interpretation of the data following a line of question of your own choosing (e.g.: crop processing analysis, agricultural intensification, domestication, dietary reconstruction, non-staple plant exploitation, foodways etc.). This should be 7-10 pages of double-spaced text for undergraduates. Graduate student reports should be roughly 15 double spaced pages in length. This does not include supporting tables, figures and references. You **MUST** cite at least 7 references with regards to this project.

Referencing, Resources and Recommended Textbooks:

The preferred referencing style is **Harvard**, which is the most common style used in Archaeology and Anthropology. These consist of in text citation (author, date, page numbers) followed by a detailed citation of the publication in the bibliography.

You are also reminded that using libraries is **essential**. Key readings are listed in the syllabus and will be made available online, but it is also important you expand your reading into other works and scholars. A useful tip is to use the bibliographies of the provided readings and to build from there.

In addition, online resources like JStor and WebofKnowledge for finding articles, academia.edu and researchgate are important repositories of scholarly research. Students are encouraged to use these sites to broaden their reading and familiarize themselves with looking up scholars and their research.

I will be uploading a **pdf entitled 'Useful Resources' on Canvas**. Please look at it as this has a guide to referencing styles, bibliography building and places you can go to look for articles, books and chapters.

Assistance:

Please ask questions in class, by email, or in my office hours. I am more than happy to discuss anything with you that will help you to succeed in this class, and if you have a question then doubtless others are also thinking the same thing too. **So please, the most important policy in this class is that if you don't know something or have a question, ask!**

Student and Employee Accessibility Services

Please inform me (after class or during my office hours) if you have a disability or other condition that might require some modification of any of these course procedures. For more information contact Student Diversity and Accessibility Office.

Classroom Policies:

Attendance is mandatory. Tardiness can cause disruptions, please keep it to a minimum, and in the lab sessions it will not be allowed due to the safety procedures.

Turning in late assignments without due reason will not be accepted. You know the due date of your assignments in advance, plan accordingly. If you are worried about handing in an assignment late for a particular reason, talk with me in advance by email, after class or in my office hours, accommodations can and will be made (I am more than happy to do so for due reason). You can hand in a hard copy of

an assignment or send a hard copy with another student if you wish (for example if your computer breaks down but you cannot attend a lecture). You can turn assignments in early should you wish.

I do not provide copies of the powerpoint presentations, as you will get more out of these classes by attending, taking good notes and interacting with the discussions. Handouts will however be given in the lab sessions as these will form part of the lab notes books. Take careful notes in all lectures and lab sessions and feel free to ask me to pause and go over a point if you need me to, I encourage it!

Interacting with Your Professor:

The best way to get in touch with me is in person, either just after class (before is not so good as I will be in preparation mode and you won't necessarily have my undivided attention) or in office hours. Email (jenbates@sas.upenn.edu) is also a good way to get hold of me. Do not rely on Canvas chat, as I don't have time to login everyday and check it. Also, while many other lecturers may prefer a formal title for interactions, I prefer to be addressed as 'Jennifer'.

Email Policy:

Please email me all questions etc. in one go, rather than bombard me with hundreds of emails in one day. You will receive a far quicker and more eloquent response. If the subject matter is something more complex, requiring more than a single email reply, come and find me in office hours and we will discuss it in more detail.

I will try to reply to emails within 24 hours, but give me at least 48 hours before sending me a follow up (weekdays – weekends you will NOT get a reply). If it is Urgent, add this in the subject line and I will follow up urgently.

Classroom Civility:

Stanford supports the principles of freedom of expression for both faculty and students, and the rights for faculty to teach and students to learn. In order to maintain these rights classroom conditions should not impede the learning process. A disruptive classroom will not be tolerated. Please do not allow cell phones to ring, read magazines, mess about on Facebook, make obnoxious remarks or engage in other rude activities. Sanctions for activities deemed to impinge upon faculty and student rights can be found here:

Academic Dishonesty:

All students must abide by the copyright policies standards outlined here:. Penalties for violating these can be severe, so please familiarize yourselves with them.

Plagiarism is a serious offence and penalties can include a warning, reprimand or grade adjustment, although further penalties at the Dean's discretion can also be applied. A simple guideline is never plagiarize. More information can be found here in the academic code:

WEEKLY SCHEDULE

Full references can be found in the bibliography at the end of this syllabus.

Week 1

Seminar 1: Introduction

Lab 1: Using reference collections and herbaria

Readings:

Levetin et al. (2012)

Marston et al. (2014)

Pearsall, Deborah M. (2015) [Chapter 1]

Week 2

Seminar 2: The development and future of the field

Lab 2: Botanical keys and Linnaean classification

Readings:

Larson et al. (2014)

Lee et al. (2011)

Minnis (2001)

Week 3

Seminar 3: Preservation, Sampling and Biases

Lab 3: Tissues and Charring Experiments

Readings:

Boardman and Jones (1990)

Bradbaart and Bergen (2005)

Lee (2012)

Stevens (2014)

Week 4

Seminar 4: Fuel

Lab 4: Sorting and Wood Charcoal

Readings:

Asouti and Austin (2005)

Lancelotti (2019)

Smith et al. (2018)

Week 5

Seminar 5: Domestication

Lab 5: Cereals – wheat, barley and rice

Readings:

Arranz-Otagui et al. (2016)

Kraft et al. (2014)

Smith (2006)

Willcox (2004)

Week 6

Seminar 6: Agriculture

Lab 6: Cereals – millets and maize

Readings:

Kingwell-Banham et al. (2019)

Petrie and Bates (2017)

Smith (2014a)

Ziiumbo-Villareal (2012)

Week 7

Seminar 7: Crop processing

Lab 7: Cereal - chaff

Readings:

Fuller et al. (2014)

Fuller and Harvey (2006)

Harvey and Fuller (2005)

Hillman (1981)

Week 8

Seminar 8: Foodways

Lab 8: Pulses

Readings:

Atalay and Hastorf (2006)

Kim (2015)

Smith (2006)

Wollstonecroft et al. (2012)

Week 9

Seminar 9: Beyond staples

Lab 9: Oilseeds and Fruits

Readings:

Bates (2019)

Crawford (2009)

Johns (1990)

Wang et al. (2016)

Week 10

No lectures – spring break

Week 11

Seminar 10: Consumer/producer debates

Lab 10: “Weeds” Part I

Readings:

Van der Veen (1991)
Mattingly (1997)
Stevens (2003)

Week 12

Seminar 11: Ethnographic analogy
Lab 11: "Weeds" Part II

Readings:
Bogaard et al. (2001)
Hosoya (2011)
Louderback et al. (2013)
Willcox (2012)

Week 13

Seminar 12: Integrating datasets
Lab 12: Microbotany I – phytoliths and starch

Readings:
Henry (2009)
Garcia-Granero et al. (2015)
Logan (2016)
Mercader et al. (2018)
Styring et al. (2017)

Week 14

Seminar 13: Environmental reconstruction
Lab 13: Microbotany II – spherulites and pollen

Readings:
Boivin et al. (2016)
Marston (2011)
Pearsall and Hastorf (2011)

Week 15

Seminar 14: Quantification and presentation of data
Lab 14: Research time

Readings:
Marston (2014)
Smith (2014)
Van Derwarker et al. (2014)

Week 16

Seminar/Lab 15: research time

References

- Arranz-Otagui, A. S. Colledge, L. Zapata, L. C. Teira-Mayolini, and J. J. Ibáñez 2016 Regional diversity on the timing for the initial appearance of cereal cultivation and domestication in southwest Asia. *PNAS* 113(49): 14001-14006.
- Asouti, E., and P. Austin. 2005. Reconstructing woodland vegetation and its relation to human societies, based on the analysis and interpretation of archaeological wood charcoal macro-remains. *Environmental Archaeology* 10: 1-18
- Atalay, S. and Hastorf, C. 2006. Food, meals and daily activities: The habitus of food practices at Neolithic Çatalhöyük. *American Antiquity* 71(2):283-319.
- Bates, J. 2019. Oilseeds, Fruits, and Fibers. *Journal of Archaeological Science, reports*.
- Boardman, Sheila and Glynis Jones (1990) "Experiments on the Effects of Charring on Cereal Plant Components," *Journal of Archaeological Science* 1990, 17: 1–11.
- Bradbaart, Freek and Pim F. van Bergen (2005) "Digital imaging analysis of size and shape of wheat and pea upon heating under anoxic conditions as a function of the temperature," *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* (2005) 14:67–75.
- Bogaard, A., G. Jones, M. Charles and J. G. Hodgson. 2001. On the Archaeobotanical Inference of Crop Sowing Time using the FIBS Method. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 28(11):1171-1183.
- Boivin, N. L., M. A. Zeder, D. Q. Fuller, A. Crowther, et al. 2016 Ecological consequences of human niche construction: examining long-term anthropogenic shaping of global species distributions. *PNAS* 113(23): 6388-96.
- Bruno, Maria C. (2006) "A Morphological Approach to Documenting the Domestication of Chenopodium in the Andes," in Melinda A. Zeder, Daniel G. Bradley, Eve Emshwiller, and Bruce D. Smith, eds., *Documenting Domestication. New Genetic and Archaeological Paradigms*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 32–45.
- Butler, Ann (1996) "Trifolieae and related seeds from archaeological contexts: problems in identification," *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 5(1–2): 157–167.
- Carretero, Monica N. Ramsey, Dorian Q. Fuller, and Tobias Richter (2018) "Archaeobotanical evidence reveals the origins of bread 14,400 years ago in northeastern Jordan," *PNAS* 115(31): 7925–7930.
- Charles, M.P. (1984) "Introductory Remarks on the Cereals," *Bulletin on Sumerian Agriculture* 1: 17–31.
- Crawford, G. W. 2009 Agricultural origins in North China pushed back to the Pleistocene-Holocene boundary. *PNAS* 106(18): 7271-2.
- Curet, L. A., and W. J. Pestle 2010 Identifying high-status foods in the archaeological record. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 29: 413-431.
- d'Alpoim Guedes, Jade and Spengler, Robert. 2014. Sampling strategies in J. d'Alpoim Guedes, J. M. Marston and C. Warinner (Eds.), *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*. University of Colorado Press.
- Diehl, M. 2017. Paleoethnobotanical Sampling Adequacy and Ubiquity: An Example from the American Southwest. *Advances in Archaeological Practice*, 5(2), 196-205. doi:10.1017/aap.2017.5
- Fuller, Dorian. 2007. Contrasting patterns in crop domestication and domestication rates: recent archaeobotanical insights from the old world. *Annals of Botany* 100(5): 903-924.
- Fuller, D.Q., Harvey, E.L., 2006. The archaeobotany of Indian pulses: identification, processing and evidence for cultivation. *Environmental Archaeology* 11, 219–246.

- Fuller, D.Q., Stevens, C.J., McClatchie, M., 2014. Routine Activities, Tertiary Refuse and Labour Organisation: social inferences from everyday archaeobotany, in: Madella, M., Lancelotti, C., Savard, M. (Eds.), *Ancient Plants and People, Contemporary Trends in Archaeology*. University of Arizona Press, Tuscon, pp. 174–217.
- Harris, D. R. 2007 Agriculture, cultivation and domestication: exploring the conceptual framework of early food production (ch.2). In: *Rethinking Agriculture: Archaeological and Ethnoarchaeological Perspectives*, T. Denham, J. Iriarte, and L. Vrydaghs (eds), pp. 16-35. Left Coast Press: Walnut Creek.
- Harris, James G. and Melinda Woolf Harris (2001) *Plant Identification Terminology. An Illustrated Glossary*. Spring Lake, UT: Spring Lake Publishing.
- Harvey, E.L., Fuller, D.Q., 2005. Investigating crop processing using phytolith analysis: the example of rice and millets. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 32, 739–752.
- Hastorf, C. A. 1998 The cultural life of early domestic plant use. *Antiquity* 72: 773-782.
- Hedrick, U. P. (1919) *Sturtevent's Notes on Edible Plants*. Albany: J. B. Lyon Company.
- Henry, Amanda G., Holly F. Hudson, Dolores R. Piperno (2009) "Changes in starch grain morphologies from cooking," *Journal of Archaeological Science* 36: 915–922.
- Hillman, Gordon (1984) "Interpretation of Archaeological Plant Remains: The Application of Ethnographic Models from Turkey," in W. van Zeist and W. A. Casparie, ed., *Plants and Ancient Man: Studies in Palaeoethnobotany*. Rotterdam: Balkema, 1–41.
- Hosoya, L. A. 2011 Staple or famine food?: ethnographic and archaeological approaches to nut processing in East Asian prehistory. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences* 3(1): 7-17.
- Gallagher. 2014. Formation Processes of the Macrobotanical Record in M. Marston, J. d'Alpoim Guedes, J. and C. Warinner (Eds.), *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*. University of Colorado Press.
- García-Granero, J.J., Lancelotti, C., Madella, M., 2015. A tale of multi-proxies: integrating macro- and microbotanical remains to understand subsistence strategies. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 24, 121–133.
- Ge, W., L. Liu, X. Chen, and Z. Hin. 2011. Can noodles be made from millet? An experimental investigation of noodle manufacture together with starch grain analyses. *Archaeometry* 53(1): 194-204.
- Gong, Y. Y. Yang, D. Ferguson, D. Tao et al. 2011 Investigation of ancient noodles, cakes, and millet at the Subeixi site, Xinjiang, China. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38: 470-479.
- González Carretero, Lara, Michèle Wollstonecroft, Dorian Q. Fuller (2017) "A methodological approach to the study of archaeological cereal meals: a case study at Çatalhöyük East (Turkey)," *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 26: 415–432.
- Grieve, M. (1971) *A Modern Herbal; the Medicinal, Culinary, Cosmetic and Economic Properties, Cultivation and Folk-lore of Herbs, Grasses, Fungi, Shrubs, and Trees with all their Modern Scientific Uses*. New York: Dover Publications
- Johns, T. 1990 Domestication as a solution for dealing with plant toxins. Ch. 4 in *With bitter herbs they shall eat it: chemical ecology and the origins of human diet and medicine*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.
- Jones M.K. 1985. Archaeobotany beyond subsistence reconstruction. G.W.W. Barker and C. Gamble (eds.), *Beyond domestication in prehistoric Europe*. Academic Press: 107-128.
- Jones, M. and Liu, X. 2009 Origins of agriculture in East Asia. *Science* 324: 730-731.
- Kim, M. 2015 Rice in ancient Korea: status symbol of community food? *Antiquity* 89(346): 838-853.
- Kingwell-Banham, E., 2019. Dry, rainfed or irrigated? Reevaluating the role and development of rice agriculture in Iron Age-Early Historic South India using archaeobotanical approaches. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences*.

- Kitagawa, J. and Y. Yasuda 2004 The influence of climatic change on chestnut and horse chestnut preservation around Jomon sites in Northeastern Japan with special reference to the Sannai-Maruyama and Kaegaoka site. *Quaternary International* 123-125: 89-103.
- Kraft, K. H., C. H. Brown, G. P. Nabhan, E. Luedeling, et al. 2014 Multiple lines of evidence for the origin of domesticated chili pepper, *Capsicum annuum*, in Mexico. *PNAS* 111 (17): 6165-6170.
- Lancelotti, C., 2018. "Not all that burns is wood". A social perspective on fuel exploitation and use during the Indus urban period (2600-1900 BC). *PLOS ONE* 13, e0192364.
- Lancelotti, C. and M. Madella 2012 The 'invisible' product: developing markers for identifying dung in archaeological context. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39: 953-963.
- Lansing, J. S. and J. N. Kremer 2011 Rice, fish, and the planet. *PNAS* 108 (50): 19841-19842.
- Larson, G. et al. 2014. Current perspectives and the future of domestication studies. *PNAS* 111: 6139-6146.
- Lee, G. -A. 2012 Estimating sample sizes and taphonomy of macroscopic plant remains. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39(3): 648-655.
- Lee, G.-A., G. W. Crawford, L. Liu, and X. Chen 2007 Plants and people from the early Neolithic to Shang periods in North China. *PNAS* 104(3):1087-92.
- Lee, G.-A., A. M. Davis, D. G. Smith, and J. H. McAndrews 2003 Identifying fossil wild rice (*Zizania*) pollen from Cootes Paradise, Ontario: a new approach using scanning electron microscopy. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31: 411-421.
- Lee, G.-A. & S. Bestel 2007 Contextual analysis of plant remains at the Erlitou-period Huizui site, Henan, China. *Bulletin of Indo-Pacific Society* 27: 49-60.
- Lee, G.-A., G. W. Crawford, I. Liu, Y. Sasaki, and X. Chen 2011 Archaeological soybean (*Glycine max*) in East Asia: Does size matter? *PLoS ONE*: 6 (11): e26720.
- Lennstrom, Heidi A., and Christine Hastorf. 1995. Interpretation of Context: Sampling and Analysis in Paleoethnobotany. *American Antiquity* 60:701-721.
- Levetin, Estelle and Karen McMahon (2012) "Plant Systematics and Evolution," in *Plants and Society*. Boston: McGraw Hill, 123-138.
- Liu, L., S. Bestel, J. Shi, Y. Song, and X. Chen 2013 Paleolithic human exploitation of plant foods during the last glacial maximum in North China. *PNAS*.
- Logan, Amanda L. 2016 "Why Can't People Feed Themselves?": Archaeology as Alternative Archive of Food Security in Banda, Ghana. *American Anthropologist* 118 (3): 508-524.
- López, M., A. Capparelli, and A. E. Nielsen 2011 Traditional post-harvest processing to make quinoa grains (*Chenopodium quinoa* var. *quinoa*) apt for consumption in Northern Lipez (Potosí, Bolivia): ethnoarchaeological and archaeobotanical analyses. *Archaeological Anthropological Science* 3: 49-70.
- Louderback, L. A., B. N. Pavlik, and A. M. Spurling 2013 Ethnographic and archaeological evidence corroborating *Yucca* as a food source, Mojave Desert, USA. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 33(2): 281-297.
- Mangafa, M. and K. Kotsakis (1996) "A New Method for the identification of Wild and Cultivated Charred Grape Seeds," *Journal of Archaeological Science* 23:409-418.
- Marston, John M. 2011. Archaeological markers of agricultural risk management. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 30(2):190-205.

- Marston, John M. 2014. Ratios and Simple Statistics in Paleoethnobotanical Analysis: Data Exploration and Hypothesis Testing in J. D'Alpoim Guedes, J. M. Marston and C. Warinner (Eds.), *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*. University of Colorado Press.
- Marston, J. M., C. Warinner, and J. d'Alpoim Guedes 2014 Paleoethnobotanical method and theory in the twenty-first century. In: *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*. J. M. Marston, J. d'Alpoim Guedes, and C. Warinner (eds), pp. 1-18.
- Mattingly, D., 1997. Beyond Belief? Drawing a line beneath the consumer city, in: Parkins, H.M. (Ed.), *Roman Urbanisation, beyond the Consumer City*. Routledge, London, pp. 210–218.
- Mercader, J., Abtosway, M., Bird, R., Bundala, M., Clarke, S., Favreau, J., Inwood, J., Itambu, M., Larter, F., Lee, P., Patalano, R., Soto, M., Tucker, L., Walde, D., 2018. Morphometrics of Starch Granules from Sub-Saharan Plants and the Taxonomic Identification of Ancient Starch.
- Miller, N. F. 1988 Ratios in paleoethnobotanical analysis. In *Current Paleoethnobotany*. Hastorf, C. A. & V. S. Popper (eds), pp. 72-85. The University of Chicago Press.
- Miller, Naomi. F. and John. M. Marston. 2012. Archaeological fuel remains as indicators of ancient west Asian agropastoral and land use systems. *Journal of Arid Environments* 86(0):97-103.
- Miller, Naomi, and Tristine Smart. 1984. Intentional Burning of Dung as Fuel: A Mechanism for the Incorporation of Charred Seeds into the Archaeological Record. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 4(1):15-28.
- Miller Vick, Julia, and Jennifer S. Furlong (2008) "6. Conference Presentations and Networking," in *The Academic Job Search Handbook*. Philadelphia: The University of Pennsylvania Press, 36–39.
- Minnis, P. E. 2001 "One possible future of paleoethnobotany," in *Ethnobiology at the Millennium: Past Promise and Future Prospects*, R. I. Ford (ed.), pp. 35-47. Ann Arbor: Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan.
- Misicek, C.H. 1987 Formation processes of the archaeobotanical record. *Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory* 10: 211-247.
- Orton, C. 2000 *Sampling in Archaeology*. Cambridge Manuals in Archaeology. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Outram, Alan K. (2008) "Introduction to experimental archaeology," *World Archaeology* 40(1): 1–6.
- Pearsall, D. M. and C. A. Hastorf 2011 Reconstructing past life-ways with plants II: human-environment and human-human interactions (Ch. 11). In: *Ethnobiology*, E. N. Anderson, D. Pearsall, E. Hunn, and N. Turner (eds), pp. 173-187.
- Petrie, C.A., Bates, J., 2017. "Multi-cropping", Intercropping and Adaptation to Variable Environments in Indus South Asia. *Journal of World Prehistory* 30, 81–130.
- Piperno, D. R. 2011. The origins of plant cultivation and domestication in the New World Tropics: patterns, process, and new developments. *Current Anthropology* 52(S4): S453-470.
- Pearsall, D. M. and C. A. Hastorf 2011 Reconstructing past life-ways with plants II: human-environment and human-human interactions. In: *Ethnobiology*, E. N. Anderson, D. M. Pearsall, E. Hunn, and N. Turner (eds), pp.173-187. Wiley Balckwell.
- Popper, V. S. 1988 Selecting quantitative measurements in paleoethnobotany. In: *Current Paleoethnobotany*. Hastorf, C. A. & V. S. Popper (eds), pp. 53-71. The University of Chicago Press.
- Shackleton and Prins. 1999. Charcoal Analysis and the "Principle of Least Effort": A conceptual Model. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 19: 631-637.
- Shahack-Gross, Ruth (2011) "Herbivorous livestock dung: formation, taphonomy, methods for identification, and archaeological significance," *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38: 205–218.

- Smart, Tristine and Ellen Hoffman. 1988. Environmental Interpretation of archaeological charcoal. In C. Hastorf and V. Popper (Eds.) *Current Paleoethnobotany*: 167-205.
- Smith, A. 2014a. Examining agriculture and climate change in antiquity: practical and theoretical considerations. In: *Ancient Plants and People Contemporary Trends in Archaeobotany*. M. Madella, C. Lancelotti, and M. Savard (eds), pp.47-72.
- Smith, A. 2014b. The Use of Multivariate Statistics in Archaeobotany in J. D'Alpoim Guedes, J. M. Marston and C. Warinner (Eds.), *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*. University of Colorado Press
- Smith, Alexia, Lucas Proctor, Thomas Hart, and Gil Stein, 2018. "The Burning Issue of Dung in Archaeobotanical Samples: A Case-Study integrating Macro-botanical, Dung Spherulites, and Phytoliths to assess Sample Origin and Fuel Use at Tell Zeidan, Syria," *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany*
- Smith, Alexia, Krista Dotzel, Joyce Fountain, Lucas Proctor, Madelynn von Baeyer (2015) "Examining Fuel Use in Antiquity: Archaeobotanical and Anthracological Approaches in Southwest Asia," *Ethnobiology Letters* 6(1): 192–195.
- Smith, B. D. 1994 The Fertile Crescent. In: *Emergence of Agriculture*, pp. 48-89. Scientific American Library: NY.
- Smith, B.D. 2006 Eastern North America as an independent center for plant domestication. *PNAS* 103(33): 12223-8.
- Smith, B. D. 2011a General patterns of niche construction and the management of 'wild' plant and animal resources by small-scale pre-industrial societies. *Philosophical Transactions: Biological Sciences* 366(1566): 836-848.
- Smith, B. D. 2011b The cultural context of plant domestication in Eastern North America. *Current Anthropology* 52(S4): 471-484.
- Smith, M. L. 2006 The archaeology of food preference. *American Anthropologist* 108(3): 480-493.
- van der Veen, M. 2007 Formation processes of desiccated and carbonized plant remains – identification of routine practice. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 34: 968-990.
- Soultana Maria Valamoti (2013) "Towards a distinction between digested and undigested glume bases in the archaeobotanical record from Neolithic northern Greece: A preliminary experimental investigation," *Environmental Archaeology* 18(1): 31–42.
- Stevens, Chris. J.2003. An Investigation of Consumption and Production Models for Prehistoric and Roman Britain. *Environmental Archaeology* 2003(8):61-76.
- Stevens, Chris J. (2014) "Intersite Variation within Archaeobotanical Charred Assemblages: A Case Study Exploring the Social Organization of Agricultural Husbandry in Iron Age and Roman Britain," Mac Marston, Jade d'Alpoim Guedes, and Tina Warinner, eds., *Current Methods in Paleoethnobotany*. Denver: University Press of Colorado, Chapter 12.
- Styring, Amy. K. et al. 2017. Isotope evidence for agricultural extensification reveals how the world's first cities were fed. *Nature Plants* 3
- Théry-Parisot, I. 2010. Anthracology and taphonomy, from wood gathering to charcoal analysis. A review of the taphonomic processes modifying charcoal assemblages, in archaeological contexts *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 291 (2010) 142–153
- Trainor, John K. (2012) "Chapter 2: Creating and Presenting an Academic Poster," in Jason E. Miller and Oona Schmid, eds., *How to Get Published in Anthropology. A Guide for Students and Young Professionals*. Lanham, MD: AltaMira Press, 12–23.
- van der Veen, M. 1991. Consumption or production: agriculture in the Cambridgeshire Fens, pp. 349-61 in Renfrew, J. M. (Ed.), *New Light on Early Farming*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

VanDerwerker, A.M., Alvarado, J.V., and Webb, P. 2014. Analysis and Interpretation of Intrasite Variability in Paleoethnobotanical Remains: A Consideration and Application of Methods at the Ravensford Site, North Carolina. in J. D'Alpoim Guedes, J. M. Marston and C. Warinner (Eds.), *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*. University of Colorado Press.

Wang, J., L. Liu, T. Ball, L. Yu, and F. Xing 2016 Revealing a 5,000-y-old beer recipe in China. *PNAS* 113(23): 6444-6448

Wang, C., H. Lu, J. Zhang, K. He, and X. Huan 2016 Macro-process of past plant subsistence from the Upper Paleolithic to middle Neolithic in China: a quantitative analysis of multi-archaeobotanical data. *PLOS ONE* DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0148136

Weiss, E., M. E. Kislev, O. Simchoni, D. Nadel et al. 2008 Plant-food preparation area on an Upper Paleolithic brush hut floor at Ohalo II, Israel. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35: 2400-2414.

Weisskopf, A. R. & G.-A. Lee 2016 Phytolith identification criteria for foxtail and broomcorn millets: a new approach to calculating crop ratios. *Archaeological and Anthropological Science* 8: 29-42.

White, Chantel and Shelton, China. 2014. Recovering Macrobotanical Remains: Current Methods and Techniques. in J. D'Alpoim Guedes, J. M. Marston and C. Warinner (Eds.), *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*. University of Colorado Press.

Willcox, George (2004) "Measuring grain size and identifying Near Eastern cereal domestication: evidence from the Euphrates Valley," *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31 (2): 145–150.

Willcox George. 2012. Searching for the origins of arable weeds in the Near East. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany*. 21(2)

Willis, J. C. (1985) *A Dictionary of the Flowering Plants Ferns*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wollstonecroft, M. M., P. R. Ellis, G. C. Hillman, D. Q. Fuller et al. 2012 A calorie is not necessarily a calorie: technical choice, nutrient bioaccessibility, and interspecies differences of edible plants. *PNAS* 109(17): E991.

Wright, P. J. 2010 Methodological issues in paleoethnobotany: a consideration of issues, methods, and cases, in *Integrating Zooarchaeology and Paleoethnobotany: A consideration of Issues, Methods and Cases*. Edited by Van Der Werker, A. M. and T. M., pp. 37-64. Heidelberg: Springer Peres.

Wu, Yan and Changsui Wang (2009) "Extended depth of focus image for phytolith analysis," *Journal of Archaeological Science* 36: 2253–2257.

Yang, X. , Z. Ma, J. Ki, J. Yu, C. Stevens, and Y. Zhuang 2015 Comparing subsistence strategies in different landscapes of North China 10,000 years ago. *The Holocene* 25(12): 1957-1964.

Zeder, M.A. 2011 The origins of agriculture in the Near East. *Current Anthropology* 52(S4): S221-S235.

Zeder, M.A. 2015 Core questions in domestication research. *PNAS* 112(11): 3191-8.

Ziizumbo-Villarreal, D., A. Flores-Silva, and P. C.-G. Marín 2012 The Archaic diet in Mesoamerica: Incentive for Milpa development and species domestication. *Economic Botany* 66(4): 328-343.

Zohary, Daniel, Maria Hopf, and Ehud Weiss (2012) *Domestication of Plants in the Old World*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

USDA Plants Database: <http://plants.usda.gov/>

Dr Duke's Phytochemical and Ethnobotanical Databases: <https://phytochem.nal.usda.gov/phytochem/search>

Native American Ethnobotany Database: <http://herb.umd.umich.edu/>

Naomi Miller's list of Archaeobotanical Reports: <http://www.sas.upenn.edu/~nmiller0/biblio.html>

Archaeobotanical Database of sites in the Mediterranean and the Near East: <http://www.ademnes.de/>

Helmut Kroll's Archaeobotanical Database of the Near East: <http://www.archaeobotany.de/>

Maryland Archaeobotany: <http://www.jefpat.org/archeobotany/Home.aspx>